

PRA Requests

Received From: 12/1/2018
Received To: 12/31/2018
Total: 203

Request ID	Requestor Last Name	Requestor First Name	Received Date	Request Description	Requestor Business Name	Request Status	Responder	Response Summary
PRA-2018-02372	Llamas Herrera	Ashley	12/1/2018	Child custody agreement for child named [REDACTED]. Date of birth [REDACTED]. Father named Allen Smith. Mother named Ashley Llamas Herrera		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a family law court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-02373	Niacaris	Nycole	12/3/2018	I would like to obtain copies of the police report and court paperwork for my case against Jason Micheal Trevor. Doc# 18-CR-017646 10/31/2018. I have received little information and believe my mail is still being sent to [REDACTED] which was my home until I have to evade for my safety from Jason M Trevor. He is being charged with 273.5(a)-M and I think he is holding my mail. I have done a change of address but haven't received anything related to this case. Please help.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place and the court in which the documents sought were filed.
PRA-2018-02374	Oehler	Staci	12/3/2018	I'm looking for records of any contact that Mr. Michael Conrad Nagy (currently a resident of Sacramento county) has had with law enforcement officers. Any time between 1990 and now. Thank you.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PRA-2018-02375	Wilcox	Jeffrey	12/3/2018	San Mateo Co. Clerk indicated that you (DOJ) may have a copy of the report: SMCOCae #: CF 109656 D TAUINUKUFILI, LESIELI aka LESLIE J 00-00-1985 1. BORNE: Lesieli Tuitavake: [REDACTED] / Kingdom of Tonga, and immigrated with her family to the U.S. in 1968. 2. Lesieli Tuitavake: Married Siosua Taukinukufili in Reno NV. [REDACTED] (15 Y.O.A.) / Falsified her marriage application by using a DOB of [REDACTED] which would make her (18 Y.O.A.) This is a Criminal Felony case from 1985. The defendant was arrested and charged with embezzlement from her (then employer) Bank of America Defendant (after prosecution) has returned to Tonga, and re-invented herself as Rachel Leslie Toki, and procured a		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.

PRA-2018-02376	Ruano-Chico	Eric	12/3/2018	<p>false berth certificate in Tonga, which she is now using to hide the fact that she has a prior criminal record. I am a Private investigator working for the defendants sisters attorney. What I am looking for is any information regarding the dates of the offense and court dates as well as disposition and the D.O.B. that she was book under would be of help.</p> <p>I am looking to get my background record for everything to apply at a job.</p> <p>My license number is [REDACTED]</p> <p>Driving records, police records, etc...</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>We note that your request seeks police reports. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. We further note that your request seeks driving records. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting your driver records can be found at http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php.</p> <p>If you are seeking criminal records, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security. We hope the information we have provided is of assistance.</p>
PRA-2018-02377	Rodgers	Trina	12/3/2018	<p>911 call transcripts Date - July 20, 2014 Time - between 6pm - 7pm Area - Pacoima, California, 91331</p> <p>Vicinity - Hubert Humphrey Park/Recreation Center Streets - Dronfield Ave., Filmore St., Bromont Ave., Pinney St.</p> <p>Related to shooting</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Specifically, you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-02378	Heo (Ins Code Sec 10134)	Elizabeth	12/3/2018	<p>Documents served on the Attorney General's Office pursuant to California Insurance Code section 10134, et seq. from (11/01/2018 through 11/30/2018)</p> <p>Comments: Please contact me to arrange a time to inspect and copy these records.</p>	Completed	Howard Wayne	<p>We will provide you with such documents. Please contact Nancy Quach at 415-703-5648 to schedule a time for you to review these records. If you wish to copy these documents, you will need to provide your own scanning equipment.</p> <p>You may contact me if you have any questions regarding the above.</p>
PRA-2018-02380	Gallagher (Safe Harbor Provisions)	George	12/3/2018	<p>A current list of those companies that take advantage of the "Safe Harbor Provisions" of CDTA-770-Du (S2B) REV.9 (9-17)</p> <p>I am a retired "CHP" officer and work with many companies about safety & compliance issue. I also assist them with filing requirement of the "Safe Harbor Provisions". My current list is 5-6 year old is out of date, and I would appreciate a current list.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>If the form referenced in your request is the one located at the following website: https://www.cdffa.ca.gov/formspubs/cdffa770du.pdf, you may wish to direct your request to the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at http://cdffa.ca.gov/contact.htm.</p>

PRA-2018-02381	Liu (dealers and private sellers sales)	Tianwang	12/3/2018	<p>I am a Ph.D. students from economics department at Harvard University, working on firearms industry. As California requires both licensed dealers and private sellers to report all sales, is it possible to getting the following information, between 1980s and 2018, in excel format?</p> <p>For each transaction through licensed dealer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> *date of transaction *gun dealer license number *gun shop name *gun dealer's city/town *buyer zipcode *anonymous buyer identifier (can be just 1, 2, 3, 4 as long as it's the same number for the same person) *buyer demographics (age, gender, race) *firearm type *firearm make *firearm model *firearm serial number <p>For private sales:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> *Date of transaction *Private seller identifier (can be anything that I can track it's the same seller) *Private seller demographics (such as age, gender, race) *Private seller location (city/town, zipcode) *Anonymous buyer identifier (just want to see whether a person bought several firearms) *Buyer demographics (such as age, gender, race) *Buyer location (city/town, zipcode) *Product characteristics (type, make, model, serial number) <p>Thank you very much and I very look forward to hearing from you.</p>	Completed	Public Records	<p>We recommend that you contact our Research Center with your request, because it will probably be able to give you more data than you can get through a Public Records Acts request.</p> <p>The Department keeps the type data you seek as part of its general duty "to assist in the investigation of crime, the prosecution of civil actions by city attorneys ... , the arrest and prosecution of criminals, and the recovery of lost, stolen, or found property." (Pen. Code, § 11106, subd. (a)(l).) California law limits with whom the Department can share much of this data to "officers referred to in [Penal Code section] 11105." Members of the public seeking records under the Public Records Act are not included on the list of persons contained in Penal Code section 11105. As such, the information we could provide you under the Public Records Act is very limited. (See Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k) [incorporating other disclosure prohibitions and exemptions into the Public Records Act].)</p> <p>In contrast, pursuant to Penal Code section 13202, every public agency or bona fide research body concerned with the prevention or control of crime, the quality of criminal justice or the custody of correction offenders may request Department data for research purposes. This is done by a request to our Research Center and would, if approved, result in you obtaining more information than what we can give you in response to a Public Records Act request. You can initiate a data request through this website: https://oag.ca.gov/research-center.</p> <p>If we do not receive a response from you indicating you would prefer to continue seeking this information via a Public Records Act request within 20 days, we will assume you are no longer seeking to obtain this information through our Public Records Act process.</p>
PRA-2018-02382	Klausner (Donnelly Estate Records)	Daniel	12/3/2018	<p>December 12, 2018 Follow up:</p> <p>Are you saying that you conducted a search of your records and have not found them? It is my understanding that a grant deed for this property was sent to the Department of Justice and was not thereafter recorded. I will pursue another solution if you can verify for me that you do not have this record as identified.</p> <p>Daniel Klausner</p> <p>December 3, 2018 Request:</p> <p>On or about April 25, 2000, a grant deed of the real property with the following description was mailed to the State:</p> <p>The West one-half of the East one-half of the West two-fifths of the East one-half of Lot 1, Block 14, Maywood Colony, as the same are shown on the map filed on the Tehama County Recorder's Office, May 23, 1891 in Book A of Maps at page 33.</p> <p>Please send me pdf copies of all records you have regarding the Estate of John Donnelly or the real property with the following legal description:</p> <p>The West one-half of the East one-half of the West two-fifths of the East one-half of Lot 1, Block 14, Maywood Colony, as the same are shown on the map filed on the</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>December 27 follow up response: Attached are the records responsive to your request.</p> <p>Some records that you may be seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030, the attorney work-product privilege. The attorney workproduct exemption absolutely exempts from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. It also is incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>In addition, some records that you may be seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the deliberative process privilege. The deliberative process privilege is applied to the Public Records Act through Government Code section 6255. It exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions.</p> <p>Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.)</p> <p>We note that your information request is directed to the Department of Justice but may seek records from other departments. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will</p>

PRA-2018-02475	Joyner (SALC)	Leslie	12/3/2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transcripts of any hearings conducted regarding California Proposition 11. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transcripts of any hearings conducted regarding California Proposition 11; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any and all versions of the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11) that have been submitted; • Any and all materials submitted to, received and/or reviewed by the State Assembly Labor Committee concerning the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11); • Any and all responses to materials submitted to, received and/or reviewed by the State Assembly Labor Committee concerning the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11); • Any and all informational and voting materials pertaining to the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11) • Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the State Assembly Labor Committee, regarding any amendment to delete the reference to ground transport from the definition of "emergency ambulance employee," and any response; • Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the State Assembly Labor Committee, regarding any other proposed change to the language in California Proposition 11, and any response; • Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the State Assembly Labor Committee, regarding the Petition for Writ of Mandate filed by Sean Henschel on July 31, 2018 in Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2018-80002940 ("Henschel petition"); • Any materials submitted to the trial court or the Court of Appeal in connection with the Henschel petition; • Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the State Assembly Labor Committee, addressing the difference between being on call and on duty, including how the difference may relate to California Proposition 11, and any response. 	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>In regard to your request for records in which you sought records from the Office of the Attorney General, please refer to the response provided in Deputy Attorney General Milad Da Ju's December 10, 2018 letter.</p> <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records from the State Assembly Labor Committee, the Secretary of State, and the State Senate Labor Committee, and not the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking records unique to the State Assembly Labor Committee, the Secretary of State, and the State Senate Labor Committee, you may wish to direct your request to each of those agencies.</p>
PRA-2018-02477	Cabada	Robert	12/3/2018	<p>I am generating this correspondence to request information under the California Public Records Act/Freedom of Information Request.</p> <p>The United States Department of Justice referred me to your office for information I am requesting on a Detective employed by the Corona Police Department in Corona,</p>	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>We note that you are requesting records related to an employee of the Corona Police Department. If you wish to review records that are in the</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>We note that you are requesting records related to an employee of the Corona Police Department. If you wish to review records that are in the</p>

				<p>California.</p> <p>This request is being requested under the California Public Records Act, Chapter 3.5, Division 7 of Title 1 of the California Government Code. I am requesting all information available on Detective Edward Fanchin, of the Corona Police Department, Corona, California.</p> <p>I am an indigent inmate, please waive any fees that may be incurred with this request.</p> <p>If your agency denies this request in whole or in part, please specify the exemption under Government Code on which the denial is based and your appeal process. I am looking forwards to receiving a response to this request within 20 working days as required by Statute.</p>				
PRA-2018-02478	Payne (Megan's Law Offender Info)	Keia	12/3/2018	<p>I am seeking information on Adrian Erik Luevano. Used to live in federal way Seattle but now lives in Norwalk CA. I want to find out if he has ever been considered a registered sex offender. With the state of CA?</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code (PC) section 111076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records (PC sections 11120 through 11127). However, California PC section 290.46 requires the California Department of Justice (DOJ) to display on the Megan' s Law Internet site (www.MegansLaw.ca.gov) only that specific data mandated in the statute. Any requests submitted to the DOJ for additional information not displayed on the Internet site must be denied. If you desire to know more information about a specific sex offender and can independently identify the county in which he/she was prosecuted for an offense, you may be able to obtain that information by requesting it directly from the court of record. Generally, the release of criminal history information for non-law enforcement purposes is proh bited by both the PC and the California Information Practices Act.</p> <p>Please be advised , if you believe any subject may be engaged in illegal or inappropriate activity , we recommend you contact local law enforcement immediately to report this matter.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>	
PRA-2018-02479	Joyner (SOS)	Leslie	12/3/2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Any and all versions of the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11) that have been submitted;Any and all materials submitted to, received and/or reviewed by the Secretary of State concerning the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11);Any and all responses to materials submitted to, received and/or reviewed by the Secretary of State concerning the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11);Any and all informational and voting materials pertaining to the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11);Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the Secretary of State, regarding any amendment to delete the reference to ground transport from the definition of "emergency ambulance employee," and any response;Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the Secretary of State, regarding any other proposed change to	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>In regard to your request for records in which you sought records from the Office of the Attorney General, please refer to the response provided in Deputy Attorney General Milad Da ju's December 10, 2018 letter.</p> <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records from the State Assembly Labor Committee, the Secretary of State, and the State Senate Labor Committee, and not the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code. § 6253(c).)</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking records unique to the State Assembly Labor Committee, the Secretary of State, and the State Senate Labor Committee, you may wish to direct your request to each of those agencies.</p>	

PRA-2018-02480	Joyner (SSLC)	Leslie	12/3/2018	<p>the language in California Proposition 11, and any response;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the Secretary of State, regarding the Petition for Writ of Mandate filed by Sean Henschel on July 31, 2018 in Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2018-80002940 ("Henschel petition"); Any materials submitted to the trial court or the Court of Appeal in connection with the Henschel petition; Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the Secretary of State, addressing the difference between being on call and on duty, including how the difference may relate to California Proposition 11, and any response; Transcripts of any hearings conducted regarding California Proposition 11. 	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>In regard to your request for records in which you sought records from the Office of the Attorney General, please refer to the response provided in Deputy Attorney General Milad Da Ju's December 10, 2018 letter. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records from the State Assembly Labor Committee, the Secretary of State, and the State Senate Labor Committee, and not the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking records unique to the State Assembly Labor Committee, the Secretary of State, and the State Senate Labor Committee, you may wish to direct your request to each of those agencies.</p>
				<p>Transcripts of any hearings conducted regarding California Proposition 11;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any and all versions of the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11) that have been submitted; Any and all materials submitted to, received and/or reviewed by the State Senate Labor Committee concerning the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11); Any and all responses to materials submitted to, received and/or reviewed by the State Senate Labor Committee concerning the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11); Any and all informational and voting materials pertaining to the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11) Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the State Senate Labor Committee, regarding any amendment to delete the reference to ground transport from the definition of "emergency ambulance employee," and any response; Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the State Senate Labor Committee, regarding any other proposed change to the language in California Proposition 11, and any response; Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the State Senate Labor Committee, regarding the Petition for Writ of Mandate filed by Sean Henschel on July 31, 2018 in Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2018-80002940 ("Henschel petition"); Any materials submitted to the trial court or the Court of Appeal in connection with the Henschel petition; Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the State Senate Labor Committee, addressing the difference between being on call and on duty, including how the difference may relate to California Proposition 11, and any response. 	Completed	Patty Li	<p>Responses to Requests Response to Request No. 1:</p> <p>In response to Request No. 1, the Attorney General's Office does not maintain documents that reflect "job descriptions[], pay range, mail and</p>
PRA-2018-02384	Bassi (2016-2018 names, job descriptions)	Susan (media)	12/4/2018	<p>The time period for this request is for records from 2016-2018:</p> <p>1. All names, job descriptions, pay range, mail and email</p>	Completed		

				<p>lists for any employee assigned to antitrust, honest service fraud, RICO, tax evasion or other white collar crimes for the San Francisco Bay Area.</p> <p>2. Any and all records that are publicly available related to the prosecution of any person known to be: a lawyer, or an employee of a Silicon Valley company known as Netflix, Facebook, Yahoo, eBay, Paypal, Apple, or Google.</p>			<p>email lists" in a manner that would be easily matched with the names of the personnel you are seeking through this request. We are therefore providing the requested information below. The following information is for an employee whose assignments include tax evasion or other white collar criminal matters in the San Francisco Bay Area, from 2016 to the present:</p> <p>Job description:</p> <p>Deputies in the Fraud and Special Prosecutions Section prosecute complex, interjurisdictional criminal schemes occurring throughout California, including financial, securities, mortgage, and other forms of fraud; public corruption; and underground economy offenses, such as tax fraud, counterfeiting, frauds against workers; and labor and human trafficking.</p> <p>Pay Range (per month): \$10,173 - \$13,062</p> <p>Mailing address: 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000, San Francisco CA 94102</p> <p>Name and email address: Caroline Chen, Caroline.Chen@doj.ca.gov</p> <p>The following information is for members of the Antitrust Section, who have worked on antitrust matters that might involve business operations in Northern California, from 2016 to the present. A * indicates that the individual no longer works on antitrust matters or is no longer employed by the Attorney General's Office.</p> <p>Job description:</p> <p>The Antitrust Law Section is charged with responsibility for civil and criminal enforcement of California's antitrust laws. It has authority to file civil actions under federal antitrust laws and civil and criminal actions under state laws. Duties include, but are not limited to: investigation of potential antitrust violations, legal research, analysis of mergers and acquisitions, advice to governmental agencies and other sections on antitrust issues, complex civil litigation in both state and federal courts, and criminal prosecution and preparation of appellate briefs. Some travel may be necessary.</p> <p>Pay Range (per month): \$9,210 - \$13,716</p> <p>Mailing address: 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000, San Francisco CA 94102</p> <p>Names and email addresses:</p> <p>Michael Battaglia, Michael.Battaglia@doj.ca.gov</p> <p>Paula Blizard, Paula.Blizard@doj.ca.gov</p> <p>*Debbie Chiv, Debbie.Chiv@doj.ca.gov</p> <p>Nicole Gordon, Nicole.Gordon@doj.ca.gov</p> <p>Esther La, Esther.La@doj.ca.gov</p> <p>Adam Miller, Adam.Miller@doj.ca.gov</p> <p>Paul Moore, Paul.Moore@doj.ca.gov</p> <p>*Margaret Spencer, Margaret.Spencer@doj.ca.gov</p> <p>Quyen Toland, Quyen.Toland@doj.ca.gov</p> <p>Emilio Varanini, Emilio.Varanini@doj.ca.gov</p> <p>Brian Wang, Brian.Wang@doj.ca.gov</p> <p>Susan Welch, Susan.Welch@doj.ca.gov</p> <p>Job description:</p> <p>The Antitrust Law Section is charged with responsibility for civil and criminal enforcement of California's antitrust laws. It has authority to file civil actions under federal antitrust laws and civil and criminal actions under state laws. Duties include, but are not limited to: investigation of potential antitrust violations, legal research, analysis of mergers and acquisitions, advice to governmental agencies and other sections on antitrust issues, complex civil litigation in both state and federal courts, and criminal prosecution and preparation of appellate briefs. Some travel may be necessary.</p> <p>Pay Range (per month): \$9,210 - \$13,716</p> <p>Mailing address: 300 S. Spring St., Suite 1702, Los Angeles, CA 90013</p> <p>Names and email addresses:</p> <p>An k Banerjee, Anik.Banerjee@doj.ca.gov</p> <p>Winston Chen, Winston.Chen@doj.ca.gov</p>
--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--

Mailing address: 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000, San Francisco CA 94102	Mailing address: 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000, San Francisco CA 94102
Name and email address: Kathleen Foote, Kathleen.Foote@doj.ca.gov	Name and email address: Laura Namba, Laura.Namba@doj.ca.gov
Job description:	Job description:
The Legal Analyst performs a variety of duties in connection with investigations and litigation within the purview of the Antitrust Law Section, as well as assisting with some of the administrative duties in the section. Pay range (per month): \$4,344 - \$5,602	The Senior Legal Analyst performs a wide variety of complex paralegal duties relating to litigation and investigations with an emphasis in providing discovery and trial preparation support to Deputy Attorneys General and analyst staff. Duties include, assisting attorneys in conducting investigations into criminal and civil antitrust violations, identifying legal violations and preparing pleadings, gathering documents, seizing evidence and developing manual and computerized systems for managing discovery documents, negotiating expert witness contracts, prepares expert witnesses for depositions and trial testimony, drafting deposition notices, attending depositions, summarizing deposition transcripts, attending settlement negotiations and drafting settlement agreements.
Mailing address: 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000, San Francisco CA 94102	Pay range (per month): \$5,223 - \$6,737
Names and email address:	Mailing address: 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000, San Francisco CA 94102
*Samuel Johnson	Name and email address:
Robin Owen, Robin.Owen@doj.ca.gov	Laura Namba, Laura.Namba@doj.ca.gov
Job description:	Jonathan Mann, Jonathan.Mann@doj.ca.gov
The Senior Legal Analyst performs a wide variety of complex paralegal duties relating to litigation and investigations with an emphasis in providing discovery and trial preparation support to Deputy Attorneys General and analyst staff. Duties include, assisting attorneys in conducting investigations into criminal and civil antitrust violations, identifying legal violations and preparing pleadings, gathering documents, seizing evidence and developing manual and computerized systems for managing discovery documents, negotiating expert witness contracts, prepares expert witnesses for depositions and trial testimony, drafting deposition notices, attending depositions, summarizing deposition transcripts, attending settlement negotiations and drafting settlement agreements.	Job description:
Pay range (per month): \$5,223 - \$6,737	The Senior Legal Analyst performs a wide variety of complex paralegal duties relating to litigation and investigations with an emphasis in providing discovery and trial preparation support to Deputy Attorneys General and analyst staff. Duties include, assisting attorneys in conducting investigations into criminal and civil antitrust violations, identifying legal violations and preparing pleadings, gathering documents, seizing evidence and developing manual and computerized systems for managing discovery documents, negotiating expert witness contracts, prepares expert witnesses for depositions and trial testimony, drafting deposition notices, attending depositions, summarizing deposition transcripts, attending settlement negotiations and drafting settlement agreements.
Mailing address: 300 S. Spring St., Suite 1702, Los Angeles, CA 90013	Pay range (per month): \$5,223 - \$6,737
Name and email address:	Mailing address: 300 S. Spring St., Suite 1702, Los Angeles, CA 90013
Ivan Zogovic, Ivan.Zogovic@doj.ca.gov	Name and email address:
Job description:	Ivan Zogovic, Ivan.Zogovic@doj.ca.gov
The Senior Legal Analyst performs a wide variety of complex paralegal duties relating to litigation and investigations with an emphasis in providing discovery and trial preparation support to Deputy Attorneys General and analyst staff. Duties include, assisting attorneys in conducting investigations into criminal and civil antitrust violations, identifying legal violations and preparing pleadings, gathering documents, seizing evidence and developing manual and computerized systems for managing discovery documents, negotiating expert witness contracts, prepares expert witnesses for depositions and trial testimony, drafting deposition notices, attending depositions, summarizing deposition transcripts, attending settlement negotiations and drafting settlement agreements.	Job description:

PRA-2018-02385	Baldwin	Jennifer	12/4/2018	I would like a copy of my brothers mugshot from his DUI arrest in San Diego County, CA. His DOB is [REDACTED]. His name is Brian Michael Baldwin.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Pay range (per month): \$5,223 - \$6,737 Mailing address: 300 S. Spring St., Suite 1702, Los Angeles, CA 90013 Name and email address: Frank Banuelos, Frank.Banuelos@doj.ca.gov Annette Goode-Parker, Annette.GoodeParker@doj.ca.gov Sheila Rhoads, Sheila.Rhoads@doj.ca.gov Response to Request No. 2: There are no documents responsive to this request.</p> <p>Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The DOJ maintains the Cal-Photo network and application, which provides law enforcement and criminal justice public agencies access to mugshot images maintained by California's law enforcement agencies, as well as a connection to the photographs and data maintained by the California Department of Motor Vehicles. Pursuant to the Cal-Photo Policies, Practices, and Procedures, information accessed via Cal-Photo is confidential and for official use only by authorized law enforcement personnel and the release of Cal-Photo information for non-law enforcement purposes is prohibited. This is due to the fact that Cal-Photo image records are a part of the California state summary criminal history records maintained by the DOJ, which are not public records.</p>
PRA-2018-02386	Krasyuk	Olga	12/4/2018	I am seeking to obtain a copy of the complaint and other related filings in the case, especially final disposition, that was filed by the office of Attorney General, back when Kamala Harris was the Attorney General, against Wells Fargo NA for violation of Business and Professions Code based on Wells Fargo's practice of breaking into homes and changing locks in home, which are in default on the mortgage. I am currently personally suing Wells Fargo for identical conduct and these records are for my personal use with my case (30-2018-01028327-CU-OR-CJC). I would greatly appreciate your assistance with this record search.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, such as a case number, please provide that to us.</p>
PRA-2018-02388	Youngblood, Esq.	Hilary	12/4/2018	Records of BFS Toxicology Laboratory, Sacramento Records of BFS Toxicology Laboratory, Santa Rosa All records relating to toxicology testing and results relating to John Edward Corbit (dob [REDACTED]) for MVA date 4/24/18, Santa Rosa Police Department Traffic Collision Report No. 18-0005226.	Completed	Marisa Kolm	<p>We must decline your request. A discovery request made in the context of a case, whether a state court criminal trial or appeal, or a federal habeas or other post conviction matter, should be directed to opposing counsel for response under controlling authority. In your request, you are seeking information developed by this office in conjunction with an investigation by another law enforcement agency. The Department of Justice, Bureau of Forensic Services is an investigating agency in this case, and the flow of investigative information and test results takes place through the attorney(s) representing the State. (See, e.g., Pen. Code § 1054 et seq.)</p>
PRA-2018-02476	Keys (case records)	Zed	12/4/2018	I need to know if you have retention of these case's BR 05 M 59, BR 05 T 3622, BR 11 T 12813, 09 T 1939	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records based on the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p>
PRA-2018-02484	Marshall	Knystle	12/4/2018	Complete court and prosecution records. As much as is allowed and transcript notes. Case name: people of the state of California vs. Dale audiss. Case number. CF 10372.01. Date of hearings 09/14/95 and 02/09/96. Judge Arthur h. Mann. Clerk E. Kaiser. Counsel for people A.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p>

PRA-2018-02494	Weber (CH)	Ashley	12/4/2018	Blum, Counsel for Defendant A. Russo. CDC number J87702.	Under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. Subsection 552, I am writing to request any police reports or documents in regards to Jacqueline Kelly (DOB: [REDACTED]). It is my understanding that Ms. Kelly had multiple theft charges when she was a teen and into her early 20s. Ms. Kelly currently has her niece in her care as a relative foster parent and is in the process of applying to become a licensed foster parent with Upper Peninsula Family Solutions. This information will be used for the purpose of the home study assessment. If these records could be forwarded at your convenience that would be greatly appreciated. If you have any questions or would like any further information please contact me at (906) 273-1095 ext. 211. Please fax any documents to (906) 273-1098 or email to webcra@upfs.org. Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.	Completed	Public Records	<p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a local court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p> <p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. In this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit.</p> <p>California law authorizes certain governmental and private organizations to conduct criminal offender record information background checks to help determine the suitability of a person applying for a license, employment, or a volunteer position working with children, the elderly, or the disabled. Law enforcement agencies, public and private schools, non-profit organizations, and in-home supportive care agencies are some of the organizations authorized to conduct these fingerprint-based background checks. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PRA-2018-02391	Rogers (vital records)	Kenneth	12/5/2018	Divorce Records. Please help. I need a copy of my divorce records. Married in 2008.	Divorce Records. Please help. I need a copy of my divorce records. Married in 2008.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p>
PRA-2018-02392	Hanson (court records)	Daniella	12/5/2018	Time Deadlines Of Court for Kenneth Wayne Price on December 4, 2018. People V. Kenneth Price I don't know the case #	Time Deadlines Of Court for Kenneth Wayne Price on December 4, 2018. People V. Kenneth Price I don't know the case #	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to court deadlines, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the case was heard.</p>
PRA-2018-02393	Rivera (3rd Party Records)	Jessica	12/5/2018	Seeking to obtain all public records containing the criminal history/background of Kathy Piccini (incarcerated at Stockton State Women's Penitentiary during 1992) and all relative information pertaining to previous and/or	Seeking to obtain all public records containing the criminal history/background of Kathy Piccini (incarcerated at Stockton State Women's Penitentiary during 1992) and all relative information pertaining to previous and/or	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or</p>

PRA-2018-02394	Baskin	Robert	12/5/2018	<p>current legal matters Relationship: Daughter</p> <p>My name is Robert Eugene Baskin, I am born [REDACTED] in Grand Rapids, Michigan 49501 I would like the following information provided from your Office at your earliest: Information I am seeking are data pertaining to all of my Trials that held in Los Angeles, California (LA County) San Fernando Courthouse which was Adjudicated from July 6, 1991 through November 19, 1991 for Case number 91F07562 at San Fernando Court. Judge John Gunn & Nora Monella 2. Confirmations of all trial by injuries cases Held in your Department Pertaining to Robert Eugene Baskin or the Following Children; Robert Eugene Baskin II, Ogenia Tyra Baskin, Alexus Daniels, Clayre Hilli. all the Not Guilty Verdicts and or Guilty Verdicts that occurred in 1992 3. Records of the names of all (alleged) 12 Jurors that were present for the alleged (second trial) After the Not Guilty! according to the Levy Officers? 4. The Aforementioned case number is 91F07562 and the and the case was held in San Fernando, California under several charges in fact that a (double) Jeopardy occurred without my knowledge or consent during trial Please provide the following records from your Office As Soon as Possible; All records for these dates: All Liens outstanding and or payments made via The United States</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. In this instance, you may wish to contact the court in which these cases were heard.</p>
PRA-2018-02396	Sparks (complaints)	Brian	12/5/2018	<p>Complaints made to the California Attorney General's Office regarding any of the following businesses: Tesla, Solar City, The Boring Company. 2012 - the present if possible.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p>

PRA-2018-02397	Goldstein (9-11 call)	John	12/5/2018	<p>Requesting transcript of emergency 911 call A 911 call made on 10/15/2018 at approx. 9:30 am from John Goldstein from my cell phone [REDACTED]. I told the dispatcher that a board flew off a truck and struck my car. I was traveling north on the 5 freeway. when officer arrived he assisted us in exchanging information and claimed i didnt need a police report. Now the insurance company wants something to prove it happened at all. I would like a transcript of the emergency 911 call that i placed. i need it to process my claim for the repairs.</p> <p>I hope the transcript of the call is still available. The insurance company conducted their investigation and after 30 days contacted me to tell me i needed to prove the incident happen. they could not reach the driver of the truck so the burden of proof was on me. Since the officer stated i didnt need a report my only hope is the 911 call.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Specifically, you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. In this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-02398	DiPierro (Desert Sun) (ERICA, CLETS)	Amy (Media)	12/5/2018	<p>1. All communications between October 2017 and the date this records request is processed between the Eastern Riverside County Interoperable Communications Authority (ERICA) and the California Department of Justice, including but not limited to emails, text messages, meeting minutes, meeting and event invitations, scheduled events, meeting notes, legal guidance, meeting agendas, recordings, marginalia and memorandum, as well as all associated attachments referenced therein</p> <p>2. To the extent not already covered in point 1, all communications including but not limited to emails, text messages, meeting minutes, meeting and event invitations, meeting notes, legal guidance, meeting agendas, recordings, marginalia and memorandum, as well as all associated attachments referenced therein, between October 2017 and the date this records request is processed between the California Department of Justice and the following individuals:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Kari Mendoza o Travis Walker o Scott Matas o Stan Henry o M ke Wilson o Robert Moon o Lloyd White o David Ready o Rob Rockwell o Greg Fagan o Dale Mondary o Lena Wade <p>3. All communications between October 2017 and the date this records request is processed mentioning the Eastern Riverside County Interoperable Communications Authority (ERICA), including but not limited to emails, text messages, meeting minutes, meeting and event invitations, meeting notes, legal guidance, meeting agendas, recordings, marginalia and memorandum, as well as all associated attachments referenced therein</p> <p>4. To the extent not already covered in point 3, all communications, including but not limited to emails, text messages, meeting minutes, meeting and event invitations, meeting notes, legal guidance, meeting agendas, recordings, marginalia and memorandum, as well as all</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Your request is overly broad and conceivably covers written communications sent and/or received by anyone in the California Department of Justice (Department). Locating such communications without knowing the specific department employee or officer who sent or received the communication would require we search every file. The Department has offices in six different cities and employs thousands of people. In order to respond to your request, each division of the Department, including each section, branch, unit or bureau would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to review each and every individual matter assigned to that deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to determine if they have any communications with Eastern Riverside County Operable Communications Authority (ERICA) or any individuals listed above associated with the authority. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring a more extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) As such, we deny th is item of your request for records as cast.</p> <p>Please be advised that the Department has a dedicated team of staff who fields hundreds of inquiries from law enforcement agencies regarding access to the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). Many of these communications occur during telephone calls and training presentations where no written record of the verbal communication exists.</p> <p>When fielding such inquiries, the Department does not give legal guidance on any particular set if facts or circumstances, but instead directs requestors to the CLETS Policies, Practices and Procedures manual. Although the above-request is overbroad, in an effort to be responsive, we conducted a limited search for communications relating to the Eastern Riverside County Interoperable Communications Authority (ERICA) since October 2017 by contacting logical people within the Department who respond to inquiries from law enforcement agencies regarding access to CLETS, but have not been able to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information about the communications you are seeking, such as the name of the Department employee or officer who sent or received the communication, we can conduct a search of the records within the Department's care and custody.</p>

PRA-2018-02400	Bertanzetti (marriage records)	Traie	12/5/2018	Searching for a copy of marriage license. I got married to Steven Howard in Marina Del Ray years ago and need copy of certificate.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Law enforcement agencies, public and private schools, non-profit organizations, and in-home supportive care agencies are some of the organizations authorized to conduct these fingerprint-based background checks. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p>
PRA-2018-02403	Hews (Cerritos News) (e-mails)	Brian	12/5/2018	Requesting any emails (with attachments) to anyone at the Department of Justice RECEIVED FROM: Stephanie Shimazu, Yolanda Morrow, Nathan Davalle, or Suzanne George from Sept. 2017 to the present regarding third party proposition players firms, player banked, California and Asian games, Pai Gao, Blackjack, casino style games. This is different than my Nov. 28 request in that I am asking for anyone at the DOJ who RECEIVED emails from the above-mentioned people.		Completed	Riann Kopchak	<p>Except as specifically provided in the Gambling Control Act, the records of the Bureau, a subdivision within the Department of Justice, are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) In addition, subdivision (d) of Business and Professions Code section 19821 makes it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department of Justice to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act.</p> <p>A review of your request suggests no applicable exception to the general exemption from disclosure under the Public Records Act set forth above. (See also Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k) [incorporating confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law].) Accordingly, any records that would be responsive to your request are exempt from disclosure by the terms of the Public Records Act, pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Your request for disclosure of these records is therefore denied.</p>
PRA-2018-02404	Ananeh-Firempong (police reports)	Nanafre ma	12/5/2018	I am seeking a copy of a police report from the San Francisco PD. The date of the incident is 2/14/18 and parties involved were Dr. Alexander Firempong (my brother). The police site the privacy of the other involved party as why they will not release, but we are okay with receiving a redacted copy. My Brother, Dr. Alexander Owusu Firempong passed away earlier this year at the home of an ex girlfriend that he previously wanted to get a restraining order against. The San Francisco Medical Examiner ruled it a suicide that same day. However, our family does not believe this is the case as he was not suicidal. We spoke the night before, he had plans the following day, and was going out of town that weekend. We begged the police to investigate and they refused so we wanted to review the police report and see if there is anything there that we can cite. Any assistance in obtaining a redacted copy is appreciated.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Police report records are maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-02406	Herrera (Case No. TBA1100092)	Julian	12/5/2018	Date: 12/27/2010 Court: Victorville Superior Case # TBA1100092 Offense: VC 23152(b) I am looking to obtain a police report and certified copy of the court documents for my personal record.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. Police report records are maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the</p>

PRA-2018-02408	Herrera	Julian	12/5/2018	Year: 2008 Court: Salinas Superior Case # MS264423A Offense: PC 484(a) I am looking to obtain a police report and certified copy of the court documents for my personal record.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>court in which the case was filed, and the local police department where the incident took place.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. Police report records are maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed, and the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-02409	Leda	Bernie	12/5/2018	Please list all firearms registered to Bernie H Leda Trying to comply with all current laws		Completed	Public Records	<p>the California Public Records Act. Any such records would be kept as part of the Attorney General's duties under Penal Code section 11106, subdivisions (a)(I) and (b)(I), to keep certain records that will "assist in the investigation of crime, the prosecution of civil actions by city attorneys ... the arrest and prosecution of criminals, and the recovery of lost, stolen, or found property," and to maintain a registry of firearms information reported to the Department. The categories of information kept by the Department pursuant to Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(I), include records of firearms purchases from and sales to private individuals made by licensed firearms dealers. (See id. at subd. (a)(I)(D) & (E); see also Pen. Code, §§ 29605 & 27525, subd. (a).) Penal Code section 11106, subdivisions (a)(2) and (b)(3), limit the disclosure of the information DOJ collects under Penal Code section 11106, subdivisions (a)(I) and (b)(2), by specifying to whom the Department can provide that information. The information can be provided "upon proper application therefor ... to the officers referred to in [Penal Code section] 11105." (See Pen. Code, § 11106, subds. (a)(2) & (b)(3).) Members of the public making California Public Records Act requests are not identified on the list of officers in Penal Code section 11105. As such, we cannot provide you with the records you seek pursuant to a California Public Records Act request. (See also Cal. Const., art. I, § 1 [protecting California citizen's right to privacy].)</p> <p>Nevertheless, much of the information you seek I kely is available through other means. To obtain a list of firearms listed in your name, complete and submit an Automated Firearms System Records Request to the Automated Firearms Unit, P.O. Box 820200, Sacramento, CA 94203-0200. The request must be signed, notarized, and include a photocopy of your photo ID card (i.e., driver's license or :QMV ID). This form has been enclosed for your convenience.</p>
PRA-2018-02410	Guerin	Emily	12/5/2018	I am seeking a 1969 report by the California Attorney General's office about land sales in California City, CA by a company called Great Western United (also known as: Great Western Cities, or California City Development Company). Also seeking any other records you may have about real estate sales in California City.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides. Our research regarding the report sought in your request shows that you may wish to check the California State Library. Information on how to contact them can be found using the information below: Ask a librarian link via e-mail:</p>

PRA-2018-02496	Enderlein	Richard	12/5/2018	[A]ll information, documentation, and activities undertaken, implemented, and enforced since the passage of AB 30 The California Racial Mascots Act, what have you done? What has your agency done to implement AB 30 in Calaveras County? Are there any laws that the AG and the CA Dept. of Justice don't enforce besides AB 30? If you claim to enforce AB 30, please provide the documents on what has been done to end the institutional racism of Calaveras County.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>https://askstatelibrary.libraryresearch.info/ref/100.aspx?pmi=90I80RUp0a And/or research their catalog found here: http://catalog.library.ca.gov/F/11VBJD4LISGNKRKX2VS7BJR5LSDN2V1LF8CJ8P6FP1CX6HY6VX-16402?func=find-d-0</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks information regarding complaints received or investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General (AG). Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Our office does not have a record of laws that the AG and the DOJ do not enforce. As such, we deny your request.</p>
PRA-2018-02407	Koseff (SacBee) (Hartley lawsuit)	Alexei	12/6/2018	<p>Any written communications since Sept. 23, 2016 by Kamala Harris, Xavier Becerra, Chris Knudsen, Peter Halloran or Amanda Renteria about the Danielle Hartley lawsuit and settlement or about Larry Wallace.</p> <p>Any written communications since Dec. 30, 2016 between the California Department of Justice and U.S. Sen. Kamala Harris, her staff or her campaign about the Danielle Hartley lawsuit and settlement or about Larry Wallace.</p>	Rolling Production	Milad Dalju	<p>On January 30, 2019, Milad Dalju responded: Your request was received on December 6, 2018. On December 17, 2018, we notified you that we were extending the date for responding to your request by 14 days, to December 31, 2018. On December 31, 2018, we notified you that we have disclosable public records that are responsive to your request and anticipated that we would make the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records available to you on or about January 30, 2019.</p> <p>We will not produce records that are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the CPRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, California Department of Justice attorneys provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and California Department of Justice attorneys concerning legal matters are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the CPRA.</p> <p>We will also not produce any records that are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney work-product exemption. This exemption protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the CPRA as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by California Department of Justice employees who are attorneys or act at the direction of an attorney are subject to the attorney work-product exemption and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the CPRA.</p> <p>All records responsive to your request that are not exempt from disclosure pursuant to the exemptions asserted above are attached to this letter. This completes our response to your request.</p> <p>On December 31, 2018, Milad Dalju sent a Rolling Production Letter: On December 17, 2018, the California Department of Justice (DOJ) notified you that it was extending the deadline for responding to your request by 14 days, to December 31, 2018.</p> <p>We have determined that your request seeks disclosable public records in our possession. We are in the process of gathering and reviewing responsive records. We anticipate that the first production of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on January 30, 2019. If any records are withheld or redacted from documents responsive to your request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.</p> <p>December 17, 2018 an extension was sent by Milad Dalju.</p>

PRA-2018-02411	Goldberg (3rd Party Records)	Holly	12/6/2018	I request all public records related to Donald Edward McKinney (age 48), including criminal/court records, incarcerations, lawsuits, judgements, and liens/bankruptcy records. He currently lives in Santa Barbara, CA and used to live in Denver, CO, Arvada, CO, and Oxnard, CA.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PRA-2018-02412	Blutstein (AG communications on with Sen Harris)	Allan	12/6/2018	1. Communications exchanged between the Attorney General and Kamala Harris or her U.S. Senate staff (@harris.senate.gov) from January 3, 2017, through June 30, 2017. 2. Communications exchanged between Amanda Renteria and Kamala Harris or her U.S. Senate staff (@harris.senate.gov) from January 3, 2017, through June 30, 2017.	Completed	Milad Da ju	<p>December 31, 2018 response: We have completed our search and found no records responsive to your request.</p> <p>December 17, 2018 an extension was sent by Milad Dalju.</p>
PRA-2018-02413	Forbath (Towed Impounded Vehicles)	Aaron	12/6/2018	<p>Please provide copies of all documents and records in possession or under control of the Department of Justice for the period between August 1st, 2015 and the present regarding the Department of Justice's tracking of vehicles towed and/or impounded by state and local agencies in California, including, but not limited to, records responsive to the queries below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> How many vehicles were ordered towed and/or impounded by local and state agencies in California each month between August 1st, 2015 and the present? We would like to request records and data from the Department of Justice for all impounds and tows ordered by state and local agencies during the responsive period. Please provide any data and records that captures the following information: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> How many tows were authorized pursuant to California Vehicle Code section 22651(i)? How many tows were authorized pursuant to California Vehicle Code section 22651(k)? How many tows were authorized pursuant to California Vehicle Code section 22651(o)? How many tows did each state and local agency authorize every month during the responsive period? 	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>To the extent that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) has the information you seek, it would be maintained in the Stolen Vehicles System, an on-line statewide database that maintains records that are of interest to law enforcement agencies. All of the information in the Stolen Vehicle System is exclusively entered and accessed via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). CLETS was established for the express purpose of permitting peace officers and law enforcement agencies to conduct official business via a secure telecommunications network. (Gov. Code, §§ 15151-15153.) Accordingly, any information transmitted via CLETS to or from the Stolen Vehicles System is confidential and exempt from disclosure. Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).)</p> <p>Although the above-request is generally exempt from disclosure, in an effort to be responsive, we conducted a search of any reports already compiled in the regular course of business since August 1, 2015, but have not been able to locate any responsive records as we do not process or store reports on towed/impounded vehicles on a regular (daily, weekly, monthly, yearly, etc.) basis.</p> <p>To the extent that you are requesting statistical data for individual offenses, this information is contained in the Automated Criminal History System and deemed criminal offender record information (CORI). Penal Code section 11076, explicitly outlined in Section 11105, provides that</p>

PRA-2018-02415	Bisbikis (liens)	Lenzi	12/6/2018	\$30,000 personal lien against the property and home at [REDACTED] Comments: The lien is a result of divorce proceedings from 2008. The owner of the home, and debtor to me, is Jerrold Curtis Clemens, Jr. The name the lien is under is Lenzi Rian Bisbikis-Clemens. I recently restored my maiden name to only reflect "Bisbikis".			Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>the allegation and the amount paid.</p> <p>In response to this request, we conducted a reasonable search for settlement agreements between the California Department of Justice and its employees that were finalized between January 1, 2010, and December 6, 2018, and are in the process of summarizing those records pursuant to your request. We anticipate that the list you requested will be available on or about February 13, 2019.</p> <p>If any records are withheld from any future production of records responsive to this request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.</p> <p>Request 2: Generically, what is the Department of Justice's policy on training employees on sexual harassment issues before and after complaints are made against them?</p> <p>We found three records that are responsive to this request, and all three records are attached. We have not withheld any records or redacted any information responsive to this request. This completes our response to this request.</p> <p>On December 31, 2018, Milad Dalju responded: Your request was received on December 6, 2018. On December 16, 2018, this office notified you by letter that it was extending the date for responding to your request by 14 days, to December 31, 2018.</p> <p>We have determined that your request seeks disclosable public records in our possession. We are in the process of gathering and reviewing responsive records. We anticipate that the first production of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on January 30, 2019. If any records are withheld or redacted from documents responsive to your request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.</p> <p>December 17, 2018 an extension was sent by Milad Dalju.</p> <p>You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on liens, we suggest that you contact the Franchise Tax Board, or the local county assessor's office for the county in which the property resides if the lien is property-related.</p>
PRA-2018-02416	Kramer (birth marriage records)	Richard	12/6/2018	Hello, I am seeking a birth certificate and marriage lic for the following individuals. (Informational only) looking for only a copy. doesn't have to be certified. Everett R Kramer Mary C Kramer (Verga)			Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p>
PRA-2018-02417	Karas (3rd Party traffic infractions)	Michael	12/6/2018	I would like information on any traffic infractions associated with Blaine Alexander Decker, Male, [REDACTED] Specifically, from February, March, and April of 2014. The subject had a Washington state drivers license at the time and the traffic infraction.			Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your request seeks driving records. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting your driver records can be found at http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php.</p> <p>If you are seeking criminal records regarding a DUI, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law</p>

							enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PRA-2018-02470	James	Jesse	12/6/2018	The People's Fair Sentencing Act, a Public Safety Act of 2018. Did this pass voters in Nov. 6, 2018, Public Information Act 6250 et seq."	Completed	Anabel Renteria	It appears you are requesting information regarding Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act of 2018". This initiative did not qualify for the November 2018 General Election. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-02596	Shannon, M.T.	John Doe	12/6/2018	For Discovery Purposes In The Above-Referenced Case, I Am Requesting That You Provide Me With A Circulating Title And Summary Based On The Text Of The Three Strikes Rehabilitation Reform Act Of 2016, Pursuant To Article II, Section 10(d) Of The California Constitution. Please Provide The Requested Information Within 30 Days Of Receipt Of This Request.	Completed	Anabel Renteria	"Three Strikes Rehabilitation Reform Act of 2016", a copy of the initiative and title and summary are enclosed. This initiative did not qualify for the November 2018 General Election. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-02418	Green (DHCS policy re: PRA sent to DOJ for approval)	Peter	12/7/2018	Any written policy, procedure, directive or instructional material regarding the California Public Records Act, directed towards the Department of Health Care Services. Any DHCS policy or procedure, regarding the California Public Records Act, directed to the Attorney General's Office for approval or modification. If you determine that any or all of the information qualifies for an exemption from disclosure, I ask you to note whether, as is normally the case under the Act, the exemption is discretionary, and if so whether it is necessary in this case to exercise your discretion to withhold the information. If you determine that some but not all of the information are exempt from disclosure and that you intend to withhold it, I ask that you redact it for the time being and make the rest available as requested.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. On December 7, 2018, our office extended the time to respond to your request. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. We note that your request relates to approval of another Department's procedures for processing Public Records Act requests. When the Legislature enacted the Public Records Act in 1968, it provided several judicial remedies for persons who believed that they had been wrongfully denied records to which they were entitled. These remedies include the right to seek injunctive or declaratory relief or a writ of mandate in the Superior Court. (See Government Code sections 6258-6259.) Absent special circumstances, the Legislature did not envision an enforcement role for the Attorney General in connection with noncompliance under the Act.
PRA-2018-02419	Packer (Faster Planet)	Leslie	12/7/2018	I am requesting records involving an IT technology firm called "Faster Planet, Inc." that relate to any hack or databreach. The I kely timeframe for reports or records would be January 1, 2016 to the present, and the records may not be "from" Faster Planet, but may refer to them (e.g., Company A reports a data breach that involved its IT vendor, Faster Planet). Faster Planet, Inc. is currently located at 5105 E. Los Angeles Ave #142 Simi Valley, CA 93063 Office 866.55.FASTER Fax 818.337.0438 info@fasterplanet.com < mailto:info@fasterplanet.com > Please note that I am a journalist investigating a hacking story of public interest. As such, I request fee waiver. If fees cannot be waived, please call me at 516-785-2653 with an estimate of costs and to discuss. I also request that responsive records be sent to me via e-mail (in compressed batches, if necessary). My email account can receive attachments no larger than 10 MB each. I am aware of at least one of Faster Planet's clients, but I don't know if they ever reported a breach to the AG's Office in 2016 when they were hacked. That firm is PreCon	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.

policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).]

Attorney Work-Product and Attorney-Client Privilege Exemption: Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 (which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client) and the attorney work-product privilege contained in Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 (which protects any writing reflecting an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories that is maintained as confidential) are incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); *Roberts v. City of Palmdale* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363; *County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court* (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)

The attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees and prepare confidential analyses as part of their work. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys, and analyses prepared by those attorneys are privileged materials exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.

Deliberative Process Exemption: The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (*Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court* (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are exempt under Government Code section 6255 when the public interest in disclosure is outweighed by the public interest in non-disclosure. (*Citizens for Open Government v. City of Lodi* (2012) 205 Cal.App.4th 296, 306.)

Because it will take some time to review records that may be responsive to your request for such exemptions, we plan to produce responsive, non-exempt records to you on a rolling basis. The second group of documents is attached to the e-mail transmitting this letter. We anticipate providing you with an additional update and production of documents in March 2019.

December 26, 2018 response: On December 17, 2018, we sent you a letter extending our time to respond to this request.

Please be advised that, given the nature of your request, it is likely that, to the extent they exist, many of the records responsive to it are exempt from disclosure in whole or in part under the deliberative process exemption, the investigative records exemption, the attorney workproduct privilege exemption, and/or the attorney-client privilege exemption. The following is an explanation of why such materials would be exempt pursuant to those privileges:

Deliberative Process Exemption: The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (*Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court* (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.

Attorney Work-Product and Attorney-Client Privilege Exemption: Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 (which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client) and the

							attorney work-product privilege contained in Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 (which protects any writing reflecting an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories that is maintained as confidential) are incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) The attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees and prepare confidential analyses as part of their work. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys, and analyses prepared by those attorneys are privileged materials exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Deliberative Process Exemption: The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are exempt under Government Code section 6255 when the public interest in disclosure is outweighed by the public interest in non-disclosure. (Citizens for Open Government v. City of Lodi (2012) 205 Cal.App.4th 296, 306.) Because it will take some time to review records that may be responsive to your request for such exemptions, we plan to produce responsive, non-exempt records to you on a rolling basis. The first group of documents is attached to the e-mail transmitting this letter. We anticipate providing you with an additional update and production of documents in January 2019.
PRA-2018-02427	Katz-Lacabe (Hawk Analytics)	Mike	12/7/2018	Any purchase orders, quotations, proposals, memos, presentations, marketing materials, or emails pertaining to Hawk Analytics since January 1, 2016. Logs or documentation of each time the cellular site simulator (CSS) surveillance equipment was used since January 1, 2016. Logs or documentation of each time cellular tracking devices (such Thoracic) was used since January 1, 2016. Any purchase orders, quotations, proposals, memos, or emails pertaining to Thoracic or other electronic devices used to track cellular telephones since January 1, 2016.	Completed	Marisa Kolm	December 31, 2018 response: The DOJ found no records responsive to your first request. With regard to your second and third requests, no logs were found. Any other responsive documents that may exist are confidential law enforcement records of the DOJ. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigative and security files of the Attorney General. In the case of Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354 the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. The Court also ruled that investigation documents did not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id at p. 355.) With regard to your fourth request, attached please find copies of the following records: • Purchase order 16IT-1165 (4 pages) • Purchase order 16IT-1346 (3 pages) • Purchase order 18IT-000 1 (2 pages) This completes the DOJ's response to your request. December 17, 2018 an extension was sent by Marisa Kolm.
PRA-2018-02428	Smith (missing person)	Lacy	12/7/2018	Sharon Christene Carter Dob [REDACTED] Blonde hair blue eyes I am trying to locate my Aunt. We haven't heard from her in over 20 years. I was told a good way to start was to see if she had any records on file. Thank you.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	To the extent that you are inquiring into the whereabouts of a missing person, the Violent Crime Information Center (VCIC) within the Department of Justice (DOJ) maintains an automated computer system with active file information about missing persons, including information about children and adults. The system was established to assist Law enforcement agencies in responding to reports of missing persons. (Pen. Code, § 14200.) The information is not generally available to the public and therefore is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the PRA. However, after consulting with VCIC, the California Justice Information Services

							<p>Division</p> <p>has determined that it has no responsive records for this request. As provided for by law, the Attorney General has discretion to determine the extent of information and the priority of cases to be included in the internet directory which is accessible to the public at: http://oag.ca.gov/missing. (Pen. Code, § 14201.6.) If available, the following types of information are generally provided: name (first, middle, last), nickname, gender, hair color, eye color, height, weight, date of birth, race, date last seen, agency, and report type (i.e., parental abduction, runaway, etc.)</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history record information, unfortunately, the DOJ is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to Penal Code (PC) section 11076, explicitly outlined in PC section 11105, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records, or to the person who is the subject of the record. Court information through the appropriate county superior court is public record.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-02437	Robinson (Mark Robinson)	Molly	12/7/2018	<p>Between the years 2009-2011. Suspected child abuse. Reported by school counselor at Carver Middle school for Molly v Robinson. Suspected abuser Mark Anthony Robinson. Case was never followed up. Child claimed to have lied. Address at the time was 909 East 27th street Los Angeles California.</p> <p>If there are any other records regarding Molly v Robinson and I am allowed to see them, I would also like to request those, or be made aware of what forms I would need to see them.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>If you are seeking records from the school, you would need to contact them directly. If you are seeking records related to the Child Protective Services, you may wish to direct your request to their local office or to the California Department of Social Services. Information on how to contact the California Department of Social Services can be found on their website at http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#.</p>
PRA-2018-02456	Palomino	Samuel	12/7/2018	<p>According to the referenced documents and seeing that I have sent you my formal complaint against L 'orient Inc. (registered with No. C0936841 as a business - corporation in California) as well as to the time that has since passed, I observe that as stipulated by the law I should have received a response in written form from you confirming that you in fact had received both of my complaints on 4/26/2018 and 8/30/2018 respectively.</p> <p>Therefore, as it is my right in addition to what has been set forth by the CPA, internal administrative rules and regulations of DOJ, I inform you that this is my second letter detailing the current status of my multiple complaints so that I may then decide what following step or steps to take.</p> <p>I also base my request on Article 1, Section 3 (BJ of the California State Constitution, the California Public Records Act (Government Code 6250-6276.48) and the Freedom of Information Act, pursuant to Title 5 of the United States Code, Section 552.</p>	Completed	Melissa Weikel	<p>Pursuant to your request, enclosed please find the following documents:</p> <p>Correspondence to you dated February 8, 2018</p> <p>Correspondence to you dated March 16, 2018</p> <p>Correspondence to you dated July 13, 2018</p> <p>Correspondence to you (via your representative) dated November 13, 2018</p> <p>As we explained in our correspondence of March 16, 2018, July 13, 2018, and November 13, 2018, we attempted to assist you by sending a Notice of Complaint to L'Orient, Inc. They did not respond to the Notice of Complaint.</p> <p>Our office is prohibited by law from representing individual citizens in legal matters. We do, within the limits of our resources, bring lawsuits for violations of California law in cases of statewide significance. However, our role in any legal action against a company is on behalf of all of the people of California. We will retain your complaint regarding this non-profit mutual benefit corporation and contact you should the state commence formal legal action at some future date.</p> <p>If you wish to pursue this matter, we recommend that you consult with a private attorney to determine any civil remedies that may be available to you. An attorney would directly represent your interests and is the one whose advice would be most helpful to you. You may obtain a referral to a certified lawyer referral service by contacting the State Bar at 866-442-2529 (toll-free in California) or 415-538-2250 (from outside California), or via their website at:</p>

PRA-2018-02458	Bollag	Sophia	12/7/2018	<p>- Any records of internal complaints about Larry Wallace or any other personnel in the Division of Law Enforcement since Jan. 1, 2011.</p> <p>- Any written policy describing how workplace complaints are handled at the Department of Justice.</p>			Rolling Production	Milad Da ju	<p>http://www.calbar.ca.gov. Thank you again for contacting our office.</p> <p>January 30, 2019 response by Milad Dalju: Our response to each category of records you requested are as follows.</p> <p>Request 1: Any records of internal complaints about Larry Wallace since Jan. 1, 2011.</p> <p>We are withholding all records responsive to this request because Larry Wallace was a peace officer during his employment with the California Department of Justice. The California Department of Justice employs peace officers pursuant to California Penal Code section 830.1, subdivision (b), and information from the personnel records of peace officers, including records of complaints, investigations, discipline, advancement, appraisal, and election of benefits, are confidential. (Compley Press, Inc. v. Superior Court (2006) 39 Cal.4th 1272, 1284-1286; Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training v. Superior Court (2007) 42 Cal.4th 278, 289-290; Pen. Code, §§ 832.5, 832.7, 832.8.) Thus, records and information from the personnel file of a peace officer of the California Department of Justice are exempt from disclosure under the CPRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), incorporating Pen. Code, § 832.7.)</p> <p>Request 2: Any records of internal complaints about any other personnel in the Division of Law Enforcement since January 1, 2011.</p> <p>We are in the process of reviewing records to determine if any are responsive to this request. We anticipate that the first production of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on March 1, 2019. If any records are withheld from any future production of records responsive to this request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.</p> <p>Request 3: Any written policy describing how workplace complaints are handled at the Department of Justice.</p> <p>We found four records that are responsive to this request, and all four records are attached. This completes our response to this request.</p> <p>December 31, 2018 response by Milad Da ju: Your request was received on December 7, 2018. On December 17, 2018, this office notified you by letter that it was extending the date for responding to your request by 14 days, to December 31, 2018.</p> <p>We have determined that your request seeks disclosable public records in our possession. We are in the process of gathering and reviewing responsive records. We anticipate that the first production of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on January 30, 2019. If any records are withheld or redacted from documents responsive to your request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.</p> <p>December 17, 2018 an extension was sent by Milad Dalju.</p>
PRA-2018-02459	Kopan (SF Chronicle)	Tal	12/7/2018	I am requesting the employment record of Larry J. Wallace at the California Justice Department, including his start date, end date, salary (starting and all adjustments), performance reviews, promotion recommendations, records of any complaints filed, substance of those complaints (if possible) and final disposition of any such complaints.			Completed	Milad Da ju	<p>January 30, 2019 response: Your request was received on December 7, 2018. On December 17, 2018, we notified you that we were extending the date for responding to your requests by 14 days. On December 31, 2018, we notified you that we have disclosable public records that are responsive to your request and anticipated making the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records available to you on or about January 30, 2019.</p> <p>We have withheld records, and redacted information, from the personnel file of Larry Wallace because he was a peace officer during his employment with the California Department of Justice.</p> <p>The California Department of Justice employs peace officers pursuant to California Penal Code section 830.1, subdivision (b), and information from the personnel records of peace officers, including records of complaints, investigations, discipline, advancement, appraisal, and election of benefits, are confidential. (Compley Press, Inc. v. Superior Court (2006) 39 Cal.4th 1272, 1284-1286; Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training v. Superior Court (2007) 42 Cal.4th 278, 289-290; Pen.</p>

PRA-2018-02466	Kopan (employment records)	Tal	12/7/2018	<p>I am requesting the employment record, as available, of Larry J. Wallace (or Lawrence J. Wallace, if records are listed as such) at the California Justice Department, including his start date, end date, salary (starting and all adjustments), performance reviews and promotion recommendations.</p> <p>I am requesting any disciplinary records of Larry J. Wallace where charges were sustained or discipline was imposed in the duration of his employment at the Department of Justice. Courts have ruled that when "complaints of a public employee's wrongdoing and resulting disciplinary investigation reveal allegations of a substantial nature, as distinct from baseless or trivial, and there is reasonable cause to believe the complaint is well founded, public employee privacy must give way to the public's right to know." (Bakersfield City School District v. Superior Court of Kern County, 118 Cal. App. 4th 1041, 1045 (2004)).</p> <p>I am requesting any internal correspondence involving any of the following people: Kamala Harris, Nathan Barankin and/or Larry J. Wallace, that also includes mention of Danielle Hartley or workplace complaints regarding Larry J. Wallace.</p> <p>I am requesting records of any sexual harassment conduct training for Larry J. Wallace and Nathan Barankin.</p> <p>I am requesting any record of investigations conducted by the agency's Equal Employment Rights and Resolution (EER&R) Office regarding Larry J. Wallace and any communication about Larry J. Wallace by EER&R to either Nathan Barankin or Kamala Harris.</p>	Rolling Production	Milad Da ju	<p>Code, §§ 832.5, 832.7, 832.8.) Thus, records and information from the personnel file of a peace officer of the California Department of Justice are exempt from disclosure under the CPRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), incorporating Pen. Code, § 832.7.)</p> <p>All records responsive to your request that are not exempt from disclosure pursuant to the aforementioned exemption are attached to this letter. This completes our response to your request.</p> <p>December 31, 2018 response: On December 17, 2018, the California Department of Justice (DOJ) notified you that it was extending the deadline for responding to your request by 14 days, to December 31, 2018.</p> <p>We have determined that your request seeks disclosable public records in our possession. We are in the process of gathering and reviewing responsive records. We anticipate that the first production of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on January 30, 2019. If any records are withheld or redacted from documents</p> <p>December 17, 2018 an extension was sent by Milad Dalju.</p> <p>February 15, 2019 response: Your request was received on December 7, 2018. On December 17, 2018, we notified you that we were extending the date for responding to your request by 14 days. On December 31, 2018, we notified you that we have disclosable public records that are responsive to your request and anticipated that we would make the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records available to you on January 30, 2019. On January 30, 2019, we provided you with the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records, and anticipated that the second batch of responsive, nonexempt, records would be available on or about February 13, 2019.</p> <p>Attached is the second batch of responsive, non-exempt, records. We redacted the name of California Department of Justice (DOJ) Special Agents because the public interest served by not disclosing such information clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6255.) The DOJ employs peace officers as provided in Penal Code section 830.1, subdivision (b). The DOJ's primary law enforcement function is to conduct covert and overt criminal investigations. As part of their duties, DOJ Special Agents work undercover assignments to develop sources of information and intelligence to accomplish organizational objectives. Releasing the names and other identifying information of these peace officers would compromise their safety and efficacy, and therefore the DOJ's ability to conduct undercover investigations.</p> <p>We anticipate that the next production of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on or about March 1, 2019.</p> <p>If any records are withheld from any future production of records responsive to this request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.</p> <p>January 30, 2019 response: Our response to each category of records you requested are as follows.</p> <p>Request 1: The employment record, as available, of Larry J. Wallace (or Lawrence J. Wallace, if records are listed as such) at the California Justice Department, including his start date, end date, salary (starting and all adjustments), performance reviews and promotion recommendations.</p> <p>We completed a reasonable search for these records and have attached all responsive records except that we have withheld records, and redacted information, from the personnel file of Larry Wallace because he was a peace officer during his employment with the California Department of Justice. The California Department of Justice employs peace officers pursuant to California Penal Code section 830.1, subdivision (b), and information from the personnel records of peace officers, including records of complaints, investigations, discipline, advancement, appraisal, and election of benefits, are confidential. (Comptex Press, Inc. v. Superior Court (2006) 39 Cal.4th 1272, 1284-1286; Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training v. Superior Court (2007) 42 Cal.4th 278, 289-290;</p>
----------------	----------------------------	-----	-----------	--	--------------------	-------------	--

						<p>Pen. Code, §§ 832.5, 832.7, 832.8.) Thus, records and information from the personnel file of a peace officer of the California Department of Justice are exempt from disclosure under the CPRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), incorporating Pen. Code, § 832.7.)</p> <p>Request 2: Any disciplinary records of Larry J. Wallace where charges were sustained or discipline was imposed in the duration of his employment at the Department of Justice.</p> <p>We completed a reasonable search for these records and did not find any responsive records.</p> <p>Request 3: Any internal correspondence involving any of the following people: Kamala Harris, Nathan Barankin and/or Larry J. Wallace, that also includes mention of Danielle Hartley or workplace complaints regarding Larry J. Wallace. Attached are the responsive records we have found so far. We have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>We also redacted the name of DOJ Special Agents because the public interest served by not disclosing such information clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6255.) The DOJ employs peace officers as provided in Penal Code section 830.1, subdivision (b). The DOJ's primary law enforcement function is to conduct covert and overt criminal investigations. As part of their duties, DOJ Special Agents work undercover assignments to develop sources of information and intelligence to accomplish organizational objectives.</p> <p>Releasing the names and other identifying information of these peace officers would compromise their safety and efficacy, and therefore the DOJ's ability to conduct undercover investigations.</p> <p>We continue to search for records that are responsive to this request. We anticipate that the next production of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on February 13, 2019.</p> <p>If any records are withheld from any future production of records responsive to this request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.</p> <p>Request 4: Records of any sexual harassment conduct training for Larry J. Wallace and Nathan Barankin.</p> <p>We are in the process of reviewing records to determine if they are responsive to this request. We anticipate that the first production of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on February 13, 2019.</p> <p>If any records are withheld from any future production of records responsive to this request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.</p> <p>Request 5: Any record of investigations conducted by the agency's Equal Employment Rights and Resolution (EER&R) Office regarding Larry J. Wallace.</p> <p>We completed a reasonable search for these records and did not find any responsive records.</p> <p>Request 6: Any communication about Larry J. Wallace by EER&R to either Nathan Barankin or Kamala Harris.</p> <p>We completed a reasonable search for these records and did not find any responsive records.</p> <p>December 31, 2018 response: On December 17, 2018, the California Department of Justice (DOJ) notified you that it was extending the deadline for responding to your request by 14 days, to December 31, 2018.</p> <p>We have determined that your request seeks disclosable public records in our possession. We are in the process of gathering and reviewing responsive records. We anticipate that the first production of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on January 30, 2019. If any records are withheld or redacted from documents responsive to your request, we</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

PRA-2018-02429	Loyd	Rick	12/9/2018	<p>Bank loan ,mortgage , title trail etc, Do to the resent countrywide /bank of America settlement I'am seeking information of the year 2004 of May the 4th records of a home I purchased . What I searching for in regards of Bank of America has continued to denies the activity of the correct information as I found my loan transfer to countrywide the 2005 September the 9th is being falsified in the matters of my being shown from B of A complaint response of the complaint I had requested in the Year 2018 of October 20th . Since this unexcused reason of how in 2004 May the 4th I went into a term of service of countrywide with a fraudulent form of reason of loan amount , its become a night mare that has unfolded into a falsified string of events of, notoriety terms of loan wordings etc, thats has created my loan payments as for a negative of principle of prof Ive paid A interest only the entire time frame of September 2005 the 9th . This has doubled my house payment as in the past of trying to modify the loan to stop this payment increase thats changed as the terms of 5/1 arm loan I never requested November 1 2008 , This is moving me possibly into A FORE CLOSER on mortgage payments soon as I'm forced of missing a payment . ? If I've contact the wrong department here I APOLOGIZE for in my confusion on this asking? if so could you advise me were this type of info I can redirect to finding this type of info as very much needed to over come this as a fraudulent act of tampering with my personal info in a financial disaster of my life this day of December the 8th 2018 .</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>will provide an explanation for doing so with that production. December 17, 2018 an extension was sent by Milad Dalju.</p> <p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides. For financial records, you may be able to contact the financial institution through which the loan or mortgage referenced in your request was processed.</p>
PRA-2018-02455	Powell	Timothy	12/9/2018	<p>Response letter to DOJ form BOF 119 Law Enforcement Gun Release Application I am in need of a copy of the response letter to the above mentioned form. I had received the original approx 3-4 weeks ago, but at the time I was in the hospital. In the process of being discharged the letter was lost. So I am hoping I can retain a copy of the form to retrieve my firearm and have for my records. A hard copy can me mailed to the above address, or preferably be emailed to the above email address. I can be reached by phone @ [REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Public Records	<p>The law limits the persons with whom the Department may share information contained on a Law Enforcement Gun Release (LEGR) application. (See Pen. Code § 11106, subds. (c)(1)(G). & (c)(2).) Because the PRA exempts any records "the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law" from its disclosure requirements, any records created using information taken from a LEGR application are exempt from disclosure under the PRA. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k).) On this basis, we deny your request.</p> <p>It is unclear from your request if you would still like a copy of the LEGR authorization letter for your own records, or if you only want one you can submit to a law enforcement agency or court that currently has possession of the firearm you wish to have returned to you. If the former is the case, please follow the steps described in the next paragraph, and contact the Bureau of Firearms Customer Support Center at the number provided below and request that a copy be resent to the address to which we sent the original copy. We would not send a copy of the letter, if it exists, to another address or via email because these authorizations are sought and issued using procedures that allow the Department to verify your identity and protect your privacy.</p> <p>If the latter is the case, because LEGR authorization letters expire after 30 days, and because your request suggests the letter you seek would have been issued in November 2018, that authorization would have since expired. To obtain a valid letter you would need to complete a new LEGR application.</p>
PRA-2018-02431	Kreutz (Guiding Hands)	Elizabeth (ABC 10)	12/10/2018	<p>We are seeking communications between the Guiding Hands school in El Dorado Hills, CA and the California Department of Justice between Dec. 4th through Dec. 7th 2018. We would like as much communications as possible, however, specifically, we are looking for a letter sent to the</p>	Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>This correspondence is in response to your online request form submission dated December 7, 2018, which was received by the California Department of Justice (DOJ) on December , in which you sought records pursuant to the Public Records Act contained in Government Code section 6250 et seq.</p>

				school's site administrator, Cindy Keller, on December 5th from the California Department of Justice.				Specifically, you are seeking: "We are seeking communications between the Guiding Hands school in El Dorado Hills, CA and the California Department of Justice between Dec. 4th through Dec. 7th 2018. We would like as much communications as possible, however, specifically, we are looking for a letter sent to the school's site administrator, Cindy Keller, on December 5th from the California Department of Justice."				Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. In this case, you may wish to contact the California Department of Education. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. We hope this information has been of assistance.
PRA-2018-02432	Helms (Clovis PD case)	Thor	12/10/2018	case no. 2018-00072797 clovis police department info on thor-michael montez helms i want all the info regarding this case including mugshot	Completed	Erin Nickless		To the extent that you are seeking police report records, police reports are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .				
PRA-2018-02433	Kingsley (Buena Park PD records)	Frederick	12/10/2018	The BWC (Body Worn Camera) video taken November 7, 2018 by the Buena Park Police Department report # 18-47783 from the following officers: 1. Sgt. Escamilla, Unit 0S2 2. Cpl. Lepe, Unit 023 3. Officer Morales, Unit 023 I would also like a copy of the phone call made by Officer Morales on November 7, 2018 at the request of Lt. Williams later in the afternoon or early evening concerning report # 18-47783 I was denied service and was kicked out of the Buena Park USPS for not providing what the Front Desk Manager thought was appropriate documentation for a service animal. The officers listed above were the responding officers. The report that was filed contained numerous omissions of facts and contradictions of statements made in the report. These video recordings will prove that the statements that I have made are true and the	Completed	Maria E. Silva		Called requester to inform him the records he seeks are in the custody and control of the Buena Park Police Department. He claims the police department will not release the records to him and wanted advice. I suggested he contact an attorney to obtain legal advice.				

PRA-2018-02434	Swain (DNA test)	Melanie	12/10/2018	<p>Buena Park Police Department failed in the handling of this case.</p> <p>DNA Test Results for my brother I recently had a DNA test, as I have heard that my brother and I are only 1/2. Now based on my DNA test, it appears that we may have the same father, after all, I would like to compare mine with my brothers of which the D.A. and police have taken from him. If I am unable to get it from his file, can I bring the test tube with me to visit, and have him spit in it? Please advise.</p>	Completed	Marisa Kolm	<p>We cannot determine from the information provided in your request if your brother's DNA has been submitted to the Department. To the extent that those records may exist, the Department would be unable to comply with your request for records relating to your brother's CAL-DNA Data Bank Program forensic DNA profile.</p> <p>California Penal Code section 299.5(a) exempts DNA Data Bank Program records from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. Significant criminal and civil penalties could be imposed upon Department of Justice employees for violating these disclosure restrictions. DNA Data Bank records also qualify as Department of Justice "intelligence information," and are thus exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f). (See American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 449-450 [interpreting "intelligence information" to include personal identification information].)</p> <p>Finally, the type of information you request constitutes privileged official information within the meaning of Evidence Code section 1040. That provision similarly prevents its disclosure.</p>
PRA-2018-02436	BondGraham - EastBayExpress	Darwin (PRA Logs)	12/10/2018	<p>Please provide me with a copy of your public records request log, or any other document which the DOJ uses to list and/or track all Public Records Act requests submitted between the dates of January 1, 2018 and December 11, 2018.</p> <p>Please include all of the information maintained in the log, including the name of the requester, the records requested, the date the request was submitted, a date a determination and/or response was provided, and the disposition of the request (fulfilled, denied, no responsive records, etc.).</p> <p>If you have any questions, please call me at 510-879-3733.</p>	Rolling Production	Erin Nickless	<p>December 20, 2018 Response:</p> <p>Enclosed please find a compact disc containing Public Records Act request logs from January 2018 through July 31, 2018. We are in the process of generating logs for August 1, 2018, through the date of your request. At this point, we anticipate that this process will take a minimum of 12 weeks to complete. Accordingly, we will produce the August, September, October, November and December logs on a rolling basis, as they are completed.</p>
PRA-2018-02440	Gallegos (Case#F00664)	Feliciano	12/10/2018	<p>My name is Felician Gallegos, I am the Defendant/appellant in the above caused matter (case # F006664 and case # 6551). I'm currently an inmate at the California Men's Colony-West-State Prison located in San Luis Obispo, CA serving a term/sentence under the California Department of Corrections (CDCR). Upon receipt of this legal letter of request I respectfully request the foregoing (above) legal documents pursuant to California Public Records Act and Government Code Section 6250.</p>	Completed	Michael Dolida	<p>On January 3, 2019, Michael Dolida responded: All of our case file records are organized and maintained electronically in a case management software application called Prolaw. In Prolaw, we were able to locate information pertaining to a file with the case number and name you listed in your request. However, in our attempt to retrieve the file from our storage system, we were informed that the file had been destroyed pursuant to the file's assigned retention code. As a result, we do not have any records that are responsive to the request submitted by your mother on your behalf.</p> <p>If you have not done so already, you may try contacting your appellate attorney and/or the superior court where the case was held for additional information on court files that can be disseminated to members of the public.</p> <p>December 20, 2018 an extension was sent by Michael Dolida.</p>
PRA-2018-02441	Gonzalez	Blanca	12/10/2018	<p>Records regarding Pacheco Transport and Kianeses Transport, both in La Quinta, California. Jose Mauricio Pacheco AKA David Mauricio Pacheco. Court discovery purposes</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p>

PRA-2018-02442	Sprague	Jamie	12/10/2018	All documents and communications related to an August 22, 1995 letter and memorandum from attorney Brian Oxman to the Attorney General regarding the prosecution of Donald Bohana in the case People v. Donald Bohana (Case No. BA109446) in Los Angeles Superior Court	Completed	Matthew Cooper	We have searched our file in the matter of People v. Donald Bohana (Superior Court of Los Angeles County, Case No. BA109446). Please be advised that our files does not include a "... letter or memorandum from attorney Brian Oxman dated August 22, 1995"
PRA-2018-02468	Koseff (SacBee) (Settlement agreements)	Alexei	12/10/2018	This letter serves as a request under the California Public Records Act for settlement agreements for claims filed, or threatened to be filed, with a third-party adjudicatory tribunal against the Attorney General or the Department of Justice (including its past or present officers, agents, employees or representatives). This request is for any such settlement agreements signed or otherwise finalized by the Department of Justice since Jan. 1, 2011, in which the Department of Justice agreed to a payment of money or a change in department policy or regulation.	Rolling Production	Milad Da ju	January 30, 2019 response: Your request was received on December 10, 2018. On December 20, 2018, we notified you that we were extending the date for responding to your request by 14 days, to January 3, 2019. On January 3, 2019, we notified you that we have disclosable public records that are responsive to your request and anticipated that we would make the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records available to you on January 30, 2019. Attached is the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records. We anticipate the next batch of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on or about February 13, 2019. If any records are withheld from any future production of records responsive to this request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.
PRA-2018-02474	Johnson	Jonathan	12/10/2018	I am writing due to the People's Fair Sentencing Act of 2018. I'm requesting the written information on it as well, did it pass? Which is it going in effect starting Jan 2019.	Completed	Anabel Renteria	It appears you are requesting information regarding Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act of 2018", a copy of this initiative is enclosed. This initiative did not qualify for the November 2018 General Election. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-02485	Olfati (vandalism)	Parvin	12/10/2018	Any and all documents that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communication to, from, with and/or about Jeff McGuire and/or the California Department of General Services that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati. Patti Olfati, Patti Olfati, and/or Olfati, and/or [REDACTED] created, transmitted, and/or stored on any California Department of Justice department, division, Division of Law Enforcement, Deputy Attorney General, officer, office, agency, agent, employee and/or representative computer or other medium between January 1, 2015 and the date of your response to this letter. The documents requested include but are not limited to: (1) Any house or residence used or believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to [REDACTED] including but not limited to any break-ins to or tampering with the basement, furnace, plumbing, roofing, tarp, drywall, gas system, fireplace, kitchen, appliances, water heater, light fixtures and/or yards; (2) Any mail addressed to or believed to be addressed to Parvin Olfati at any address, including but not limited to [REDACTED] (3) Any safe deposit box believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any safe deposit box at SAFE Credit Union; (4) Any automobile believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but limited to any Mercedes, Honda, Saab, Mercury, Ford and/or Honda automobile; (5) Any person or entity believed or known to be providing services on any automobile used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to Cars 2000, Inc.; Sean Sayareh; Von Housen Automotive Group; Mercedes-Benz of Sacramento; and/or Smog Diagnostics Inc. (6) Any animal believed to be in the care of and/or associated with Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any dogs named Kiko, Sasha, and/or Shadi; (7) Any communications to, from, with and/or about attorney K.W. Davis that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

PRA-2018-02486	Olfati (Michael Thomas)	Parvin	12/10/2018	<p>Olfati and/or any animal believed to be her care and/or associated with her.</p> <p>(8) Any communication to, from with and/or Country Oaks Pet Hospital, Dr. Karl Vance, the office manger and or staff;</p> <p>(9) Any person known or believed to provide gardening services to Parvin Olfati and/or at [REDACTED]</p> <p>(10) Any placement of any animal droppings, animal feces, cleaning liquids, poisons, fertilizer, toxic substances, and/or contaminants at any house or residence believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to [REDACTED], including but not limited to any basement, attic, kitchen, bathroom, and/or light fixtures therein;</p> <p>(11) Any theft or unauthorized taking of any property or document believed to belong to Parvin Olfati;</p> <p>(12) Any person or entity known or believed to be providing veterinary services to Parvin Olfati and/or any animal believed to be in the care of and/or associated with Parvin Olfati;</p> <p>(13) Any kidnapping of, injury to, poisoning or death of any animal believed to be in the care of and/or associated with Parvin Olfati, including but limited to any dogs named Shadi, Sasha and/or Kiko; and/or</p> <p>(14) Any resident of the [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] and/or the [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
				<p>Any and all documents that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communication to, from, with and/or about Michael Thomas or Michael Charles Thomas that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati, and/or Olfati, and/or [REDACTED] created, transmitted, and/or stored on any California Department of Justice department, division, Division of Law Enforcement, Deputy Attorney General, officer, office, agency, agent, employee and/or representative computer or other medium between January 1, 2004 and the date of your response to this letter. The documents covered by this request include but are not limited to the following:</p> <p>(1) Any house or residence used or believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to [REDACTED], including but not limited to any break-ins to or tampering with the basement, furnace, plumbing, roofing, gas system, fireplace, kitchen, appliances, water heater, and/or yards;</p> <p>(2) Any mail addressed to or believed to be addressed to Parvin Olfati at any address, including but not limited to [REDACTED]</p> <p>(3) Any safe deposit box believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any safe deposit box at SAFE Credit Union;</p> <p>(4) Any automobile believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but limited to any Mercedes, Saab, Mercury, Ford and/or Honda automobile;</p> <p>(5) Any person or entity believed or known to be providing services on any automobile used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to Cars 2000, Inc.; Sean Sayareh; Von Housen Automotive Group; Mercedes-Benz of Sacramento; and/or Smog Diagnostics Inc.</p> <p>(6) Any animal believed to be in the care of and/or</p>			

PRA-2018-02500	Middleton	Ervin	12/10/2018	<p>associated with Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any dogs named Kiko, Sasha, and/or Shadi;</p> <p>(7) Any communications to, from, with and/or about Pet Pals, Benita Feil William Zeif, and/or Kenneth Addison;</p> <p>(8) Any communication to, from with and/or Country Oaks Pet Hospital, Dr. Karl Vance, the office manger and or staff;</p> <p>(9) Any person known or believed to provide gardening services to Parvin Olfati and/or at [REDACTED];</p> <p>(10) Any placement of any animal droppings, animal feces, cleaning liquids, poisons, fertilizer, toxic substances, and/or contaminants at any house or residence believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to [REDACTED], including but not limited to any basement, attic, kitchen, bathroom, and/or light fixtures therein;</p> <p>(11) Any theft or unauthorized taking of any property or document believed to belong to Parvin Olfati;</p> <p>(12) Any person or entity known or believed to be providing veterinary services to Parvin Olfati and/or any animal believed to be in the care of and/or associated with Parvin Olfati;</p> <p>(13) Any kidnapping of, injury to, poisoning or death of any animal believed to be in the care of and/or associated with Parvin Olfati, including but limited to any dogs named Shadi, Sasha and/or Kiko; and/or</p> <p>(14) Any resident of the [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] and/or the [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>We do not have records responsive to your request. Your request seeks Attorney General Becerra's "registration statement" under the Foreign Agents Registration Act. However, Attorney General Becerra is not an agent of a foreign principal, and he has no registration (or other) obligations under the referenced statute. For more information on the Foreign Agents Registration Act, you may wish to contact the United States Department of Justice – https://www.justice.gov/nsd-fara/fara-contact-information.</p> <p>Please also note that, absent a request for representation from another state agency, the California Department of Justice responds solely on its own behalf. The Department is not a depository for records for the entire state of California, and we only maintain the Department's own records. If you wish to review or obtain records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you will need to direct your request to that agency.</p>
				<p>1. Requesting a copy of Prosecutor(s) i.e. (District Attorney), Name(s): Dayle Elieson</p> <p>2. Location(s): U.S. Attorney's Office 501 Las Vegas Boulevard South Suite 1100 Las Vegas, NV 89101</p> <p>3. Requesting a copy of Attorney General's Registration Statement, Name: Adam Laxalt</p> <p>4. Location: Attorney General's Office, 555 E. WASHINGTON AVE # 3900, LAS VEGAS, NV 89101</p> <p>5. Magistrate(s) i.e. Judge Name(s): Andrew P. Gordon, Jennifer A. Dorsey, Richard F. Boulware, Lloyd D. George, Robert C. Jones, Peggy A. Leen, George W. Foley, Nancy J. Koppe,</p> <p>6. Location: Federal District Court, District of Nevada, 333 Las Vegas Blvd South, Las Vegas, NV 89101</p> <p>7. Magistrate: Justice of the Peace Sam Bateman</p> <p>8. Location: Henderson Justice Court, 243 Water Street, Henderson, NV 89015</p> <p>9. Magistrates(s) i.e. Judge Name(s): Michael A. Cherry, Lidia S. Stiglich, Ron D. Parraguire, James W. Hardesty, Kristina Pickering, Michael Douglas, Mark Gibbons, Jerome Tao, Abbi Silver</p> <p>10. Location: Supreme Court of Nevada and Nevada Court of Appeals, 201 South Carson Street, Suite 201, Carson City, NV 89701-4702</p> <p>11. Magistrates(s): Barry G. Silverman, Jacqueline Nguyen, John B. Owens</p> <p>12. Location: United States Courts for the 9th Circuit, THE JAMES R. BROWNING COURTHOUSE, 95 7TH STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103</p> <p>13. Magistrates(s): Rob Bare, Eric Johnson, Jim Crockett, Jerry A. Wiese II, Ronald J. Israel</p> <p>14. Location: 81B JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 200 Lewis</p>			

PRA-2018-02443	Palermo	Michelle	12/11/2018	<p>Ave, Las Vegas, NV. 89155</p> <p>15. Requesting a copy of Magistrate's Anti-Bribery Statement as required by the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977 ("FCPA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 78dd-1, et seq., and pursuant to Title 18, Sec. 201. Bribery</p> <p>I am writing you today to request a copy of All files including my name Michelle Nicole Palermo electronically please. Date of birth [REDACTED] I am a single individual whom has never been married and have always lived in California. If you can please help me to get my public records of all court cases and provisions of international guidance. I'm not sure if I'm supposed to go to this site or a different one.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p>
PRA-2018-02444	Triplett	Treasure	12/11/2018	<p>I, Treasure Triplett would like the full or forensic public records for San Bernardino Civil court case #: CIVDS1719091. Treasure Triplett (self) vs. Swift Transportation.</p> <p>I was involved in an accident in July of 2016 and just recently in Nov. 2018 received a check for the damages. I am however, uncomfortable with the amount I received compared to the lawyer. I, Treasure Triplett would like the full or forensic public records for San Bernardino Civil court case #: CIVDS1719091. Treasure Triplett (self) vs. Swift Transportation. I was involved in an accident in July of 2016 and just recently in Nov. 2018 received a check for the damages. I am however, uncomfortable with the amount I received compared to the lawyer.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>As stated in our attached November 28, 2018 response to your request for records related to the case Treasure Triplett vs. Swift Transportation, our office does not have records responsive to your request.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking legal assistance or advice, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options regarding your request.</p>
PRA-2018-02446	Ajetunmobi (complaints)	Alaba	12/11/2018	<p>Details of justified complaints against FREEWAY INSURANCE SERVICES, INC., for the following years: 2015, 2016 and 2017</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p>
PRA-2018-02447	Lazar (DOJ credits-refunds)	Michael	12/11/2018	<p>Pursuant to PRA which grants access to copies of public records, we respectfully request the most up to date information pertaining to the following types of obligations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Records concerning credits, overages, or refunds that are due and owing by the department * Unclaimed Bonds or deposits on hand that are redeemable upon request from payee. * Outstanding and refundable credit balances. * Checks exempt from Unclaimed Property Reporting. * Unclaimed, uncashed, undeliverable, staled-dated, voided, overdue and/or outstanding payments or checks/warrants issued and owed by The California Department of Justice. <p>If some of this request is exempt from release, please release the remainder of the record which is allowed. Please provide all requested records that are greater than \$500, are claimable (The obligation to the payee has not been voided by law). The funds have not been turned over to the abandoned property office, and the funds are not in the process of being issued or reissued. Also for any outstanding checks, please only provide those that the payee still has the right to claim and have been outstanding for a period of over 3 months. For each property, please provide issue dates, payee names, addresses, and dollar amounts due. If possible, an excel</p>	Completed	Stacy Heinsen	<p>After review of the department's records and processes, the department has no records responsive that meet the requirements you outlined in your request.</p>

	emails and texts)			referencing "Danielle Hartley," "Hartley," "Executive Assistant for Larry Wallace," and "Shannon Patterson" between January 2011 and 2014.			<p>7, 2019, we notified you by letter that we needed more time to complete our search for responsive records and that we would provide you an update by January 30, 2019.</p> <p>We completed our search and found no records responsive to your request. This completes our response to your request.</p> <p>December 21, 2018 an extension was sent by Milad Dalju.</p>
PRA-2018-02452	Gibbons (Harris and Wallace communications)	Darin	12/11/2018	All records of correspondence including emails, e-mail attachments, text messages, and memos that were sent by or received from Former Attorney General Kamala Harris and Former California Department of Justice Director of Division of Law Enforcement Larry Wallace between January 2011 and 2014.	Completed	Milad Dalju	<p>January 30, 2019 response: Your request was received on December 11, 2018. On December 21, 2018, this office notified you by letter that it was extending the date for responding to your request by 14 days. On January 7, 2019, we notified you by letter that we have disclosable public records that are responsive to your request and anticipated that we would make the first batch of responsive, nonexempt, records available to you on January 30, 2019.</p> <p>We have completed our search and found responsive records, which are attached.</p> <p>We have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>We also redacted the name of DOJ Special Agents because the public interest served by not disclosing such information clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6255.) The DOJ employs peace officers as provided in Penal Code section 830.1, subdivision (b). The DOJ's primary law enforcement function is to conduct covert and overt criminal investigations. As part of their duties, DOJ Special Agents work undercover assignments to develop sources of information and intelligence to accomplish organizational objectives. Releasing the names and other identifying information of these peace officers would compromise their safety and efficacy, and therefore the DOJ's ability to conduct undercover investigations.</p> <p>This completes our response to this request.</p>
PRA-2018-02453	Gibbons (Wallace grievances)	Darin	12/11/2018	All personnel records, including but not limited to, applications for employment, training records, employment reviews, any grievances or complaints filed against or by, and records regarding the termination or discharge of employment, in any and all formats that mention, reference, or relate to Former California Department of Justice Director of Division of Law Enforcement Larry Wallace, or any common variation of his name. The timeline for this request is from January 2011 to March 2017. The scope of this request is inclusive of any common variations of the name Larry Wallace.	Completed	Milad Dalju	<p>December 21, 2018 an extension was sent by Milad Dalju.</p> <p>February 27, 2019 Response: ... Your request was received on December 11, 2018. On December 21, 2018, this office notified you by letter that it was extending the date for responding to your request by 14 days. On January 7, 2019, we notified you by letter that we have disclosable public records that are responsive to your request and anticipated that we would make the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records available to you on January 30, 2019. On January 30, 2019, we provided you with the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records, and anticipated that any additional responsive, non-exempt, records we found would be available on or about February 13, 2019.</p> <p>We have completed our search for personnel records related to Larry Wallace's employment with the California Department of Justice. Other than the records we provided to you on January 30, 2019, all responsive records are exempt from disclosure because Larry Wallace was a peace officer during his employment with the California Department of Justice. The California Department of Justice employs peace officers pursuant to California Penal Code section 830.1, subdivision (b), and information from the personnel records of peace officers, including records of complaints, investigations, discipline, advancement, appraisal, and election of benefits, are confidential. (Comptex Press, Inc. v. Superior Court (2006) 39 Cal.4th 1272, 1284-1286; Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training v. Superior Court (2007) 42 Cal.4th 278, 289-290; Pen. Code, §§ 832.5, 832.7, 832.8.) Thus, records and information from the personnel file of a peace officer of the California Department of Justice are exempt from disclosure under the CPRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k),</p>

PRA-2018-02454	Gibbons (Wallace communications)	Darin	12/11/2018	All records of correspondence including emails, e-mail attachments, text messages, and memos that were sent by or received from former Assistant Director of the California Department of Justice's Division of Law Enforcement Rick Lopes, and Former Director of DLE Larry Wallace, referencing "Hartley," "Danielle Hartley," "harass," and "reassign" between January 2011 and 2014.				<p>incorporating Pen. Code, § 832.7.)</p> <p>Your request for all personnel records that mention or reference Larry Wallace from January 2011 to March 2017 is unduly burdensome because it requires the California Department of Justice to search for and review the personnel records of every individual employed by the California Department of Justice during an approximately six-year period. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search for and review of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmenjian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Consequently, we decline to search for records responsive to your request as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to arrive at a reasonable request. (Gov. Code, § 6253.1.)</p> <p>On January 30, 2019, Milad Dalju responded: Your request was received on December 11, 2018. On December 21, 2018, this office notified you by letter that it was extending the date for responding to your request by 14 days. On January 7, 2019, we notified you by letter that we have disclosable public records that are responsive to your request and anticipated that we would make the first batch of responsive, nonexempt, records available to you on January 30, 2019.</p> <p>Attached are the responsive records that we have found so far. We have withheld records, and redacted information, from the personnel file of Larry Wallace because he was a peace officer during his employment with the California Department of Justice. The California Department of Justice employs peace officers pursuant to California Penal Code section 830.1, subdivision (b), and information from the personnel records of peace officers, including records of complaints, investigations, discipline, advancement, appraisal, and election of benefits, are confidential. (Comptex Press, Inc. v. Superior Court (2006) 39 Cal.4th 1272, 1284-1286; Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training v. Superior Court (2007) 42 Cal.4th 278, 289-290; Pen. Code, §§ 832.5, 832.7, 832.8.) Thus, records and information from the personnel file of a peace officer of the California Department of Justice are exempt from disclosure under the CPRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), incorporating Pen. Code, § 832.7.)</p> <p>We continue to search for records that are responsive to your request. We anticipate that the next production of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on February 13, 2019.</p> <p>If any records are withheld from any future production of records responsive to this request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.</p> <p>December 21, 2018 an extension was sent by Milad Dalju.</p>
PRA-2018-02457	Bolin (employment records)	Dominique	12/11/2018	10 year employment history. For airline applications		Completed	Milad Dalju	<p>Your request was received on December 11, 2018. On December 21, 2018, the California Department of Justice (DOJ) notified you that it was extending the deadline for responding to your request by 14 days to January 4, 2019.</p> <p>As currently drafted, your request is unduly burdensome because it requires the DOJ to search for and review all correspondence sent by or received from two individuals during a three-year period without reference to any particular subjects. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search for and review of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmenjian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>Consequently, we will be unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate records. (Gov. Code, § 6253.1.)</p> <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or</p>

							control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PRA-2018-02487	Olfati (PRA Procedures)	Parvin	12/11/2018	Any and all formal, informal, written, unwritten, ad hoc, and/or other policies, procedures, guidelines, protocols, chain of command, chain of communication, chain of custody, rules and/or regulations that mention, refer to, and/or relate to the communication of, transmittal of, handling of, and/or communication to the constitutional officer Attorney General, the DOJ Law Enforcement Division, any DOJ employee other than the Public Records Liaison, and/or any person or entity outside the DOJ, regarding PRA, IPA, and/or PRA/IPA requests received from any person or entity. The requested documents, records and/or writings include but are not limited to any document, record and/or writing that would: 1. Show the chain of communication, custody, or command regarding PRA, IPA, and/or PRA/IPA requests received by the addressee office; 2. Constitute any communication about the nature of and/or contents of any PRA, IPA, and/or PRA/IPA request; and/or, 3. Constitute any communication about the nature of and/or contents of any office response to any PRA, IPA, and/or PRA/IPA request.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Our guidelines for processing Public Records Act Requests can be found online at https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/consumers/praguidelines.pdf . Our training regarding the handling of Public Records Act Requests is available online at https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/publications/prapra.pdf . Additional information regarding the evaluation of Public Records Act requests can be viewed in our Summary of the California Public Records Act 2004, which is available at https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/publications/summary_public_records_act.pdf . Additional information regarding the Public Records Act can be found on our Frequently Asked Questions - Public Records page located at https://oag.ca.gov/consumers/general/prafaq .
PRA-2018-02461	Blonien (Gambling license apps)	Jarhett	12/12/2018	1. All initial state gambling license applications submitted by Patrick Tierney.	Completed	Riann Kopchak	Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (c), specifies that except as provided in the Gambling Control Act (Act), the records of the Department-1 are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. In addition, subdivision (d) of Business and Professions Code section 19821 makes it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Act. The Department's Bureau does, however, maintain "applications for licenses" under the Act that are available for public disclosure per subdivision (b) of Business and Professions Code section 19821. -1 The tern "Department" as used in the Act is defined as the Department of Justice. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19805, subd. (b)); see also Gov. Code, § 15001.1 ["The Department of Justice is responsible for investigation and enforcement of controlled gambling activity in this state as set forth in the Gambling Control Act"]. Accordingly, subject to the redactions detailed below, the Bureau is enclosing copies of the license applications descr bed in your request. The license applications required redactions of the following personal information that is exempt from disclosure: residence address, home phone number, personal cellphone number, applicant birth date, and social security number. This personal information has been redacted in accordance with Government Code sections 6255, subdivision (a), and 6254, subdivision (c) ("personnel, medical, or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy"). The public interest served by not disclosing this personal information clearly outweighs the public interest served by its disclosure since this information is protected by the strong public policy set forth in California Constitution article I, section 1 - the right of privacy - yet is of minor significance for legitimate uses. Identity theft and similar serious crimes often rely on such

PRA-2018-02462	Parr (Megan's Law Database)	Lisa	12/12/2018	I would like to have the California Sex Offender database sent to me via email or mail in electronic tabular format (xls, txt, csv).		Completed	Brian Segal	<p>personal information. Non-disclosure of the personal information not exempt from disclosure by subdivision (c) of Government Code section 6254 is therefore exempt from disclosure in accordance with Government Code section 6255, subdivision (a). The decisions described herein with respect to the requested records have been made by Staff Services Manager Riann Kopchak and Deputy Attorney General Derry Knight.</p> <p>Unfortunately, we must deny your request. Most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, § 290.021.) The same is also true for "the California Sex Offender database" kept in the Department of Justice's California Sex and Arson Registry ("CSAR"). Additionally, the PRA expressly exempts from disclosure documents that are private in nature, as well as documents that are investigatory in nature. (Gov't Code §§ 6254, subds. (c) and (f).) To the extent your request seeks information that is confidential in nature, within the meaning of Penal Code section 290.021 or other state statute, your request is denied.</p> <p>However, despite the confidential nature of this information, California provides members of the public with limited access to some of the sex offender registration information via the Megan's Law internet web site. Specifically, Penal Code section 290.46 provides that "the Department of Justice shall make available information concerning persons who are required to register pursuant to Section 290 to the public via an Internet Web site as specified in this section." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, subd. (a)(l), italics added.) The information posted on the Megan's Law web site is the only information that is available to the public. Thus, any requests submitted to the Department of Justice for additional information not displayed on the Internet site must be denied.</p>
PRA-2018-02463	Waters	Byron	12/12/2018	All writings (as writing is defined by Gov. C., sec. 6253(b)) held by you that pertaining to the requestor.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>We have searched our records and legal indices and our results were inconclusive. If you have additional information, such as case names, case numbers, and approximate dates for the records that you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to</p>

PRA-2018-02465	Eli	Iolanda	12/12/2018	<p>I am requesting a Criminal History Background check and a DMV record. This is for employment purposes as the position is working with juveniles that are on probation or in the legal system. I only need 5 years of information. The employer is Nebraska Youth Center, POB 655, 2300 East 2nd Street, North Platte, NE 69103. Chris Amaya is the House Manager and email address is nebraskayouthcenter@outlook.com<mailto:nebraskayouthcenter@outlook.com>, phone number is 308-534-4164, fax 308-534-1068. Please send a copy of information to one of the contacts. The employer will pay fees for the records.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>We note that your request seeks driving records. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting your driver records can be found at http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php.</p> <p>If you are seeking criminal records regarding a DUI, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security. We hope the information we have provided is of assistance.</p>
PRA-2018-02467	Koseff (SacBee) (EER&R Complaint)	Alexei	12/12/2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any records or written communications that track who handled or was told about Danielle Hartley's EER&R complaint. Intake # 0086-2016 – 2862, filed with the Department of Justice on Oct. 3, 2016. Any records or written communications that track who handled or was told about Hartley's lawsuit against the Department of Justice, Case No. 34-2016-00205613, filed on Dec. 30, 2016. Any records or written communications that track who handled or was told about the settlement between Hartley and the Department of Justice, reached on May 16, 2017. 	Completed	Milad Da ju	<p>January 30, 2019 response: Your request was received on December 12, 2018. On December 24, 2018, this office notified you that it was extending the deadline for responding to your request by 14 days. On January 8, 2019, we notified you that we have disclosable public records that are responsive to your request and anticipated that we would make the first production of responsive, non-exempt, records available to you on or about January 30, 2019.</p> <p>We are withholding records, and redacting information, that are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between an attorney and client, are expressly incorporated into the CPRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, California Department of Justice attorneys provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all records pertaining to confidential communications between the Attorney General or his designees and California Department of Justice attorneys concerning Danielle Hartley's lawsuit against the California Department of Justice are confidential pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the CPRA.</p> <p>We are also withholding records that are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney workproduct exemption. This exemption protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the CPRA as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by California Department of Justice attorneys or at their direction are subject to the attorney work-product exemption and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the CPRA.</p> <p>We are also withholding records that are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the deliberative process privilege, which exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are</p>

PRA-2018-02469	Rose	Laura	12/12/2018	Id like to request all my personal records from the years 2000-2018	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>All records responsive to your request that are not exempt from disclosure as asserted above are attached to this letter. This completes our response to your request.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PRA-2018-02472	Scharfenberger	Eric	12/12/2018	I am seeking the contracts between the Attorney Generals office and the State of California Child Support Enforcement Agency as outlined under 45 CFR 302.34, or any other plans of cooperation or cooperative agreements between the CSEA and Attorney Generals Office. Thank you.) "Ice Ice Baby"	Completed	Cheryl L. Feiner	<p>We were able to locate the following document responsive to your request: Cooperative Agreement (Agreement Number 10-0708-17) between the California Department of Child Support Services and the Department of Justice.</p> <p>This concludes our response to this request.</p>
PRA-2018-02473	Alepvan (personal cases)	Akop	12/12/2018	Any and all case names and numbers relating to Akop Jack Alepvan.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from court cases, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.</p>
PRA-2018-02495	Bryant	Shelley	12/12/2018	Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act and California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to obtain a copy of the following, which I understand to be held by your agency:	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of a local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>

PRA-2018-02471	Perman	Stacy	12/13/2018	<p>All records that show whether the Department of Justice charged Stanislaus County any fees for accessing records in the Child Abuse Central Index since January 1, 2017, and the amount of those fees. I ask for a determination on this request within 10 days of your receipt of it. and an even prompter reply if you can make that determination without having to review the record[s] in question.</p> <p>If you determine that any or all of the information qualifies for an exemption from disclosure, I ask you to note whether, as is normally the case under the Act, the exemption is discretionary, and if so whether it is necessary in this case to exercise your discretion to withhold the information.</p> <p>My name is Stacy Perman and I am a reporter with the Los Angeles Times. I'm emailing you because I want to request an electronic copy of the Megan's Law database.</p>	LA Times	Completed	Jennifer Binger	<p>The DOJ has determined that we charged Stanislaus County Welfare for 867 transactions that had a Child Abuse Central Index level of service between January 1, 2017 and December 18, 2018. As we charge \$15 for each transaction, the total amount of those fees equates to \$13,005.</p> <p>Unfortunately, we must deny your request for the following reasons: (l) Public access to confidential sex offender registration information is controlled by Megan's Law which, for database integrity reasons, limits such access to real-time internet searches on the Megan's Law web site; and (2) Given the constantly changing nature of the Megan Law's database, the public's interest is best served by limiting public access to confidential sex offender registration information that is accurate and up-to-date.</p> <p>Megan's Law Provides for Internet Access Only</p> <p>Most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e. not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, § 290.021.) The same is also true for "criminal offender record information" kept in the Department of Justice's California Sex and Arson Registry ("CSAR;"). Additionally, the PRA expressly exempts from disclosure documents that are private in nature, as well as documents that are investigatory in nature. (Gov't Code §§ 6254, subds. (c) and (t).) To the extent your request seeks information that is confidential in nature, within the meaning of Penal Code section 290.021 or other state statute, your request is denied.</p> <p>However, despite the confidential nature of this information, California provides members of the public with limited access to some of the sex offender registration information via the Megan's Law internet web site. Specifically, Penal Code section 290.46 provides that "the Department of Justice shall make available information concerning persons who are required to register pursuant to Section 290 to the public via an Internet Web site as specified in this section." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, subd. (a)(1), italics added.) The statute further requires the department to "update the Internet Web site on an ongoing basis." (Ibid.) As mentioned in the notes to this code section, the Legislature created the Megan's Law web site with the intent "that the Department of Justice [would] continue to maximize all available resources to ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats. 2004 ch. 745, § 2.) Additionally, the Legislature enacted Megan's Law with the intent that "California's infrastructure for collecting, maintaining, and disseminating information about registered sex offenders [would] be retooled to ensure that law enforcement and the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats. 2006 ch. 337, § 2, subd. (c), italics added.) Thus, in order to help ensure and maintain the accuracy of this information, the Legislature limited the public dissemination of sex offender registration information to the Megan's Law web site. The information posted on the Megan's Law web site is the only information that is available to the public. Thus, any requests submitted to the Department of Justice for additional information not displayed on the Internet site must be denied.</p> <p>The Public Interest is Best Served by Limiting Access to Current and Accurate Information</p> <p>In your request, you state you are looking for "an electronic copy of the</p>
----------------	--------	-------	------------	--	----------	-----------	-----------------	---

PRA-2018-02481	Raboin	Ronald	12/13/2018	On March 16 2018, I was the victim of an assault and battery by two co-workers that occurred on the job in the city of El Centro, California. Deputy Baragon arrived at the scene and recorded statements from myself and others, using "body cam" technology. While in shock and traumatized by the attack, I declined to file charges. Aware that I had a 1year SOL, I contacted Deputy Baragon and requested a police report of the incident. He informed me that he did not file an incident report but at my request he could generate a report from said body cam footage. However he claimed I would require a request from my lawyer to do so. My lawyer suggested I file a PRA request. In response to his suggestion I am requesting said report and the Body Cam footage. I will be filing charges and a personal injury lawsuit as remedy for the injury sustained during the attack. My request for Workmans Comp has been refused by the employer and this evidence would be crucial to recover damages caused the two assailants and help prove the employer is in violation of Labor Code 3201.5	Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records and body camera information are maintained by the local department that generated the records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. In this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-02482	Castro	Destiny	12/13/2018	Dwv587 August 2010- 2018 I need all court documents for my trial	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from court cases, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.
PRA-2018-02483	Dunford	Mervin	12/13/2018	I Mervin Dunford is requesting the probation report from Superior Court trial dated 02/18/1992 Case Number: 89F04077	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a Superior Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-02488	Bassi (DA Jeff Rosen)	Susan (Media)	12/13/2018	This is a formal records request under California's Public Records Act. I am seeking all records that would be considered public records held by the AG on the Santa Clara County District Attorney's Office. The time period of this request is from 2006- production and specifically includes records related to the 2010 campaign of DA Jeff Rosen, and all records subject to production based on the closed investigation of Jeff Rosen's office for conduct related to misappropriation of public funds in and around 2012 and 2013. Any findings, press releases, expense documents, campaign information or other records	Completed	Chris Knudsen	On January 4, 2019, Chris Knudsen responded: As a preliminary matter, in seeking "all records that would be considered public records held by the AG" relating to the Santa Clara County District Attorney's Office from 2006 to the present, your request is overly broad. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) As such, we must respectfully deny your request as currently cast. It should be noted that we nonetheless performed a search for Santa Clara County District Attorney public documents with knowledgeable persons and the only potentially responsive documents we located were

			<p>specifically related to communications between Jeff Rosen and former prosecutor Kayrn Sininu Towery, or Mr. Rosen's attorney James Towery are specifically requested. Including also any expense records, overtime sheets and communications or requests to Santa Clara County.</p> <p>Comments: I am unfamiliar with the records that may be responsive to this request. From an investigation of prior media stories I understand the DA was investigated in May of 2013, and there may have been other investigations and reports which are concluded where the records would be subject to production. I am also asking for all invoices, billings and expenses reported for any investigation of the Santa Clara County DAO for this time period. Email is the best way to reach me:</p> <p>gilroybassi@gmail.com<mailto:gilroybassi@gmail.com>. I am currently in a poor cell phone service area but will call back if you would like to email me a number to contact. This request is urgent based on an active media investigation.</p> <p>Thank you .</p>		<p>those related to the 2013 investigation discussed below. However, if you have a more specific request, please submit a new Public Records Act request.</p> <p>Your request specifically references the 2013 investigation of the District Attorney's Office. As an initial matter, we note that the Department is responding to this request on its own behalf and not on behalf of any other agency. If you wish to review records that are in the possession, custody or control of another agency, such as the County of Santa Clara, we suggest that you direct your request to them. For the reasons set forth below, we must also respectfully deny this part of your request:</p> <p>1. Investigative Records Are Exempt From Disclosure</p> <p>The records that you seek constitute records of a confidential investigation by the office of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).].)</p> <p>2. Attorney-Client Privileged Records Are Exempt From Disclosure</p> <p>The records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code§ 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the County of Santa Clara retained the Attorney General's office to complete an investigation relating to the granting of administrative leave. An employment attorney was assigned to complete the investigation and that attorney was required to use her legal expertise to identify, analyze, and evaluate the pertinent facts. The attorney-client privilege extends to situations where an attorney conducts a factual investigation for a client. (City of Petaluma v. Superior Court (2016) 248 Cal.App.4th 1023 (review denied).)</p> <p>3. Attorney Work Product Is Exempt From Disclosure</p> <p>Additionally, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing or other document that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Similarly, the documents gathered and questions asked of witnesses reflect her approach, thought processes, and mental impressions.</p> <p>4. Private Records Are Exempt From Disclosure</p> <p>Government Code Section 6254, subdivision (c) makes exempt personnel, medical, or similar files the disclosure of which would cause an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.</p> <p>The request is also denied to the extent it seeks private and confidential information.</p> <p>5. The Public Interest Served By Not Disclosing These Records Clearly Outweighs The Public Interest Served by Disclosure.</p> <p>The investigation files are exempt and privileged, but we also note that withholding is appropriate under Section 6255 of the Government Code. Under the facts of this particular case, the public interest served by not</p>
--	--	--	---	--	--

							disclosing the records clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the records. There is a significant public interest in not disclosing documents and other information gathered during a confidential investigation. Keeping documents provided to the investigator confidential preserves the integrity of the investigation process; it encourages witnesses and other entities to cooperate during an investigation. If the documents or other information provided during an investigation were subject to public disclosure, it would be much less likely that witnesses and others would fully cooperate with investigators. Any public interest in disclosure is outweighed by the significant public interest in ensuring that investigations are kept confidential and so encourage agencies to investigate workplace matters and to also encourage witnesses to speak to investigators freely and provide necessary documents and other information.
PRA-2018-02489	Pappous (Alexander Merman murder)	Norman	12/13/2018	We seek any public records regarding any legal actions between the Sate of California, or the City of Los Angeles, or the city of Santa Monica, and Daniel Edward Becerril II. Becerril pleaded guilty to the murder of Alexander Merman in 2012 but the murder took place in 2008. We also seek any public records regarding his wife Sandra Becerril who was also arrested and charged with crimes in connection with the killing of Alexander Merman.	Completed	Maria Flores	December 21, 2018 an extension was sent by Chris Knudsen, EAM SAAG. Called requester to inform him after conducting research we were unable to locate responsive records and to contact the Santa Monica DA's office.
PRA-2018-02490	Sanchez (case records)	Erica	12/13/2018	Requesting to see if any case has been filed against me.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-02491	Horan (Will)	Deborah	12/13/2018	My father Timothy Augustin Horan died on [REDACTED] in [REDACTED]. I am looking for the report that identifies his next to kin, as it never mentions his children or grandchildren. Instead it mentions Patricia Horan (no blood relative), his brother William Christopher Horan, Jennifer Horan (William's EX Wife), and William's daughters Elizabeth, Rebecca, and Deborah M. I need a certified copy of this report because it was a falsified document and I need to correct it. Greedy relatives used my schizophrenic brother and myself as victims in their crime scheme to steal 5 million they were never mentioned in.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, and/or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding a probate matter, estate records, and/or a trust. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office. If you are seeking information related to a trust, you may wish to contact the financial institution or custodian that holds the trust. If you are seeking

PRA-2018-02492	Dillon (Prison Legal News) (death in custody)	Michelle	12/13/2018	<p>I am requesting, pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Gov. Code § 6250-6276.48 et seq.), inspection of the following records in the possession or control of the California Department of Justice:</p> <p>Non-medical records that include or list the deaths of any inmates at the CoreCivic-operated California City Correctional Center, Florence Correctional Center, La Palma Correctional Center, Leo Chesney Correctional Facility, North Fork Correctional Facility, Red Rock Correctional Center, and Tallahatchie County Correctional Facility, as well as any other facilities in California not named in the previous list which are operated by CoreCivic under contract with California, from the date that California first began housing state prisoners at each facility to the present, which include the information described below for each such death. This request includes records from the listed facilities even if that contract is no longer active or even if the facility has since closed. We are aware that CoreCivic was previously known as CCA/Corrections Corporation of America. We request that any search for these records be comprehensive in regard to those name changes.</p> <p>The intent of this records request is to establish a list of all inmates who have died while in CoreCivic's custody at the above-referenced facilities. For the purpose of this request, "in CoreCivic's custody" means the death occurred at the CoreCivic-operated facility or immediately after an inmate was transported to a hospital or other medical center or medical prison from the CoreCivic-operated facility, where the proximate cause of death occurred or originated at the CoreCivic-operated facility.</p> <p>I am seeking records for each such death that include: 1) the name of the decedent inmate, 2) the date of death, 3) the facility where the death occurred and 4) the nature of the death if known – e.g., suicide, homicide, accident, natural causes, medical-related, etc. With respect to the nature of death, I am only seeking such information that is included in non-medical records maintained by the California Department of Justice; I am not seeking a death certificate, autopsy or medical examiner's report.</p> <p>If it would be more convenient for the California Department of Justice staff when responding to this public records request, a spreadsheet that lists the requested information would be sufficient.</p> <p>If this public records request is denied in whole or in part, please notify me promptly and provide the specific exemptions you are claiming under California Public Records Act (Gov. Code § 6250-6276.48 et seq.) or other statutory authority. The information requested is segregable; if you claim an exemption for any of the requested documents, please promptly produce those for which you do not claim an exemption.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>a Medical Examiner's report, you should contact the Medical Examiner's office.</p> <p>Death in Custody & Arrest-Related Deaths data is available to the public on OpenJustice, a transparency initiative led by the California Department of Justice (DOJ) that publishes criminal justice data so that the DOJ can understand how it's doing, hold itself accountable, and improve public policy to make California safer. http://www.openjustice.doj.ca.gov/.</p> <p>California law enforcement agencies report to the DOJ information on any death that occurs while an individual is: (1) in the process of arrest; (2) killed by use of force by law enforcement; (3) in the temporary custody of a law enforcement agency; or (4) incarcerated in a city, county, or state correctional facility.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. Please find attached the responsive records for your review. Note, we have only received death in custody forms from California City Correctional Facility. We have not received forms from any other CoreCivic-operated facility.</p>
PRA-2018-02502	Granick (hacking as part of LE investigation s)	Jennifer (ACLU)	12/13/2018	<p>January 29, 2019 clarification: Thank you for your call earlier today. I have conferred with requester Jennifer Granick (cc'ed). As you and I discussed on the phone, we will narrow our search to only records about tools that allow law enforcement to access data from devices remotely, and</p>	Completed	Anthony P. O'Brien	<p>February 1, 2019 response: On December 21, 2018, we extended the time to respond to your request to January 7, 2019. (Gov. Code § 6253, subd. (c); Code Civ. Proc. §§ 10, 12a, 135.) On January 7, 2019, we responded to your request, stating that the request seeks disclosable public records in our possession, and that we were reviewing those</p>

				<p>not any further records about forensic tools that allow law enforcement to access data from devices in already their physical possession.</p> <p>If you have any other questions about our request, please feel free to contact us here or call me at 847-757-1199.</p> <p>Thank you very much for your assistance!</p> <p>January 29, 2019 follow up: We're writing on behalf of Jennifer Granick of the ACLU (cc'ed), and we just left you a voicemail. We received your message about narrowing our request for records regarding the California Department of Justice's use of "hacking" techniques in law enforcement investigations.</p> <p>You can reach us today at 847-757-1199, or we'd be happy to set up a time to talk at your convenience sometime this week. Thanks so much for your time and assistance.</p> <p>December 13, 2019 request: We are attorneys with the American Civil Liberties Union and the American Civil Liberties Union of Northern California Foundation ("ACLU"). This is a request under the California Public Records Act (California Government Code § 6250 et seq.).</p> <p>We seek records' regarding the California Department of Justice's acquisition and use of "hacking" techniques as part of its law enforcement investigations. With hacking techniques or software, the Department may, among other things, remotely access or perform forensic analysis on electronic devices such as phones or computers that belong to members of the public.</p> <p>1 Throughout this request, the term "records" includes but is not limited to any paper or electronic information, reports, evaluations, memoranda, correspondence, letters, emails, charts, graphs, flyers, meeting agendas, meeting minutes, training materials, diagrams, forms, DVDs, tapes, CDs, notes, or other similar materials.</p> <p>2 For purposes of all requests herein, the phrases "hacking," "hacking technique," and "equipment, software and/or technology that implements or facilitates hacking" have their ordinary meanings and include (but are not limited to): techniques that have been described using terms such as "Network Investigation Technique" or "NIT," "Computer Network Exploitation" or "CNE," "Computer and Internet Protocol Address Verifier" or "CIPA V," "Internet Protocol Address Verifier" or "IPA V," "Remote Access Search and Surveillance" or "RASS," "Remote Computer Search," "Remote Access Search," "Remote Search," "Web Bug," "Sniffer," "Computer Tracer," "Internet Tracer," "Remote Computer Trace," or "lawful access" tool; techniques that enable the bypass of encryption, passwords, or other security features in order to facilitate searches or seizures of information stored on computers, laptops, or mobile devices; software commonly described as malware, spyware, trojans, worms, or viruses; and/or relevant commercially available equipment, software and/or technology, including but not limited to: Remote Control System a.k.a. RCS or Galileo (marketed by Hacking Team); Finfisher, FinFisher Relay, FinSpy, and FinFly (marketed by Lench IT Solutions); Pegasus (marketed by NSO Group); Cellebrite's Advanced Unlocking & Extraction Services, XRY, Oxygen Forensic</p> <p>Please provide copies of records created from July 1, 2016 to the present relating to or reflecting:</p> <p>(1) The California Department of Justice's acquisition of</p>				<p>documents to determine if any portion of them might require redaction.</p> <p>And on January 17, 2019, we produced 10 documents related to training programs provided by the DOJ to law enforcement regarding basic forensic examination of cellular phones. In that letter, we stated that we were continuing to review thousands of potentially responsive documents, and that we would produce the next batch of responsive, nonexempt documents by February 1, 2019.</p> <p>On January 29, 2019, I spoke with Kara Brandeisky regarding the scope of your request. During the phone call and in the attached email, Ms. Brandeisky confirmed that you were narrowing your request to only those records related to tools used by DOJ to access data from devices remotely, and that you did not seek records related to "forensic tools that allow law enforcement to access data from devices" already in their physical possession.</p> <p>We have consulted with knowledgeable persons and can now confirm that DOJ does not remotely access any computers, mobile devices, or other electronic devices outside of DOJ's network, for investigation, training, or any other purpose. Therefore, DOJ does not have any documents that would be responsive to your narrowed Public Records Act request.</p> <p>January 17, 2019 response sent by Anthony O'Brien: On December 21, 2018, we extended the time to respond to your request to January 7, 2019. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c); Code Civ. Proc., §§ 10, 12a, 135.) On January 7, 2019, we responded to your request, stating that the request seeks disclosable public records in our possession, and that we are continuing to review those documents to see if any portion of them might be subject to redaction. In that letter, we stated that we anticipated producing responsive, non-privileged, non-exempt records by Thursday, January 17, 2019.</p> <p>Pursuant to the letter dated January 7, 2019, please find attached disclosable documents responsive to your requests. Our office identified these documents as responsive to your request because they relate to training programs provided by the Department of Justice to law enforcement on conducting basic forensic examinations of cellular phones. Production of these documents should not be construed as an admission or acknowledgement that the Department of Justice utilizes "hacking" techniques-as defined in your request-or trains other law enforcement personnel on such "hacking" techniques.</p> <p>Our office has redacted references to specific serial numbers of those products purchased by the Department of Justice. It is our understanding that these serial numbers, or variations thereof, are frequently used as online account numbers, thereby posing a security risk to the law enforcement agency accounts. Therefore, the public interest in not disclosing these specific serial numbers clearly outweighs any public interest in disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6255, subd. (a).)</p> <p>In addition to the attached documents, our search in response to your request has identified thousands of potentially responsive documents. Our office is continuing to review those documents to determine if they are in fact responsive to your request, and whether they are otherwise exempt from disclosure. We will produce any responsive, non-exempt documents on a rolling basis, with the next set of documents to be produced by February 1, 2019.</p> <p>January 7, 2019, an update was sent by Anthony O'Brien: On December 21, 2018, we extended the time to respond to your request to January 7, 2019. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c); Code Civ. Proc., §§ 10, 12a, 135.) We have determined that the request seeks disclosable public records in our possession. (See Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c).) We are still reviewing those documents to see if any portion of them may be subject to redaction based on the applicable privileges or exemptions under the Public Records Act. We anticipate producing responsive, non-privileged,</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

PRA-2018-02498	Reston (Wallace complaints - Barankin/Harris docs)	Maeve (CNN)	12/14/2018	<p>equipment, software, and/or technology that implements or facilitates hacking in civil or criminal investigations, including but not limited to invoices, calls for proposals, and other purchasing documents;</p> <p>(2) The California Department of Justice's training of personnel in equipment, software and/or technology that implements or facilitates hacking;</p> <p>(3) The California Department of Justice's communications with vendors of equipment, software and/or technology that implements or facilitates hacking, and communications with local, state and/or federal agencies or foreign entities that reference commercially-available equipment, software and/or technology.</p> <p>We prefer to receive these documents electronically at igranick@aclu.org. With respect to the form of production, we request that responsive electronic records be provided electronically in their native file format, if possible. Alternatively, we request that the records be provided electronically in a text-searchable, static-image format (PDF), in the best image quality in the agency's possession. Please provide copies of the entire correspondence in relevant searches, including any and all documents or attachments that were included or forwarded.</p>	Completed	Milad Da ju	<p>non-exempt records by Thursday, January 17, 2019. December 21, 2018 an extension was sent by Marisa Kolm.</p>
				<p>Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records pertaining to any gender discrimination or sexual harassment complaints against Larry Wallace, an employee of the California Department of Justice, between 1 June 2016 and 1 July 2017.</p> <p>As part of this request, please provide any correspondence, emails, notes or memos related to any claims or complaints filed against Larry Wallace.</p> <p>This should include, but not be limited to, any correspondence between Larry Wallace and other employees of the Department of Justice related to gender discrimination or sexual harassment claims.</p> <p>This request should also cover any executive office briefing memos sent to, or prepared by, DOJ Chief of Staff Nathan Barankin or other senior staff, and any memos, briefings or emails sent to Attorney General Kamala Harris regarding any sexual harassment and/or gender discrimination complaint during that time period.</p> <p>If there are any fees for searching or copying these records, please inform me if the cost will exceed \$100. However, I would also like to request a waiver of all fees in that the disclosure of the requested information is in the public's interest and will contribute significantly to the public's understanding of the conduct of our elected officials and their staff. I'm a national political reporter for CNN and the information will be used for news-gathering purposes. This information is not being sought for commercial purposes.</p>			<p>On January 30, 2019, Milad Daiju sent a response: Your request was received on December 14, 2018. On December 24, 2018, this office notified you by letter that it was extending the deadline for responding to your request by 14 days. On January 7, 2019, we notified you by letter that we needed more time to complete our search for responsive records and that we would provide you an update by January 30, 2019.</p> <p>We are withholding records, and redacting information, that are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between an attorney and client, are expressly incorporated into the CPRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, California Department of Justice attorneys provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all records pertaining to confidential communications between the Attorney General or his designees and California Department of Justice attorneys concerning legal matters are confidential pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the CPRA.</p> <p>We are also withholding records that are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney workproduct exemption. This exemption protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the CPRA as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by California Department of Justice attorneys or at their direction are subject to the attorney work-product exemption and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the CPRA.</p> <p>We are also withholding records that are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the deliberative process privilege, which exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public</p>

							scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances, are exempt from disclosure under the CPRA. All records responsive to your request that are not exempt from disclosure as asserted above are attached to this letter. This completes our response to your request. On January 7, 2019, Milad Dalju sent a status update. December 21, 2018 an extension was sent by Milad Dalju.
PRA-2018-02501	Raymond	Keimer	12/14/2018	Residence Verification Form, related to appointment of Marsha L. Brown to the Central Area Planning Commission for the term ending June 30, 2017. The appointment letter sent to Ms. Brown, signed by then Mayor Antonio Villaraigosa requested the Residence Verification Form be filed with the city [Los Angeles] Ethics Commission within 21 days of receipt of the letter (dated: September 13, 2012).	Completed	Maria E. Silva	Called requester to confirm he was seeking records from the Ethics Commission and he confirmed. I explained DOJ was not the depository for the State of California and he need to submit his request to the Commission directly.
PRA-2018-02503	Thomas	Aaron	12/14/2018	1. Armed Prohibited Persons report 2. Criminal record	Completed	Public Records	The Department interprets your request to mean you would like to know if you are identified in the Armed and Prohibited Persons System database ("APPS") or if we have a criminal record for you, and, if either record exists, you would like a copy of that record. We address each item of your request in turn: Request for APPS Record The law limits the persons with whom the Department may share information contained in its Armed and Prohibited Persons System (APPS). (See Pen. Code, § 30000, subd. (b) [restricting the persons to whom APPS information can be made available to certain persons, who are generally involved in the criminal justice system].) Because the PRA exempts any records "the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law" from its disclosure requirements, any records created using information taken from APPS, other than an annual report to the Legislature, are exempt from mandatory disclosure under the PRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).) On this basis, we deny your request. Information in other non-APPS databases also cannot be disclosed in response to a PRA request. (See Pen. Code §§ 11105, 11106; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd (k).) You may check your own eligibility to possess a firearm. However, this request must be made using procedures that allow the Department to verify your identity and protect your privacy. To determine your own eligibility to possess, receive, own, or purchase a firearm you must submit a Personal Firearms Eligibility Check (PFEC) form to the Department, along with a copy your California Driver License or Identification Card, and a check or money order for \$20. The form must be signed and notarized, and include an impression of your right thumbprint. If you are a non-U.S. citizen, you must also submit a copy of your Alien Registration or I-94 Card. For your convenience, a copy of the PFEC form is included with this letter. For more information regarding this process, please see the Bureau of Firearms website, FAQs, Personal Firearms Eligibility Check Program, which is available at https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pfecfaqs . Request for Criminal History The Department cannot release criminal history information in response to a PRA request. (See Pen. Code, § 11105, subd. (b) [identifying persons eligible to receive criminal history information, a list that does not include persons making PRA requests].) As such, individual criminal records are

PRA-2018-02509	Garcia (Court Record)	Justin	12/14/2018	I am formally requesting any court document with the name Tammy John-Lewis from January 1st, 1960 to December 14th, 1960.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>also exempt from disclosure under the PRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).) On this basis, we deny your request. You may obtain your own criminal history record using a procedure that allows the Department to verify your identity and safeguard your privacy. To obtain a copy of your criminal history record, you must pay a \$25 processing fee, fill out a Form BCIA 8016RR, (attached), and submit the form while having your fingerprint images taken by a Live Scan service provider. To do this, use the following instructions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use the BCIA 8016RR Live Scan Form that is attached. • Check "Record Review" as the "Type of Application". • Enter "Record Review" on the "Reason for Application" line. • Fill out all your personal information. • Take the completed form to any Live Scan site for fingerprinting services. <p>Fingerprinting services are available at most local police departments, sheriff's offices or any public applicant Live Scan site. To find the sites nearest to you, their fingerprint rolling fees (which would be in addition to the above-described processing fee they would collect on the Department behalf), and acceptable methods of payment, go to this website: https://uoag.ca.gov/fingerprints/locations. Once your fingerprints have been processed, a copy of your record will be sent to you. Additional questions regarding the Record Review process can be directed to the Record Review Unit at (916) 227-3835.</p> <p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from court cases, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.</p>
PRA-2018-02510	Mai-Duc (EERR records - Danielle Hartley)	Christine (LA Times)	12/14/2018	I know you've been in touch with my colleague, Patrick McGreevy on the Larry Wallace matter. Could you please send me documents from the Equal Employment Rights & Resolution Office that show the Department of Justice was notified about Danielle Hartley's intent to sue in October 2016, per reports from The Bee? If it would be helpful to discuss my request and your procedures by phone, I'm available at the number below.	Completed	Milad Da ju	<p>Your request was received on December 14, 2018. On December 21, 2018, this office notified you by letter that it was extending the deadline for responding to your request by 14 days. On January 8, 2019, we notified you by letter that we have disclosable public records responsive to your request in our possession and anticipated producing those records to you by January 30, 2019.</p> <p>All records responsive to your request are attached. This completes our response to your request.</p>
PRA-2018-02548	Keys (case records)	Zed	12/14/2018	The relating information with Which is one of two others is DA case# f-07-10839 I'll start with this a petition to dismiss	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records based on the information provided. You refer to this being a "DA case." If by DA you are referring to a District Attorney, you would need to submit your request to the local District Attorney's office that handled this case.</p>
PRA-2018-02557	El Bey (Oath of Office)	Basia	12/14/2018	1. Oath of Office [California Constitution Article XX and Title 5 U.S.C. § 3331]; 2. Surety Bond with address, telephone and policy number; 3. Foreign Registration Statement based on the Foreign Registration Act of 1938, as amended, 22 U.S.C. § 611 et seq, and California Corp. Code Section 2105; 4. Anti-Bribery Statement based on the Foreign Corrupt	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have enclosed the Oath of Office for Attorney General Becerra. California law does not require a bond in addition to the oath of office. Therefore, we are unable to provide bonding information sought in your request.</p> <p>Your request seeks Attorney General Becerra's "registration statement" under the Foreign Agents Registration Act. However, Attorney General Becerra is not an agent of a foreign principal, and he has no registration</p>

PRA-2018-02599	Guerin	Emily	12/14/2018	Practices Act of 1977, as amended in 1998 and California Penal Code Sections 92 and 93. More details about timing of settlement/contents March 8, 1973: settlement between Dept of Real Estate and California Attorney General with Great Western Cities - injunction prohibiting further misrepresentation in the advertising of land - partial restitution to a small group of investors (CR 268-B, et seq, 285-288)	Completed	Erin Nickless	(or other) obligations under the referenced statute. For more information on the Foreign Agents Registration Act, you may wish to contact the United States Department of Justice – https://www.justice.gov/nsd-fara/fara-contact-information . We believe that the document requested in item 4 of your request may be synonymous with the California Statement of Economic Interests Form, and therefore we have enclosed a copy in response to your request. Please also note that, absent a request for representation from another state agency, the California Department of Justice responds solely on its own behalf. The Department is not a depository for records for the entire state of California, and we only maintain the Department's own records. If you wish to review or obtain records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you will need to direct your request to that agency. Thank you for the additional information related to your request. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. As stated in our attached response dated December 14, 2018, you may wish to check the California State Library. Information on how to contact them can be found using the information below: Ask a librarian link via e-mail: https://askstatelibrary.libraryresearch.info/ref100.aspx?pmi=90I80RUp0a And/or research their catalog found here: http://catalog.library.ca.gov/F/11VBJD4LISGNKRKX2V57B7R5LSDN2V1LF8CJ8P6FP1CX6HY6VX-16402?func=find-d-o
PRA-2018-02602	Li	Gordon	12/14/2018	Under the CA Public Records Act, please provide me the FY2017 IRS Form 990 for the AIDS Healthcare Foundation (FEIN 954112121) and Food & Water Watch (FEIN 320160439). The CA AG's charities registry database indicates that your office is in possession of these documents.	Completed	Kurt Weissmuller	Yes, our office has received a copy of the 2017 IRS 990 for each of these organizations. The filings are currently in the process of being reviewed and will then be uploaded to each organization's public file. Once uploaded, these documents are available to the public for review and download using our registry verification tool on our website. The link is provided for you below. Please continue to check the website for updates. Due to the large volume of mail that our office receives during this time of year, it may take several weeks for the documents to be reviewed. Please let me know if there is anything else I can assist you with. http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/Web/Search.aspx?facility=Y
PRA-2018-02504	Bugarin (judgements-Evictions)	Sarah	12/17/2018	Money judgements or eviction	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking eviction records, you may wish to direct your request to the local superior court in which the case was filed.
PRA-2018-02505	Bassi (CRC 10.163)	Susan (Media)	12/17/2018	January 10, 2019 clarification: I am looking for all of the records that were sent to the Attorney General pursuant to California Rules of Court Section 10.613 subsection (g)(2), where the Attorney General was required to be copied on local court rule proposals in Santa Clara and Contra Costa Counties 45 days before local court rules were adopted. I would be looking for the memos, letters, or other communications sent by the court CEO, or designated publisher, of each court that would have been sent to the State Attorney General, as required, prior to the publication of local court rules. I would also be looking for any documents the Attorney General might have been copied on that were sent to the District Attorney, the County Counsel,	Completed	Erin Nickless	January 31, 2019: On January 22, 2019, we extended the deadline to respond to this request to February 5, 2019. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places, and consulted with knowledgeable persons. We have been unable to locate any responsive records. This completes our response to your request. January 23, 2019: Public records sent an extension. January 10, 2019 response: On December 28, 2018, we extended the deadline to respond to this request to January 10, 2019. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.

PRA-2018-02511	Bailey (SB 657)	Gregory	12/17/2018	<p>Looking for a list of companies which must comply with the California Transparency in Supply Chain Act (SB 657) provided to the Attorney General by the CA Franchise Tax Board</p> <p>On page 15 of the California Transparency in Supply Chain Act: Each year, the California Franchise Tax Board evaluates information from state tax returns to determine which companies must comply with the Act, and provides a list of those businesses to the Attorney General.</p> <p>Is this list available to the public? Any assistance or guidance you can provide is greatly appreciated.</p>	Completed	John M. Applebaum	<p>Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p> <p>The Act added (among other provisions) section 19547.5 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, which requires the FTB to provide the Attorney General's Office with a list of retail sellers and manufacturers that are required to disclose efforts to eradicate slavery and human trafficking, as specified. Under this section, the FTB is required to annually submit the list to this office.</p> <p>We have carefully evaluated your request and have come to the conclusion that we cannot provide you with the list you have requested, as it contains confidential taxpayer information, the disclosure of which is prohibited under state law. As such, the list is exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).)</p> <p>Specifically, pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code section 19542, except for reasons not applicable to your request, "it is a misdemeanor for the Franchise Tax Board or any member thereof, or any deputy, agent, clerk, or other officer or employee of the state ... , who in the course of his or her employment or duty has or had access to returns, reports, or documents required to be filed [with the FTB] under this part, to disclose or make known in any manner information as to the amount of income or any particulars (including the business affairs of a corporation) set forth or disclosed therein." Similarly, pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code section 19552, information furnished to the FTB "shall be used solely for the purpose of administering the tax laws or other laws administered by the person or agency obtaining it. Any unwarranted disclosure or use of the information by the person or agency, or the employees and officers thereof, is a misdemeanor."</p> <p>With respect to the FTB list provided to the Attorney General's Office, a company's inclusion on the list necessarily means that the company has filed a tax return that states that the company had annual worldwide gross receipts that exceed one hundred million dollars (\$100,000,000). (See Civ. Code, § 1714.43, subd. (a)(l); Rev. & Tax. Code, § 19547.5, subd. (a)(l).) Thus, were the Attorney General to publicly disclose the list in response to a Public Records Act request, the Attorney General would be disclosing those companies with receipts exceeding \$100 million, income information derived from the companies' tax returns. As such, in the absence of any authorization for the Attorney General to make the list public, Revenue and Taxation Code sections 19542 and 19552 preclude the Attorney General from disclosing the names of the companies on the list.</p> <p>Thank you for your interest in the Act and for contacting our office.</p>
PRA-2018-02512	Angell (PRA Logs)	Ursula	12/17/2018	<p>A log of all Public Records requests made to the California Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General from Jan. 1, 2011 to the date this request is processed. The log should include, at a minimum, the date the request was made, the agency or individual who made the request, a summary of records sought, and whether the records were released or not.</p>	Rolling Production	Erin Nickless	<p>January 28, 2019 response: On December 27, 2018, we produced logs dated January 1, 2011 through July 31, 2018 to you via U.S. mail. Attached please find copies of our logs dated August 1, 2018 through October 31, 2018.</p> <p>We are in the process of generating logs for November 1, 2018, through the date of your request. At this point, we anticipate that this process will take a minimum of 12 weeks to complete. Accordingly, we will produce the logs from November 2018 through December 2018 on a rolling basis, as they are completed.</p> <p>December 27, 2018 response: We are sending the logs dated January 1, 2011 through July 31, 2018 to you via U.S. mail as the files are too voluminous to e-mail to you. We will enclose a copy of this e-mail with the discs. We are in the process of generating logs for August 1, 2018,</p>

PRA-2018-02513	Angell (DOJ Sexual Harassment Complaint 2011 to present)	Ursula	12/17/2018	<p>1. All records of sexual harassment or assault complaints that name Attorney General Kamala Harris or any members of her senior executive team as parties to any of the allegations contained within the complaint, from 2011 to the present.</p> <p>2. The total number of sexual harassment or assault complaints filed against employees of the California Department of Justice (or consultants and/or appointees conducting business on behalf of the Department of Justice when the alleged behavior occurred), from 2011 to the present.</p> <p>3. The total number of subsequent settlements of those complaints, from 2011 to the present.</p> <p>4. The date settled, date paid, and total dollar amount of each individual settlement of those complaints, from 2011 to the present.</p> <p>Please note that in all instances in this request the phrase "sexual harassment or assault complaints" should be understood to mean ANY complaints that include allegations of sexual harassment or sexual assault, in any of the following forms:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allegations of aggravated, intolerable and hostile working environment due to sexually offensive behavior, conduct or statements. • Allegations of sexually harassing behavior, conduct or statements • Allegations of sexual assault • Allegations of failure to prevent sexual harassment or assault (e.g. by a supervisor or other individual or group of individuals that had been notified of the issue) • Allegations of retaliatory treatment subsequent to complaints of sexually harassing or assaultive behavior, conduct or statements. • Copies of claims filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) that include allegations of sexually harassing behavior, conduct or statements; as well as any subsequent right-to-sue letters issued by the DFEH. • Copies of claims filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) that include allegations of sexually harassing behavior, conduct or statements; as well as any subsequent right-to-sue letters issued by the EEOC. • Copies of claims filed with the State Personnel Board (SPB) that include allegations of sexually harassing behavior, conduct or statements; as well as any subsequent letters or decisions issued by the SPB. <p>I agree to pay all fees related to this request. So long as it does not delay production, I would prefer this request be fulfilled electronically, by e-mail attachment or over FTP (attachments and/or FTP links may be sent to ursula.external@gmail.com or as a CD-ROM if not. If electronic fulfillment is not possible, paper records will be fine; please mail them to me at 5045 Vincent Avenue, Los Angeles CA 90041.</p> <p>If there is any further information I can provide to expedite this request or make it easier to respond to, do not hesitate to ask; the best way to reach me is via email or phone at the addresses listed above. Thank you very much for your help.</p>	Assigned	Milad Da ju	<p>through the date of your request. At this point, we anticipate that this process will take a minimum of 12 weeks to complete. Accordingly, we will produce the logs from August 2018 through December 2018 on a rolling basis, as they are completed.</p> <p>February 15, 2019 response: Your request was received on December 17, 2018. On December 27, 2018, we notified you by letter that we were extending the date for responding to your request by 14 days. On January 8, 2019, we notified you that we have disclosable public records that are responsive to your request and anticipated that we would make the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records available to you on January 30, 2019. On February 4, 2019, we provided you with the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records, and anticipated that the second batch of responsive, non-exempt, records would be available on or about February 13, 2019.</p> <p>Our response to each category of records you requested is as follows.</p> <p>Category 1: All records of sexual harassment or assault complaints that name Attorney General Kamala Harris or any members of her senior executive team as parties to any of the allegations contained within the complaint, from 2011 to the present.</p> <p>We provided you all non-exempt records that are responsive to this request on February 4, 2019.</p> <p>Category 2: The total number of sexual harassment or assault complaints filed against employees of the California Department of Justice (or consultants and/or appointees conducting business on behalf of the Department of Justice when the alleged behavior occurred), from 2011 to the present.</p> <p>We found records of five complaints filed between January 1, 2011, and December 18, 2018, that include allegations of sexual harassment or sexual assault by an employee of the California Department of Justice. We searched our records for complaints filed in State Court, Federal Court, the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing, the State Personnel Board, and the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.</p> <p>Category 3: The total number of subsequent settlements of those complaints, from 2011 to the present.</p> <p>We have not completed our search for records responsive to this request. We anticipate that the first batch of non-exempt records responsive to this request will be available on or about March 1, 2019.</p> <p>If any records are withheld from any future production of records responsive to this request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.</p> <p>Category 4: The date settled, date paid, and total dollar amount of each individual settlement of those complaints, from 2011 to the present.</p> <p>We have not completed our search for records responsive to this request. We anticipate that the first batch of non-exempt records responsive to this request will be available on or about March 1, 2019.</p> <p>If any records are withheld from any future production of records responsive to this request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.</p> <p>February 4, 2019 response: Your request was received on December 17, 2018. On December 27, 2018, we notified you by letter that we were extending the date for responding to your request by 14 days. On January 8, 2019, we notified you that we have disclosable public records that are responsive to your request and anticipated that we would make the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records available to you on January 30, 2019.</p> <p>We have completed our search for records responsive to the first part of your request, as described above. All responsive records are attached. In response to the second, third, and fourth parts of your request, as described above, we conducted a reasonable search for responsive records and are in the process of summarizing those records pursuant to</p>
----------------	--	--------	------------	--	----------	-------------	--

PRA-2018-02514	Dave (CCPA)	Paresh (Media)	12/17/2018	<p>Digital, machine-readable copies transmitted via email or online file sharing of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> --Conversations on email or online instant message to or from Attorney General Becerra referencing "CCPA" or "privacy act" or "privacy law" or "privacy bill" from September 1, 2018 through the date this search is conducted. --Conversations on email or online instant message to or from Supervising Deputy Attorney General Stacey Schesser referencing "CCPA" or "privacy act" or "privacy law" or "privacy bill" from September 1, 2018 through the date this search is conducted. --Meeting notes produced from September 1, 2018 through the date this search is conducted that mention "CCPA" or "California Consumer Privacy Act". --Feasibility assessments, implementation planning documents or analytical reports from September 1, 2018 through the date this search is conducted that focus on the California Consumer Privacy Act of 2018. <p>For newsgathering purposes</p>	Completed	Micah Osgood	<p>your request. We anticipate that the first batch of responsive, nonexempt, records will be available on or about February 13, 2019.</p> <p>If any records are withheld from any future production of records responsive to your request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.</p> <p>January 8, 2019: On December 27, 2018, this office notified you that it was extending the deadline for responding to your request by 14 days to January 10, 2019.</p> <p>We have determined that your request seeks disclosable public records in our possession. We are in the process of gathering and reviewing responsive records. We anticipate that the first production of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on January 30, 2019. If any records are withheld or redacted from documents responsive to your request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.</p> <p>January 10, 2019 response: By letter dated December 21, 2018, this Office extended its deadline to respond to your request to January 10, 2019.</p> <p>While this Office will produce records in response to your request, we must decline your request as to certain documents for several reasons. First, the terms of your request cover confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (t), expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to and investigations conducted by the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. Investigative records do not lose their exempt status after conclusion of the investigation. (Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354-355.)</p> <p>Second, your request encompasses documents exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney work product doctrine or the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, and the work product exception contained in Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030, are incorporated into the Public Records Act as exemptions from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Third, your request covers documents exempt from disclosure pursuant to the deliberative process privilege. Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision-making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion toward and within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to make informed decisions. (Labor & Workforce Dev. Agency v. Superior Court (2018) 19 Cal.App.5th 12, 30.) Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or if it is inextricably intertwined with the policy- or decision-making process. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.)</p> <p>Fourth, some of the records responsive to your request contain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, e-mail addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information or information about personnel matters. This information is protected from disclosure. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) Finally, the request imposes an unreasonable burden. For example, your proposed search terms "privacy law" and "privacy act" encompass a large number of records unrelated to the California Consumer Privacy Act, including emails containing a standard confidentiality notice. The expense and burden of reviewing and identifying all communications responsive to these search terms to determine if they are related to the CCPA is not in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union</p>
----------------	-------------	----------------	------------	---	-----------	--------------	--

PRA-2018-02517	Blamey (Ronald Blamey)	Sophia	12/17/2018	Transcripts of the trial of Ronald D. Blamey in the death of Michel Blamey that began in March, 2001. If the transcripts are not available, was there a contracted court reporter with publicly available contact information?	Completed	Dawn Stott	<p>Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Accordingly, we modified the search terms to include "AB 375" and "SB 1121" to more reasonably narrow the search for CCPA-related communications. The vast majority of responsive records using the modified criteria are exempt from disclosure for the reasons noted in the preceding paragraphs. Enclosed are non-exempt documents that are responsive to your request, stamped AG0001 through AG0517. Where appropriate, we have redacted exempt information when a document also contained non-exempt, responsive information. Per your request, we have provided these records in electronic form.</p> <p>On December 21, 2018, Micah Osgood sent an extension letter.</p> <p>On December 17, 2018, we received your email request seeking copies of "transcripts of the trial of Ronald D. Blamey in the death of Michel Blamey that began in March, 2001." On December 20, 2018, I followed up with you via email regarding the types of transcripts you were requesting. You responded that you were only looking for reporters' transcripts. Unfortunately, we are not able to provide you with copies of the requested reporter's transcripts because such transcripts are exempt from disclosure under the PRA. Specifically, California Government Code section 69954, subdivision (d), provides as follows:</p> <p>(d) Any court, party, or person who has purchased a transcript may, without paying a further fee to the reporter, reproduce a copy or portion thereof as an exhibit pursuant to court order or rule, or for internal use, but shall not otherwise provide or sell a copy or copies to any other person or party.</p> <p>Based on our understanding of Government Code section 69954, a person who wishes to obtain a copy of the transcript for a particular court proceeding must get it from the court reporting agency that transcribed the proceeding. Additionally, a public agency in possession of such transcript is prohibited from providing a copy of the transcript to the requesting party. This statutory directive is incorporated into the PRA by California Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Accordingly, this office cannot provide you with copies of the requested transcripts of oral court proceedings.</p> <p>Perhaps the Court Reporter's Office and/or the Clerk of the Court for Nevada County will be able to provide information concerning how to locate and purchase court transcripts of such proceedings. You can find additional information regarding Nevada County court reporters here: http://nccourt.net/geninfo/reporters.shtml</p>
PRA-2018-02518	Powers (server logs)	Amie	12/17/2018	<p>I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain server logs from the following websites on the following dates:</p> <p>a) 4chan.org/pol/ between October 28, 2017 and November 30, 2017</p> <p>b) 8ch.net/pol/ between November 25, 2017 and the present day</p> <p>c) 8ch.net/cbts/ between November 25, 2017 and the present day</p> <p>d) 8ch.net/thestorm/ between November 25, 2017 and the present day</p> <p>e) 8ch.net/patriotsfight/ between November 25, 2017 and the present day</p> <p>f) 8ch.net/greatawakening/ between November 25, 2017 and the present day</p> <p>g) 8ch.net/qresearch/ between November 25, 2017 and the present day</p> <p>We are interested in obtaining information pertaining to a user called "Q," in particular, IP addresses, server logs, and deleted posts associated with said user. This should include instances where individuals attempted to login as "Q" and/or post as "Q."</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from a website, you may wish to direct your request to whatever entity it is that maintains the sites denoted in your records request. As these sites and records are not maintained by the California Department of Justice, we must deny your request.</p>

PRA-2018-02566	Zada (Concept Pharmaceuticals)	Basheer	12/17/2018	<p>Q has posted on 4chan.org and 8ch.net implying that he possesses Q-level government clearance and has direct access to President Donald Trump. Q claims to divulge privileged information he receives from Trump to his online readers, informing them of the president's current activities and future plans, including military arrests of prominent officials. Q has also instructed his audience to vote Republican in the midterm elections and actively endorsed Republican candidates. If Q is a government employee with the clearance level and presidential access he claims, then this is a clear violation of the Hatch Act (5 U.S.C. §§ 7321-26), which prohibits government employees from influencing or attempting to influence the outcome of elections.</p> <p>For reference, Q's public posts have been archived on qanon.pub.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places for the new dates that you provided, and consulted with knowledgeable persons. We have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p>
PRA-2018-02598	Ladmirault	Leon Anthony	12/17/2018	<p>*References this request from July 19, 2018: Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. §552, we wish to obtain a record of any communications between the California DOJ/OAG and Corcept Pharmaceuticals from 1/1/2016 to 7/19/2018, related to the promotion of Korlym (mifepristone), which is approved by the FDA for the treatment of hyperglycemia secondary to hypercortisolism in adult patients with endogenous Cushing's syndrome.</p> <p>May I please receive a copy of my sentencing transcripts? LASC# GA030829. Also, 2nd Appellate District The People v. Ladmirault Division 4 Atty. J. Roake Case Number B115959; Ladmirault (Leon A.) On H.C. Case Number S087308.</p>	Completed	Irene Chavez	<p>As per your records request, enclosed please find copies of the Reporters Transcripts Vol. 2 of 2, pages 421-441 from the sentencing hearing 8/28/97 in People v. Leon Anthony Ladmirault, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. GA030829.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and consideration in the above-matter. We will consider this request for records a closed matter.</p> <p>An Extension was sent on 12/27/18.</p>
PRA-2018-02521	Tinsman (sex offender)	Elizabeth	12/18/2018	<p>Amber Mejias also known as Anvarr Mejias has applied to work at Twin State Technical Services, I am the company general manager. There is no doubt that there is a California mugshot has her information and image on it, and her resume aligns with dates and her California residency. We want to give everyone the benefit of the doubt. We find an online record that she is also known as Anvarr Mejias of California. She now lives in East Moline/Moline/Rock Island area, specifically Moline. In doing a routine check, we see she has possibly violated (Offense and Court Details) Statute: 647.6(a)(1) Offense: ANNOY OR MOLEST A CHILD UNDER 18 YEARS OF AGE.</p> <p>I am seeking to find if this is a registered sex offender and if she was convicted of this charge, it looks like she was arrested around 10/30/2011.</p> <p>Can you provide more information about the disposition of this?</p> <p>One known address: [REDACTED] Gender: F Birthdate: [REDACTED] Height: 5 ft. 3 in. Weight: 110 Race/Ethnicity: Hispanic</p>	Completed	Brian Segal	<p>Unfortunately, we must deny your request. First, criminal history records are not subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act. In California, state and local summary criminal history information is confidential and access is strictly regulated by statute. California Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the DOJ to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, to certain employers or regulatory agencies, or to the person who is the subject of the record. You are not considered an authorized employer or regulatory agency under California Penal Code section 11105, thus your request for criminal history information is denied. Second, without confirming or denying that the individual you named is a registered sex offender in California, most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, § 290.021.) Additionally, the PRA expressly exempts from disclosure documents that are private in nature, as well as documents that are investigatory in nature. (Gov't Code §§ 6254, subds. (c) and (f).) To the extent your request seeks information that is confidential in nature, within the meaning of California Penal Code section 290.021 or other state statute, your request is denied. Information about obtaining California criminal history records by private employers and individuals can be found at https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints.</p>

PRA-2018-02522	rbtrpine@gmail (Tuolumne Court Employees)	Anonymous	12/18/2018	<p>Hair Color: Brown Eye Color: Brown Alias(es): Annarr Mejias; Amber Monker Identifying Marks: ARM, RIGHT, NONSPECIFIC; HIP, LEFTSURGICAL; KNEE, LEFTUNKNOWN; Offense: ANNOY OR MOLEST A CHILD UNDER 18 YEARS OF AGE</p> <p>1) Foreign registration statement; 2) oath of office statement; 3) Anti bribery and corruption statement 4) Lobbying disclosure statement; for the foreign agent(s) Kevin M. Seibert acting for a foreign principal; SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF TUOLUMNE; And foreign agent Harvey W. Stein (Law offices of Harvey W. Stein a professional corporation) located Oakland City Center 1300 Clay street suite 1050, Oakland CA 94612. acting for the foreign principal The State Bar of California located at 180 Howard street San Francisco CA 94105. I man request a signed and dated copy of each of these public records as described 1)-4)</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking records from Tuolumne County or the California State Bar Association, you must submit your request to them directly.</p>
PRA-2018-02523	Brown (San Diego Metropolitan Transit System records)	Jessica	12/18/2018	<p>All proposal documentation submitted by Innovations in Transportation Inc. (INIT), and Conduent Transport Solutions to San Diego Metropolitan Transit System in response to the agency Request for Proposals - Account Based Fare Collection System Project 0 MTS Doc. No. G2091.0-18. The proposal was issued on 3/16/18 and proposals were due 6/4/18. San Diego MTS board approved the INIT contract on 12/13/18.</p>	Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>Called requester to obtain more information. Ms. Brown confirmed the requested records were in the custody and control of San Diego Metro Transit System.</p>
PRA-2018-02524	Nelsen (common interest agreement)	Maxford	12/18/2018	<p>In accordance with the California Public Records Act, I'd like to submit the following request for public records on behalf of the Freedom Foundation. Specifically, I am seeking:</p> <p>1. A copy of any common interest agreement involving (1) the Washington Office of the Attorney General, (2) the Oregon Department of Justice, (3) the California Department of Justice, (4) the Service Employees International Union (SEIU), (5) the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME), and (6) Altshuler Berzon LLP.</p>	Completed	Anna Rich	<p>We have reviewed your request and have determined that the records responsive to your requests are exempt from disclosure under the attorney work-product privilege and/or the pending litigation exemption. The following is an explanation of the exemptions that apply to the records we are withholding:</p> <p>Attorney Work Product</p> <p>The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>The documents responsive to your request include confidential communications containing legal advice and/or attorneys' impressions, conclusions, litigation strategy, or opinions. Accordingly, these confidential documents are exempt from disclosure.</p> <p>Pending Litigation Exemption</p> <p>Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code., § 6254 subd. (b).)</p> <p>The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption but is broader and covers documents prepared by the</p>

PRA-2018-02525	DiPierro (Robin Robles)	Amy (Desert Sun)	12/18/2018	<p>Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act, I ask to obtain a copy of the following, which I understand to be held by your agency:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All communications between October 2017 and the date this records request is processed – including but not limited to emails, text messages, meeting minutes, meeting and event invitations, scheduled events, meeting notes, legal guidance, meeting agendas, recordings, marginalia and memorandum, as well as all associated attachments referenced therein – between Robin Robles, who was or is a part of the Department of Justice's Client Services Program, CLETS & CORI Audit Section, and any of the following people or entities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any current or former member or members of the Board of Directors of the Eastern Riverside County Interoperable Communications Authority (hereafter, "ERICA"), including but not limited to the following people, who are or were affiliated with the cities indicated: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lloyd White, Beaumont Michael Wilson, Indio Scott Matas, Desert Hot Springs Stan Henry, Cathedral City Robert Moon, Palm Springs Any current or former member or members of the ERICA Technical Advisory Committee, including but not limited to the following people: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chief Travis Walker, Cathedral City City Manager David Ready, Palm Springs Asst. City Manager Rob Rockwell, Indio Lt. Greg Fagan, Beaumont Chief Dale Mondary, Desert Hot Springs Kari Mendoza William Hutchinson, Palm Springs Police Department Any other personnel of the following agencies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Palm Springs Police Department Desert Hot Springs Police Department Beaumont Police Department Indio Police Department Cathedral City Police Department <p>2. All communications between October 2017 and the date this records request is processed – including but not limited to emails, text messages, meeting minutes, meeting and event invitations, scheduled events, meeting notes, legal guidance, meeting agendas, recordings, marginalia and memorandum, as well as all associated attachments referenced therein – between personnel of the Department of Justice's Client Services Program, CLETS & CORI Audit Section, and Kari Mendoza.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.)</p> <p>The documents responsive to your request include documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. Accordingly, these confidential documents are exempt from disclosure.</p> <p>January 14, 2019 Response: This is to confirm that we have concluded our search and we do not have any additional responsive records.</p> <p>December 27, 2018 First Production letter: In conducting our search for records responsive to your request, we have checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places. While we have identified some responsive records, we have not yet completed this process. As a result, we will produce responsive documents on a rolling basis. Attached is the first production, which is described below.</p> <p>Note, our search for records was limited to the agencies and individuals specifically named in your request. The DOJ does not keep records of current or former members of the Eastern Riverside County Interoperable Communications Authority (ERICA), current or former members of ERICA Technical Advisory Committee, or the personnel of local law enforcement agencies.</p> <p>Attached please find emails responsive to your request. Note, the final email provided in this first production contains a question posed to the DOJ. The DOJ does not have a record of the question being responded to in an email.</p> <p>We anticipate providing the next production, or confirmation that no other records exist, by January 17, 2018.</p>
PRA-2018-02526	Mai-Duc (EERR records - Danielle Hartley)	Christine (LA Times)	12/18/2018	<p>"A list of approved settlements involving Lawrence "Larry" Wallace for the period between Oct 1994 and Dec. 2005, including copies of the settlement agreements, the allegation made and amount paid.</p> <p>A list of Public Records Act requests made to the DOJ since July 1, 2018, both pending and fulfilled, including the name</p>	Completed	Milad Da ju	<p>January 30, 2019 response: Your request was received on December 18, 2018. On December 28, 2018, we notified you by letter that we were extending the date for responding to your request by 14 days. On January 11, 2019, we notified you by letter that we have disclosable public records that are responsive to your request and anticipated that we would make the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records available to you on or</p>

				of the person organization requesting, a description of the specific records being requested, the date requested and status and/or date fulfilled, and the number of pages provided in the fulfilled request."					about January 30, 2019. Our response to each category of records you requested are as follows. Category 1: A list of approved settlements involving Lawrence "Larry" Wallace for the period between Oct 1994 and Dec. 2005, including copies of the settlement agreements, the allegation made and amount paid. We found no records responsive to this request. Category 2: A list of Public Records Act requests made to the DOJ since July 1, 2018, both pending and fulfilled, including the name of the person organization requesting, a description of the specific records being requested, the date requested and status and/or date fulfilled, and the number of pages provided in the fulfilled request. Attached are all records responsive to this request, including the California Department of Justice's logs for CPRA requests received in July, August, September, and October 2018. We have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) At this time, we do not have a list of CPRA request received in November or December 2018, or January 2019. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-02529	Hyland (CCWs issued by Santa Clara Sheriff)	Lacy	12/18/2018	CCW (Concealed Carry Weapon) Permits issued by the Santa Clara County Sheriff's Office within a five year period (2014-2018). I would like the names and identifiers of each individual whom a permit was issued, as well as the date the permit was issued.	Completed	Public Records			On January 7, 2019, Public Records responded as follows: As a preliminary matter, the Department's Carry Concealed Weapons (CCWs) system only gives point-in-time data. This means it can only give a picture of the data as it appears in the system on the day a report is run, and the Department could not produce a report with a full, all-inclusive picture of all transactions since 2014. As such, to the extent we could provide you information-which, as explained below, would contain no identifying information-we could only tell you what appeared in the system on the day a report was run. As a result, if information regarding an application or permit holder was entered and subsequently changed before a report was run, the report will only capture the changed version of the data for that application. In cases where a record of a permit holder was removed as a result of expiration or denial, the report will not capture that entry. As a result, previous versions of entries that have since been changed or removed from our system would not appear in a report we would run. For example, if a license was reflected in the system on January 1, 2016, then shortly thereafter expired and, as a result, later removed from our system, that license would not be captured if we ran the current numbers. Additionally, while Penal Code section 26225 requires law enforcement agencies to send copies of approvals and denials of CCW permits to the Department, the Department has encountered inconsistent reporting by issuing agencies, and the actual issuance of CCWs takes place on the local law enforcement agency level. Finally, to the extent the Department might have information available to it with which to compile a response to your request, the information would be provided to the Department pursuant to Penal Code section 26225. The Department is only authorized to provide personal information obtained pursuant to Penal Code section 26225 to certain people or entities in order "to assist in the investigation of crime, the prosecution of civil actions by city attorneys ... , the arrest and prosecution of criminals, and the recovery of lost, stolen, or found property." (Pen. Code, § 11106, subd. (a)(l)(C).) Members of the general public making Public Records Act requests are not on the list of entities or persons who can be given that information. (See Pen. Code, §§ 11105 & 11106, subd. (a)(l)-(2).) Though it appears you are an investigator from a district attorney's office, you submitted your request as a Public Records Act request, and we make all Public Records Act response determinations without consideration of the identity of the requestor. Put differently, we respond to Public Records Act

PRA-2018-02033	Crittelle-Martin	ShaQuille	12/19/2018	From 2012 to present if possible please and thank you for your time	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>requests from someone working for a government agency the same way we would respond to those requests if they came from a member of the press or an anonymous requestor.</p> <p>In sum, the Department does not have records that include the names of every person who was issued a CCW permit from the Santa Clara Sheriffs office dating back to January 1, 2014.</p> <p>Moreover, if the Department had a list with the names of CCW holders dating back to January 1, 2014, that list would be exempt from production in response to a PRA request. (See Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k) [incorporating disclosure prohibitions contained in other statutes into the Public Records Act].) Accordingly, we deny your request as cast.</p> <p>Given that the information the Department has is limited and there are constraints on our ability to provide that information in response to a Public Records Act request, we would recommend that you seek the requested records from the Santa Clara Sheriffs Office.</p> <p>On January 2, 2019 an extension letter was sent</p> <p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed by contacting the Record Review Unit at:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Record Review Unit P.O. Box 903417 Sacramento, CA 94203-4170</p>
PRA-2018-02527	Mcdonald (3rd Party records)	Tony	12/19/2018	All records Comments: Jennifer miller alias jennifer thomas and todd Thomas .tamara [REDACTED] asap they kidnapped my daughter thru the courts both females on exclusion list of mississippi .defrauding ca with fathers child .no job stealing SSI and soc sec mine and living for free on ca	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking unspecified records related to third parties. Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish</p>

							<p>to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>You also appear to be reporting a crime. If this is an emergency, please dial 9-11 or your local emergency line for assistance. The Public Records Unit is unable to process crime reports. If you wish to report a crime in your area, please contact your local law enforcement agency, such as city police department or sheriff's department. Additional information on how to report a crime to our office or another law enforcement agency can be found at https://oag.ca.gov/report-crime.</p>
PRA-2018-02528	Verderosa (3rd Party CH/Family Law)	Heather	12/19/2018	I'm looking for any court cases, both criminal/family law, regarding a "Michael Thomas Creason." I am currently the victim in a sexual assault/restraining order case and I would like to see if this is a repeated offense for him.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PRA-2018-02600	McCash	Tania	12/19/2018	In response to your letter and denial on December 10, 2018, I am hereby putting you on notice that, I am appealing your denial.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>As we indicated in our December 10, 2018 letter, we do not have records responsive to your request. We cannot provide records that are not in our custody or control. Therefore, your appeal is denied.</p> <p>DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you must direct your request to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-02530	DiPierro (Comments on CCPA 6/28/18 - Present)	Amy (Desert Sun)	12/20/2018	Comments on the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) submitted via email to privacyregulations@doj.ca.gov between June 28, 2018 and the date this doj.ca.gov	Completed	Lisa Kim	<p>We have conducted a search and determined that we have no responsive documents. No comments have been submitted to the privacyregulations@doj.ca.gov during the specified time frame.</p>

					3) Physical Therapy Board 4) Board of Psychology 5) Board of Registered Nursing 6) Respiratory Care Board 7) Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology and Hearing Aid Dispensers 8) Veterinary Medical Board 9) Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians				may refer to or include documents in the possession of other agencies, such as the Boards listed above. If you wish to review records that are in the possession of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PRA-2018-02537	McGreevy (7/1/14-1/10/17 Communications)	Patrick - LA Times	12/20/2018	12/20/2018	Pursuant to provisions of the California Public Records Act, please provide us with copies of all written communication, including emails, sent to the Department of Justice officials listed below from July 1, 2014 to Jan. 10, 2017 regarding allegations by Danielle Hartley that she was harassed or discriminated against by Larry Wallace: --Attorney General Kamala Harris. --Chief Deputy Attorney General Nathan Barankin. --General Counsel Suzy Loftus. --Assistant Attorney General Venus Johnson --Chief of Policy Daniel Suvor.	Completed	Milad Da ju	Your request was received on December 20, 2018. On December 31, 2018, this office notified you by letter that it was extending the deadline for responding to your request by 14 days. On January 14, 2019, we notified you by letter that we needed more time to complete our search for responsive records and that we would provide you an update by January 30, 2019. We completed our search and found no records responsive to your request. This completes our response to your request.	
PRA-2018-02549	Choi	Stella	12/20/2018	12/20/2018	I hope to be a happy Christmas .I would be very grateful if you could read my e-mail and issue me a divorce certificate now.	Completed	Maria E. Silva	Maria called the requester and provided info on where to obtain the record.	
PRA-2018-02591	Aly	Jenny	12/20/2018	12/20/2018	The case numbers are provided below parties names on both the DV and dissolution are: Jenny Cisneros Adrian Cisneros Both cases occurred in 1996. Please respond with any information or where I can go to obtain these records.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to cases, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the cases were filed.	
PRA-2018-02538	Blutstein (Steyer & Holder records)	Allan (Americ an Rising)	12/21/2018	12/21/2018	Records reflecting any meetings or telephone calls between the Attorney General and Tom Steyer or former U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder from June 1, 2018, through December 21, 2018.	Completed	Gisele Rainer	On January 14, 2019, Gisele Rainer responded: As for records reflecting meetings, we have attached to the email transmitting this letter the single record responsive to your request. Attorney General Becerra attended the meeting reflected in the record. With respect to records reflecting telephone calls, we have identified no responsive records. On December 31, 2018, Gisele Rainer sent an extension letter.	
PRA-2018-02539	Watson (Criminal Report)	James	12/21/2018	12/21/2018	Shasta County Sheriff's Office criminal report where Todd Garr and Tom Mello are listed as involved parties. These records are held by Deputy Attorney General Cliff Zall. The release of records was previously discussed with DAG Zall. If they could be delivered in electronic format to jwatson@chp.ca.gov<mailto:jwatson@chp.ca.gov>. Any questions please call (916)843-3060.	Completed	Michael Dolida	As a preliminary matter, we must deny your request under the California Public Records Act (PRA). The documents you seek are all part of the investigation file and, thus, are expressly exempt from disclosure under the PRA. (Gov. Code§ 6254, subd. (f); Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) No time limit exists for this exemption, so it continues to apply even after the investigation at issue has concluded. (Williams v. Superior Court, supra, at p. 355.) However, the Attorney General's Office does have the authority to investigate criminal behavior and disseminate criminal records to other law enforcement agencies pursuant to Penal Code section 11050, et seq. As such, please find enclosed the Shasta County's "Integrated Public Safety Report, Officer report for Incident 18S037339" dated November 1, 2018.	
PRA-2018-02540	Cline (JTH Tax Inc.)	Paul	12/21/2018	12/21/2018	CGC-07-460778 The People of the State of California v. JTH Tax Inc (DBA Liberty Tax Services) Notice of Entry of Judgement Dated June 17, 2009 Judgement an Permanent Injunction Dated June 15, 2009 The Injunction orders that the defendant notify the California Attorney Generals Office, Consumer Law Section within one week of knowledge of a violation. How do I obtain records of the notifications that have been submitted to the Consumer Law Section of the California Attorney General's Office. I	Completed	Amos Hartston	We respectfully decline your request. The records subject to your request would be confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to and investigations conducted by the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (f).) Any reports provided to our office for purposes of monitoring compliance with the June 2009 injunction, along with other documents in our investigative file, would be exempt from disclosure as confidential law enforcement investigation records.	

PRA-2018-02575	Olfati (Vivint)	Parvin	12/21/2018	<p>would like to review/obtain copies of these notification. If there have been no notifications, for various months/years, how can I know this?</p> <p>I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, division, office, agency, officer, board, employee, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between July 1, 2018 and the date of your response to this PRA/PA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to any person known or believed to be providing alarm, camera, video camera, and/or security services at [REDACTED], including but not limited to Vivint, including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communications to, from, and/or about any employee of Vivint regarding Parvin Olfati and/or [REDACTED]; • Communications that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any activity regarding Vivint equipment at [REDACTED] or believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any tampering or disabling of any equipment, alarm, camera, video camera or other security equipment. • Communications that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any activity regarding Vivint equipment in the basement and/or storage room at [REDACTED] or believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any tampering or disabling of any equipment, alarm, camera, video camera or other security equipment. • Communications that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any activity regarding any Vivint cameras at [REDACTED] or believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any tampering, video or film erasure, video or film tampering, and/or video or film destruction. • Communications that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any disabling or rendering inoperative any Vivint alarm, cameras, and/or equipment at [REDACTED], including but not limited to any disabling or tampering intended to facilitate any break-ins, illegal entries, and/or unauthorized entries of any kind to any part of [REDACTED]. • Communications that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any tampering with the storage room door, door to basement, equipment in basement, and/or any release of toxics and/or gases on or in any portion of [REDACTED] including but not limited to the inside of the house. 	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-02541	Muniz (CalGang)	Ana	12/24/2018	<p>I am writing to request information under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), for records pertaining to the CalGang database (i.e. CalGang system). I hereby request disclosure of certain records in your possession relating to the CalGang database obtained between January 1, 1998 and January 1, 2019.</p> <p>I request disclosure of records(1) that were prepared, received, transmitted, collected and/or maintained relating or referring to the following information. Please note that I am not interested in receiving any personally identifying information and would not oppose redactions meant to</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>January 23, 2019 response: The California Department of Justice (Department) has attached records responsive to your request. Note, we have redacted sensitive information concerning security records of a public agency, of which disclosure would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for, an attack on an information technology system of a public agency. (Gov. Code § 6254.19.) Specifically, we have redacted: Internet Protocol, Transmission Control Protocol, and User Datagram Protocol addresses; the volume of application traffic over the Department backbone; and the number of minutes of inactivity until a session would time out.</p> <p>The Department responds to requests with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or</p>

					<p>protect such information.</p> <p>(1) The term "records" as used herein includes but is not limited to all records or communications preserved in electronic or written form, including but not limited to correspondence, documents, data, videotapes, audio tapes, faxes, files, e-mails, guidance, guidelines, evaluations, instructions, analyses, memoranda, agreements, notes, orders, policies, procedures, protocols, reports, rules, technical manuals, technical specifications, training manuals, or studies, including records kept in written form, or electronic format on computers and/or other electronic storage devices, electronic communications and/or video tapes.</p> <p>I. Records Requested</p> <p>I request all records containing, describing, pertaining to, or referring to any Memorandum of Understanding, established between January 1, 1998 and January 1, 2019, between an administrator of the CalGang database (including but not limited to the California Department of Justice, the CalGang Executive Board, and the California Gang Node Advisory Committee) and another agency, including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • California Department of Corrections • California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation • Western States Information Network • Regional Information Sharing Systems • United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement • United States Federal Bureau of Investigation • Arizona Department of Public Safety • United States Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives • Internal Revenue Service Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms Division • United States Department of Homeland Security • United States Customs and Border Protection • Law enforcement agencies in Washington state • Los Angeles Sheriff's Department • Law enforcement agencies in New Mexico • Law enforcement agencies in Texas • Law enforcement agencies in Maryland • Law enforcement agencies in Washington, DC • Law enforcement agencies in Virginia • Law enforcement agencies in Nevada • Law enforcement agencies in California • State divisions of Homeland Security <p>II. Waiver of All Costs</p> <p>I request the preceding information for the purposes of academic research housed at the University of California, Irvine, a public university. Therefore, I request a waiver of all costs. If the fee waiver request is denied, while reserving my right to appeal the denial, I will pay fees up to \$50.00. If you estimate that the fees will exceed this limit, please inform me.</p> <p>III. Request for Release of All Responsive Records</p> <p>I believe that all of the records requested are subject to disclosure, and request prompt processing and release of the requested information. I also request a complete list of documents covered by the request. I expect that all records will be provided in complete form. To the extent that any requested records are marked classified, please redact only the necessary portions of those records and immediately provide me with the remaining portions. If any records are withheld, please state the exemption claimed and provide a</p>				<p>local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>On January 3, 2019, Jaimie Tackett sent an extension letter.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	---

PRA-2018-02542	Phan (police reports)	Anh	12/24/2018	<p>list of the records being withheld. If you deny this request in whole or in part, please provide a written explanation for that denial, including reference to the specific statutory provisions upon which you rely, and notify me of appeal procedures available under the law.</p> <p>There was an arrest at a rental property that I owned at [REDACTED]. The department carrying out the arrest was TRAP in Encino, CA. The detective on the case gave me the report # 918-00778-3804-089. I am requesting a copy of the public records of the arrest and findings of investigation.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. In this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-02543	Weber (CDC No.)	Samantha	12/24/2018	<p>I am writing to request a prisoner number or CDC identification number for Daniel Robert Branton, and his date of birth is September 15, 1979.</p> <p>I am writing for assistance in reestablishing contact with my friend and former coworker, Daniel Robert Branton, who recently self-surrendered to the authorities in November after numerous court appearances in the state of California. Mr. Branton believed that he was likely to be incarcerated a low-security establishment, possibly working under supervision, and possibly in a different state. I wish to request his prisoner number or identifying information so that I may send him a letter.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking to ascertain the location of an incarcerated individual, you would need to go through the county Sheriff's Department if they are in a local jail, or the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) if they are in a state prison. Information on locating inmates within the CDCR system can be found at http://inmatelocator.cdcr.ca.gov/.</p>
PRA-2018-02544	Rodgers (police, toxicology reports)	Priscilla	12/24/2018	<p>Austin Michael Matthew Reed 02/14/2000-10/14/2018 Oakley CA 10-14-18 Police and toxicology reports Austin was my favorite cousin, I'm hoping these reports can bring me closure.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The toxicology reports you seek, to the extent that they exist, are investigative files of the Attorney General that are expressly exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f). If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-02545	Buranek	Lori	12/24/2018	<p>Wibur T Rosine registered sex offender arrested in year 1993 - crime 288(a) I'd like information regarding this case.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. If you have additional information, such as the case number, please provide that to us. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at:</p>

PRA-2018-02546	Montanez	Albert	12/24/2018	<p>Hello, I'm not sure if this is the right place to contact about a copy of my past restraining order. I'm sure it just ended this month after three years, but would I be to have a copy of it. It was against Aimee E. Cole, my son's mother. Also would like to see if I can get help on getting information on what are my restrictions are with firearms. If I can get a copy of this information, and also a link where I can read what I can and can't do. This would be a ton of help for me, not only for my family but also for others around me. My name is Albert Montanez, and I appreciate your help.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security. Since you mention that this information is related to a registered sex offender, you may wish to visit https://www.meganslaw.ca.gov/. This website provides information on registered sex offenders pursuant to California Penal Code § 290.46 so that members of the public can better protect themselves and their families. The information on this site is extracted from the California Sex and Arson Registry (CSAR), the State's repository for sex offender information. The information in the CSAR is provided to local law enforcement agencies by the sex offender during the registration process. Pursuant to Penal Code § 290.46, not all registered sex offenders are posted on this website. Click for more information on Megan's Law.</p> <p>To the extent that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) has the information you seek, it would be maintained in the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS), which is a statewide database designed to store information identifying persons named in restraining orders and is accessible via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the DOJ, is restricted by law. (Gov. Code § 15152). Access to records relating to CLETS inquiries is similarly restricted. Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)). For this reason, we must deny your request.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking firearms restrictions regarding persons who have restraining orders, reviewing the FAQs for the Bureau of Firearms may help to answer additional questions. (Available at https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/faqs.) Furthermore, in order to determine if you are eligible to possess, receive, own, or purchase a firearm, you will need to submit to the DOJ a Personal Firearms Eligibility Check (PFEC) form, a copy of your California Driver License or Identification Card, and a check or money order for the fee of \$20. The application must be signed and notarized, and include an impression of your right thumbprint. If you are a non-U.S. citizen, you must also submit a copy of your Alien Registration or I-94 Card.</p> <p>For your convenience, a copy of the form you would use to make a request for a PFEC is included with this letter. For more information regarding this process, please see the Bureau of Firearms website, FAQs, Personal Firearms Eligibility Check Program. (Available at https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pfecfaqs.)</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In this instance, you may want to request this information from the courts as these records are public.</p>
PRA-2018-02547	Gonzalez (personal records)	Rocio	12/24/2018	Any form of public record. I want to update anything necessary	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We are construing your request to be for records that are related to you personally. Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request</p>

PRA-2018-02550	Cruz (unspecified)	Erika	12/24/2018	<p>Requesting to review public records. We denied a leasing agreement because of record.</p> <p>Requesting to review public records. We denied a leasing agreement because of record, and I want to know what came up on that record that impacted this decision.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request, as it is somewhat unspecific regarding the nature of documents that you are seeking. If you have additional information, please provide that to us. Based on the information provided, you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>We hope this information has been of assistance.</p>
PRA-2018-02551	Borden (Media)	Jeremy (Damien PRA response)	12/24/2018	<p>The following should also be considered a request for information under California's Public Records Act. Please provide all responsive records, including correspondence to and from the requester, provided as a part of PRA 2018-01355 from Christopher Damien of the Desert Sun, which included, in part, the following response: We found two responsive submissions from January 1, 2018 to June 1, 2018: Return was filed in SBSW 18-1200 for the following property: "Subscriber information, including the subscriber's names, addresses, identification presented when</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Attached are the records responsive to your request.</p>

PRA-2018-02552	Yoon-Hendricks (SacBee)	Alexandra	12/24/2018	<p>establishing the account, associated phone numbers, all digital images, videos, photographs, in their original file format, including EXIF information, user profile pictures, a burns, mobile uploads, friends list, posts on the wall, activity log, sent and received messages, sent and received instant messages, and sent and received private messages for Facebook users." Return further identified six accounts. That information has been redacted.</p> <p>I would like a copy of any records, investigations, reports or similar documents related to any alleged misconduct or behavior regarding senior accounting manager Lloyd Carter.</p>	Assigned/Pending Response	Michele Inan	<p>On January 3, 2019, Michele Inan sent an extension letter. On January 18, 2019, Michele Inan sent a status update: We have determined that your request seeks disclosable public records in our possession.</p> <p>We are in the process of gathering and reviewing responsive records. We anticipate that the first production on responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on February 15, 2019. If records are withheld or redacted from documents responsive to your request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.</p> <p>On February 20, 2019, Michele Inan sent a status update:</p> <p>Dear Ms. Yoon-Hendricks:</p> <p>This letter responds to your email received on December 24, 2018, seeking disclosure of records pursuant to the California Public Records Act, Gov. Code, § 6250 et seq. Your request seeks "any records, investigations, reports or similar documents related to any alleged misconduct or behavior regarding senior accounting manager Lloyd Carter."</p> <p>Your request was received on December 24, 2018. On January 3, 2019, the California Department of Justice (DOJ) notified you that it was extending the deadline for responding to your request by 14 days, to January 17, 2019. On January 18, 2019, the DOJ notified you that your request seeks records in our possession, that we were gathering and reviewing those records, and that we anticipated we would be able to provide you a further response by February 15, 2019. We have now reviewed some, but not all, of the records responsive to your request, and have determined that they consist of personnel or similar files that are exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (c). Employees have a significant privacy interest in personnel or similar records. (BRV, Inc. v. Superior Court (2006) 143 Cal.App.4th 742, 756.) That right, however, is not absolute. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (c), exempts personnel or similar files, disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. Whether disclosure would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy depends on several factors, including:</p> <p>(1) whether the complaint or allegation is of a "substantial" nature (rather than trivial or baseless) (American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees v. Regents of University of California (1978) 80 Cal.App.3d 913, 918); (2) whether the complaint or allegation is "wellfounded" (Bakersfield City School District v. Superior Court (2004) 118 Cal.App.4th 1041, 104 7); and (3) whether the potential harm to personal privacy rights is outweighed by the public interest in disclosure where the weight of the interest is proportionate to the gravity of the governmental tasks to be illuminated and the directness with which the disclosure will serve to illuminate it. (See Rocco Versaci v. Superior Court (2005) 127 Cal.App.4th 805; Los Angeles Unified School District v. Superior Court (2014) 228 Cal.App.4th 222, 242.) In light of the foregoing considerations, the records we have reviewed to date are exempt from disclosure. We reserve our right to assert any additional exemptions or basis for redaction as we continue our review of records responsive to your request.</p> <p>We are continuing to review the remainder of records responsive to your request, and will provide any non-exempt records. We anticipate that our</p>
----------------	-------------------------	-----------	------------	---	---------------------------	--------------	---

PRA-2018-02553	Pemberton (CDCR records)	Joel	12/24/2018	<p>I was incarcerated (inmate #AG0105) 11/09/2010-06/16/2013 During that time I was a victim of identity theft, someone opened a bank account in my name sometime in the year 2012. The bank needs proof that I was incarcerated during that time. I just need confirmation of my release date. What ever documents you can provide will be helpful.</p> <p>I was incarcerated in CRC Norco, California Inmate# AG0105. I currently reside out of state.</p>	Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>review will be completed on March 1, 2019. If additional records are withheld or redacted, we will provide an explanation for doing so as we have done here.</p> <p>Called requester to inform him the records he seeks are in the custody and control of CDCR. He was appreciative of the call.</p>
PRA-2018-02593	Olfati	Parvin	12/24/2018	<p>I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, division, Division of Law Enforcement, Deputy Attorney General, department, division, agency, officer, employee, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between December 1, 2015 and the date of your response to this PRA/PA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any damage to or other activity on the roof of [REDACTED] that were created, transmitted, received and/or stored on or after December 1, 2015 through and including the date of your response to this PRA/PA request. The requested documents include but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communications to, from, and/or about any employee of the State of California Department of General Services, including but not limited to Jeff McGuire and/or Michael Charles Thomas. • Communications regarding Parvin Olfati on any subject, including but not limited to mold damage at [REDACTED] and/or anything else, including the personal life of Parvin Olfati. • Communications regarding [REDACTED] • Any communications, payments, emoluments and/or instructions to any person residing in the [REDACTED] block of [REDACTED] including but not limited to Barb Andres and Cecil Taylor of [REDACTED], June Lamoureux and/or Bill Kellerhals of [REDACTED], John Lisuk and/or Mieke Smythe of [REDACTED], John Dambras (now deceased) of [REDACTED], Helen Vathis and/or Martin or Petros Raygoza of [REDACTED] and/or Steve Maviglio of [REDACTED] • Communications to, from, with and/or about John Anthony Thiella. • Any recommendation for any person or entity that is related to any monitoring, surveillance, poisoning, mold placement, or any other activity directed at Parvin Olfati and/or [REDACTED]. • Any communications to, from, with, and/or about any person performing work or services at [REDACTED]. • Any and all communication with [REDACTED] residents Charles Schock and/or Pam Schock, any 	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

PRA-2018-02554	Gonzalez	Manuel	12/26/2018	<p>residents of [REDACTED] any residents or renters of [REDACTED] and/or any residents or renters of [REDACTED] and/or [REDACTED]</p> <p>• Any and all communications with "T" Street residents Anne Christopher and/or her friend Pino of [REDACTED] and/or Kathryn Sweeney and/or Ben Wheeler of [REDACTED]</p> <p>Lawsuit case number information</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-02555	Mrehin (personal records)	Aref	12/26/2018	I would like to request records of all publicly available information on AREF MREHIN.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PRA-2018-02556	Lopez Trujillo (DOJ Records)	Elisafat	12/26/2018	Request a copy of DOJ records	Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request, as it is somewhat unspecific regarding the nature of documents that you are seeking. If you have additional information, please provide that to us.</p>

							In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security . We hope this information has been of assistance.
PRA-2018-02558	Sharma (court, vital records)	Dhiren	12/26/2018	<p>1. Death record/cert of Pargat Singh Toor. Believe died in India in 2018. Son Devinder Toor lives at [REDACTED] mobile; [REDACTED] Pargat Toor's phone numbers: [REDACTED] Lived in [REDACTED] landline [REDACTED]</p> <p>2. Latest court case filed re; personal injury; attorney Sassan MacKay of Commerce and Anaheim, CA. Will appreciate having case citation, disposition, and status. Information needed in connection with law suit filed by his interpreter for unpaid fees in Small Claims in which Mr. Toor was personally injured in car accident. Hearing on Jan 8, 2019.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, and/or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a local court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-02561	Wang (probation data - companies)	Fei	12/26/2018	I am looking for county level adult probation data, more specifically, which counties are using private probation companies to supervise adult felony and misdemeanor probation, between 2003 and 2017. Thank you.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (Department) does not have responsive records as we do not collect this information. All of our adult probation and criminal justice personnel data can be found on the AG's OpenJustice website, here: https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data . The Department responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-02562	Wang (probation data - racial composition)	Fei	12/26/2018	I am looking for county level adult probation data, more specifically, the racial composition of probationers, as well as the racial composition of probation officers at the county level between 2003 and 2017. Thank you.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (Department) does not have responsive records as we do not collect personal identifying information of adult probationers or probation officers. All of our adult probation and criminal justice personnel data can be found on the A G's OpenJustice website, here: https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data . The Department responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-02576	Hylton (PRA communications)	Richard	12/26/2018	I seek all communications between San Diego County and the CA-DOJ that are related to CPRA requests that were referred to the CA-DOJ, in 2018.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a diligent search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places, knowledgeable persons, and have been unable to locate any responsive records. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Since you are seeking referrals generated by the San Diego County Sheriff's Department, you would need to direct your request to their office. It is possible that the referral mentioned in your request is sent to the requester, rather than to DOJ directly. When the DOJ Public Records Coordinator refers an individual to another agency, we contact the requester only. We do not send any referral to the agency to which they are being referred. You would need to confirm with San Diego County Sheriff's Department to determine if that is also their practice, as we are unfamiliar with their specific process for handling PRA requests.

PRA-2018-02559	California Gaming Association (Rules and Regulations)	Person	12/27/2018	<p>This letter is to request access to records in the possession of the California Department of Justice ("DOJ"), including but not limited to the Bureau of Gambling Control, for the purpose of inspection and copying pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.) and Article I, Section 3 of the California Constitution. The information that we ask to inspect is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any and all records and communications in the possession of the DOJ pertaining to the play of craps and roulette, including but not limited to the rules, regulation, approval, and advisories of same or similar games, at tribal casinos in California. 	Completed	Paras Hrishikesh Modha	<p>On January 18, 2019, Paras Modha sent a response: Please find attached some of the Department's records that are responsive to your request. Most of the Department's records that you are seeking are withheld because they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to one or more of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Except as specifically provided in the Gambling Control Act, the records of the Bureau of Gambling Control, a subdivision within the Department, are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) In addition, subdivision (d) of Business and Professions Code section 19821 makes it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act. <p>A review of your request suggests no applicable exception to the general exemption from disclosure under the Public Records Act set forth above. (See also Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k) [incorporating confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law].) Accordingly, any records that would be responsive to your request are exempt from disclosure by the terms of the Public Records Act, pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Your request for disclosure of these records is therefore denied.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Subdivision (c) of section 7.4. of the 1999 Tribal-State class III gaming compacts between the State and Indian tribe with casinos in California similarly provides that records received from the tribes or information compiled by the state gaming agency from those records shall be exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. (http://www.cgcc.ca.gov/?pageID=compacts). Tribal-State Compacts executed and ratified after the 1999 compacts contain similar provisions. Your request for disclosure of these records is therefore denied. Additionally, your request also encompasses records that are exempt from disclosure under the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work product doctrine. The attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 protects confidential communications between an attorney and the client, including confidential communications between an attorney within the Attorney General's Office and the attorney's clients. The attorney work product doctrine protects from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, and exempts from disclosure records covered by the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work product doctrine. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); see County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) <p>In addition to the attached disclosure, I also want to make you aware that the Bureau of Gambling Control has made all of its Tribal Casino Advisories available to the public at the following link: https://oag.ca.gov/gambling/advisories.</p> <p>On January 7, 2019, Paras Modha sent an extension letter.</p>
PRA-2018-02560	California Gaming Association (PRA Requests)	Person	12/27/2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All Public Records Act requests made to the DOJ in the last five years pertaining to licensed gambling establishments (cardrooms) and tribal casinos. Please include the identity of the requestor as well as the responses provided by the DOJ; All requests, inquiries and complaints made to the DOJ in the last five years pertaining to the advertising at licensed gambling establishments (cardrooms) and tribal casinos. Please include the identity of the requestor/complainant as well as the responses provided by the DOJ; All complaints made to the DOJ in the last five years pertaining to DOJ-approved blackjack-style games at either licensed gambling establishments (cardrooms) or tribal 	Completed	Paras Hrishikesh Modha	<p>On January 18, 2019, Paras Modha responded: Please find attached some of the Department's records that are responsive to your request. Most of the Department's records that you are seeking are withheld because they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to one or more of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Except as specifically provided in the Gambling Control Act, the records of the Bureau of Gambling Control, a subdivision within the Department, are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) In addition, subdivision (d) of Business and Professions Code section 19821 makes it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act.

PRA-2018-02564	Cerimovic (3rd Party Records)	Alma	12/27/2018	Police Reports, 911 Calls, Case Filings and anything else associated with this individual: Augustine M. Flores DOB: [REDACTED]	Completed	Erin Nickless	obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security . You are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call and related police reports. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking court records, you should direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. For police reports and 9-11 calls, you would need to contact the local department that responded to the call and issued the reports that you are seeking. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PRA-2018-02565	Heaven (City Clerk records)	Ebony	12/27/2018	We wish to obtain the current City Clerk's current, actual annual salary, the length of time they've held the City Clerk title and their total time in service.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the office of the City Clerk, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-02567	Yaralian (property records)	Sevag	12/27/2018	Owner of record information for property located at [REDACTED] Please provide current contact information if available.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PRA-2018-02568	Inauen (name change)	Margret	12/27/2018	certificate of name change. I changed my name when I became a citizen on October 28, 2005 name change was granted October 28 2005 it was changed to -maroret beatrix inauen - address: [REDACTED] I need a new certified copy for the swiss consulate.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to

PRA-2018-02569	Balluck j	Denis	12/28/2018	Can you confirm or deny the fact that Appko Inc is a registered California company which address [REDACTED] Thank you. Please note that I am not a citizen of the USA neither resident of the USA	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the registration status of a company, you may wish to contact the California Secretary of State's Office. Information on how to contact their office can be found online at http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/ .
PRA-2018-02570	Pavloff	Melia	12/28/2018	Hi, I was married internationally (legally) to a non-U.S. citizen, and we would like to officially register our marriage as public record in CA, where I was born, raised, and lived. How do we do this? Thanks! Melia Pavloff Hejazi	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, and/or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PRA-2018-02571	Mcdonald	Tony	12/28/2018	Any and all records I've been in a parental kidnapping names to follow.todd r thomas or todd m thomas wife tamara thomas .justin thomas jennifer miller aka jennifer Thomas need this Daughter kidnapped 4 times in court .warrants .bench warrants anything u can send court records address theirs [REDACTED] thank u	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please refer to our December 24, 2018 response to your December 19, 2018 request.
PRA-2018-02572	Stamboni	Richard	12/28/2018	Unsure about date. Case number CN375769 I'm trying to find out about what happened between Eric York Cruz and his parents. I have his social and ID number of that would help	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PRA-2018-02573	Walsh (BGC applications)	Benjamin	12/28/2018	I respectfully request all documents, applications, approvals and records regarding or referencing Magnolia House Casino and Rancho's Club since July 1, 2018. Including but not limited to: License applications (including Key Employee transfer applications), applications for game approvals, applications for promotion approvals. Additionally, I request all applications filed by Shaun Yaple with the Bureau of Gambling Control within the past 2 years.	Completed	Riann Kopchak	The Gambling Control Act provides that the Bureau "shall maintain a file of all applications for licenses" and that the file is "open to public inspection." (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (b).) The Act specifies that except as provided therein, the records of the Bureau are exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (d), further specifies that it is unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act. In accordance with California Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivisions (b) and (c), subject to the redactions detailed below, please find enclosed a copy of the following record: • Application for Gambling Establishment Key Employee License, Shaun L. Yaple, Applicant, received November 27, 2017. The license application required redactions of the following personal information that is exempt from disclosure: residence address, home and

PRA-2018-02574	Sehgal (RFO bids)	Sanjeev Sehgal	12/28/2018	<p>Department of Justice RFO 18-101 SB 384 CSAR Technology Refresh. We are requesting for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluation Matrix for our bid and its comparison with the winning proposal • Copy of the winning proposal • Debrief where we scored lower than the winning vendor and how we could improve in our response 	SVP Sales	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>personal contact phone numbers, applicant birth date, and social security number. This personal information has been redacted in accordance with Government Code sections 6255, subdivision (a), and 6254, subdivision (c) ("personnel, medical, or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy"). The public interest served by not disclosing this personal information clearly outweighs the public interest served by its disclosure since this information is protected by the strong public policy set forth in California Constitution article I, section 1 - the right of privacy - yet is of minor significance for legitimate uses. Identity theft and similar serious crimes often rely on such personal information. Non-disclosure of the personal information not specifically exempt from disclosure by subdivision (c) of Government Code section 6254 is therefore exempt from disclosure in accordance with Government Code section 6255, subdivision (a). A review of your records request with respect to other requested records suggests no applicable exception to the general exemption from disclosure under the Public Records Act set forth in Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (c). For the foregoing reasons, except for the record of which a copy is attached, your request for records under the Public Records Act is denied. The decisions described herein with respect to the requested records have been made by Staff Services Manager Riann Kopchak and Deputy Attorney General Derry L. Knight.</p> <p>On January 22, 2019, Jaimie Tackett responded: In regard to items (1) and (2), please find attached responsive records. Note, we have redacted personally identifiable information such as home addresses, cell phone numbers, and personal email addresses contained in this record, based on considerations of personal privacy.</p> <p>(Art. I, sec. 1 of Cal. Con. as incorporated into the PRA by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) Specifically, the request seeks information concerning the specified proposal, and does not seek personal information; balancing the records requested and the purpose for such request against the need to protect the privacy of persons whose records were included in this record, the office has redacted any personal information because the need for privacy outweighs the need for disclosure.</p> <p>In regard to item (3), the California Department of Justice does not have responsive records.</p> <p>On January 7, 2019, Jaimie Tackett sent an extension letter.</p>
PRA-2018-02577	Ferreira	William	12/28/2018	All Accusations filed by the Attorney General's Office on behalf of the Bureau of Automotive Repair from December 1, 2018 through December 28, 2018.		Completed	Molly E. Selway	<p>In response, we are providing a list of the Accusations served between December 1, 2018, and January 3, 2019. Please note that the Department of Justice does not file the Accusation and therefore we can only provide a list of the served Accusations.</p> <p>At the outset, we note that your request is directed to the Department of Justice but may refer to or include documents in the possession of other agencies, such as the Bureau of Automotive Repair. If you wish to review records that are in the possession of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-02597	Windley (complaints)	Jake	12/28/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records that describe consumer or customer complaints against internet service providers operating in the state of California. Specific internet service providers include, but are not limited to, Time Warner Cable, Spectrum Communications, and Charter Communications. The specific subject matter that I am interested in relates to slower internet speeds than were promised or excessive billing.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General.</p> <p>Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p>

PRA-2018-02579	Rose	Laura	12/31/2018	Hi there, thank you for the response. This request is in regards to my personal criminal records, i paid for a DOJ background check for a job around 3 years ago, how would i go about requesting a copy of that?	Completed	Erin Nickless	As stated in my previous e-mail, information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PRA-2018-02580	Mauck	Jesse	12/31/2018	I Jesse mauck would luke to request all open cases local and federal including active warrents and debits regrading my public profile in the attempt to close all cases to move on with my life and working for the U.S. Federal government. I jesse Mauck am in good faith looking to resolve any past issues with the U.S. Government	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, for financial records, you would need to contact the financial institution which generated the records. For court records, you may wish to contact the local court. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PRA-2018-02581	Rodriguez (3rd Party Records)	Cyd	12/31/2018	Any and all public records pertaining to, mentioning, involving, or corresponding to former-California State resident, Ms. Charlotte Creason, deceased, from the years 1950 through 1960. Ms. Creason passed September 25, 1958 in Los Angeles, California at Cedar Sinai Hospital. Ms. Charlotte Creason, no middle name, was the mother of Ms. Shelly Leann Creason (nee Karen Rochelle Rosoff), also deceased. Ms. Creason was also a California State resident until the time of her death, dated December 21, 2012.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .

PRA-2018-02582	Lannon (CH and CDCR Records)	Albert	12/31/2018	<p>Arrest, conviction and prison records for David Castro, San Francisco, DOB [REDACTED]; shot and killed by law enforcement on April 10, 1979. San Quentin inmate approx. 1965.</p> <p>I am a freelance journalist who knew David Castro and am working on a story about his life and death. He has no family that I know of. He was on and off a heroin addict, burglar and car thief. Also a poet and Sixties activist.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking prison records from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, we must decline your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PRA-2018-02583	Combs (2018 CCWs)	Brandon	12/31/2018	<p>Please consider this a formal request for access to and copies of public records and information pertaining to matters of great public concern, unique to California, and important to our members, supporters, and thousands of law-abiding people and taxpayers throughout California.</p> <p>RECORDS REQUESTED:</p> <p>1) For the data period of January 1, 2018, through December 31, 2018, inclusive, or as of the data date December 31, 2018, a copy of the DOJ's CCW data / database or other electronic record-keeping, or an electronic export (i.e., .xls, .xlsx, or .csv file type) thereof, that includes, but is not limited to, the following information:</p> <p>a. Issued CCW licenses (initial and renewal), pending applications for CCW licenses, applications for CCW licenses in process, revoked CCW licenses, any other status of CCW license or application therefore, and the following associated data:</p> <p>i. ORI number</p> <p>ii. Licensing authority / agency name</p> <p>iii. Licensing authority / agency county</p> <p>iv. Licensing authority / agency city</p> <p>v. CCW type (i.e., civilian, judicial, custodial officer, reserve officer, place of employment or business-based 90 day license, any other type reported)</p> <p>vi. Licensee / applicant residence state, county, city, and ZIP code</p> <p>vii. CCW Issuance (effective) date, as required to be reported to DOJ under Penal Code § 26225</p> <p>viii. Expiration date (if known)</p> <p>2) For the period of January 1, 2018, through December 31, 2018, a copy of all information reported to or collected by DOJ pursuant to Penal Code § 26225(b)-(c).</p> <p>GENERAL COMMENTS:</p> <p>In the past, DOJ has provided common spreadsheet data files containing exported data responsive to requests like this one. If DOJ provides us with a spreadsheet containing the information I requested in this request, I will consider the request satisfied and there will be no need to provide a copy of the database.</p>	Fees Requested	Public Records	<p>February 4, 2019 response: On January 10, 2019, we sent a letter extending our time to respond to January 24, 2019.</p> <p>Exemptions to Your Request</p> <p>As a preliminary matter, we deny your request in part, because some of the data you seek is exempt from disclosure. Specifically, we deny your request for the following data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Licensee residence information. (See item (i)(a)(vi).) • "CCW Issuance (effective) date, as reported to DOJ under Penal Code § 26225." (See item (i)(a)(vii), italics added.) • Information "collected by the DOJ pursuant to Penal Code section 26225, subdivisions (b)-(c)." (See item (2), italics added.) <p>We will withhold licensee residence information to protect the privacy rights of the licensees. (See Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (c); Cal. Const., art. I, § 1.) Given the number of residents in certain zip codes, listing all the residential data you sought could result in providing you data that is not sufficiently de-identified with respect to the licensees covered by the report.</p> <p>Additionally, to the extent the Department has this data, it has been obtained as part of its responsibilities under Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(i), to keep information to "assist in the investigation of crime, the prosecution of [specified] civil actions by city attorneys ... and the arrest and prosecution of criminals." Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(2), limits the disclosure of the information collected under Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(i), by specifying to whom the Department can provide that information. The information can be provided "upon proper application therefor ... to the officers referred to in [Penal Code section] 11105."</p> <p>Members of the public making California Public Records Act requests are not identified on the list of officers in Penal Code section 11105. On this basis, we deny your request in item (i)(a)(vi) to obtain the residential addresses of CCW applicants and holders.</p> <p>Similarly, to the extent DOJ keeps records of information reported to it pursuant to Penal Code section 26225-be that information reflecting the issuance date of a license, or information submitted pursuant to subdivisions (b)-(c)-it does so as part of the Attorney General's duty under Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(i). Thus, this information is also exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(2). As such, we deny your request for information listed in items (i)(a)(vii) and (2) of your request.</p>

PRA-2018-02584	Pena	Evangelina	12/31/2018	<p>SPOILATION WARNING: This request requires the production of records / information that exist and/or are maintained in electronic form. Such records/ information are often subject to "retention" or "destruction" policies that require their automatic deletion at some point in time. If any records / information that are potentially responsive to this request are subject to such a retention or destruction policy, please prevent their deletion / destruction before you can locate, review and provide us with copies. For all records / information please take all steps necessary to prevent the intentional or accidental destruction / loss of these records / information during the pendency of this request. We request that you confirm that you have placed a "litigation hold" on all responsive records and information.</p> <p>PREFERRED DELIVERY METHOD: Please provide all records and information responsive to this request via e-mail attachment(s); if the files are too large to e-mail, please let us know and we will make arrangements for an alternative means of transmitting the responsive records and information.</p> <p>COMMUNICATIONS ABOUT THIS REQUEST: We acknowledge the following notice on this Web page: "Submitting this form electronically does not provide the Public Records Coordinator with your contact information. In order for us to forward our response to your request, please provide some form of contact information (address, phone number, or e-mail)."</p> <p>Please e-mail us at research@calgunsfoundation.org if you have any questions, wish to communicate about this request, or to provide us with the requested information and records. Thank you for your prompt consideration and fulfillment of this records request.</p> <p>cc: PublicRecords@doj.ca.gov<mailto:PublicRecords@doj.ca.gov> (via e-mail); Robert.Wilson@doj.ca.gov<mailto:Robert.Wilson@doj.ca.gov> (via e-mail)</p>					<p>General Limitations on Compiling Data Responsive to the Remainder of Your Request</p> <p>The Department's CCW system only gives point-in-time data. This means it can only give a picture of the data as it appears in the system on the day a report is run, and the Department could not produce a report with a full, all-inclusive picture of all transactions reported to the Department in 2018. As such, to the extent we can provide you information we could only tell you what appeared in the system on the day a report is run, and that date will depend on a variety of factors, including general Department workload and when we receive payment-discussed below-to program that report. As a result, if information regarding an application or permit holder was entered and subsequently changed before a report was run, the report will only capture the changed version of the data for that application. In cases where a record of a permit holder was removed as a result of expiration or denial, the report will not capture that entry. In sum, previous versions of entries that have since been changed or removed from our system would not appear in a report.</p> <p>Additionally, while Penal Code section 26225 requires law enforcement agencies to send copies of approvals and denials of CCW permits to the Department, the Department has encountered inconsistent reporting by issuing agencies, and the actual issuance of CCW permits takes place at the local law enforcement agency level.</p> <p>Programming Costs Issue</p> <p>As a final matter, the report you are seeking is not one that the DOJ prepares in the ordinary course of business. To create a report, as permitted by workload, we need to have an employee engage in special programming. An Information Technology Specialist I (Range C) needs approximately 3 hours at a rate of \$41.71 per hour to program the requested report for a total of \$125.13. Pursuant to Government Code section 6253.9, subdivision (b), the Department is entitled to ask you to pay the costs for this program.</p> <p>Response to Request for Confirmation of a Litigation Hold</p> <p>As to your request for a litigation hold, please be advised that the Department, under its ordinary course of business, places litigation holds as it deems it necessary to preserve records and information in pending or anticipated litigation.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>In sum, we are denying your request in part. With respect to the remainder of your request, for the reasons stated above, the information we can provide may not provide a complete or accurate picture of CCW permits sought and issued in 2018. But the information you seek may be obtained directly from the local agencies that issue the CCW permits. If you would still like the Department to prepare a report, taking into account the exemptions and limitations discussed above, please make a check payable to the Department of Justice in the amount of \$125.13, and send it to:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-06 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>If we do not receive payment within 20 days, we shall consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish
----------------	------	------------	------------	---	--	--	--	--	---	-----------	---------------	--

PRA-2018-02585	Blaylock	Christopher	12/31/2018	<p>1. All correspondence from the Attorney General's office or AG's office staff concerning Senate Bill 10 and bail reform sent or received by the governor or anyone in the governor's office including but not limited to any letters concerning signing or veto of Senate Bill 10.</p> <p>2. All correspondence from the Attorney General's office or AG's Office staff concerning Senate Bill 10 and bail reform sent or received by any member of the California legislature or California legislature's staff including but not limited to any letters concerning signing or veto of Senate Bill 10.</p> <p>3. All correspondence concerning Senate Bill 10 and bail reform sent or received by the governor or anyone in the governor's office including but not limited to any letters concerning signing or veto of Senate Bill 10.</p>	Completed	Lara Haddad	<p>to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>January 25, 2019 response: On January 10, 2019, we extended the time to respond to your request to January 24, 2019. (Gov. Code § 6253, subd. (c).) Below, please find our response in full.</p> <p>Responses to Requests</p> <p>Response to Request No. 1</p> <p>Our office has determined that the request seeks disclosable public records in our possession, specifically, correspondence between the Attorney General's office and the Governor's office concerning Senate Bill 10 and bail reform. Enclosed are those documents that are responsive to this request.</p> <p>Response to Request No. 2</p> <p>We have no documents responsive to this request.</p> <p>Response to Request No. 3</p> <p>To the extent that there are any responsive documents in the possession of the Attorney General's office sent by the Governor or from the Governor's office, they have been provided in our response to Request No. 1, above.</p> <p>Although your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, Request No. 3 seeks records from another department or office -- namely, correspondence received by and sent from the Governor's office. Absent a request for representation by another constitutional officer or agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments or offices. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, department or office, you should direct your request to that agency, department or office.</p> <p>On January 10, 2019, Lara Haddad sent an extension letter.</p>
PRA-2018-02586	Sinyangwe (MuckRock)	Samuel	12/31/2018	<p>-statistics showing the total number of arrests made in 2016 and 2017 for each law enforcement agency within the state, disaggregated by year, race, ethnicity, agency, specific offense type/charge, whether the offense was a misdemeanor or felony, and disposition. Note that this is different from the data displayed on the OpenJustice website, which only includes these statistics at the county-level. My request is for statistics disaggregated for each municipal, county and state law enforcement agency within the state.</p>	Assigned	Jaimie Tackett	<p>On January 10, 2019, Jaimie Tackett sent an extension letter.</p>

PRA-2018-02587	Papillon	Ryan	12/31/2018	Arrest records / mugshot photos		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (Department) is unable to comply with your request. The Department maintains the Cal-Photo network and application, which provides law enforcement and criminal justice public agencies access to mugshot images maintained by California's law enforcement agencies, as well as a connection to the photographs and data maintained by the California Department of Motor Vehicles. Pursuant to the Cal-Photo Policies, Practices, and Procedures, information accessed via Cal-Photo is confidential and for official use only by authorized law enforcement personnel and the release of Cal-Photo information for nonlaw enforcement purposes is prohibited. This is due to the fact that Cal-Photo image records are a part of the California state summary criminal history records maintained by the Department, which are not public records.
PRA-2018-02588	Combs (DOJ form BOF 4012)	Brandon	12/31/2018	<p>BACKGROUND: Cal. Penal Code § 26175(a)(1): "Applications for licenses and applications for amendments to licenses under this article shall be uniform throughout the state, upon forms to be prescribed by the Attorney General." Cal. Penal Code § 26175(a)(2): "The Attorney General shall convene a committee composed of one representative of the California State Sheriffs' Association, one representative of the California Police Chiefs Association, and one representative of the Department of Justice to review, and, as deemed appropriate, revise the standard application form for licenses. The committee shall meet for this purpose if two of the committee's members deem that necessary." DOJ form BOF 4012 is the "California Department of Justice Bureau of Firearms Standard Initial and Renewal Application for License to Carry a Concealed Weapon". DOJ form BOF 4012 appears to have been revised in 2012. RECORDS REQUESTED: 1) All public records about DOJ form BOF 4012, including but not limited to revision(s) or changes included in the version marked "Rev. 11/2012", and the basis for those revision(s). 2) All public records about the "committee" described in in Penal Code § 26175(a)(2) [that "[the] Attorney General shall convene" for various purposes, including but not limited to the duty to "review, and, as deemed appropriate, revise the standard application form for licenses" described in Penal Code § 26175(a)(1)].</p> <p>This request requires the production of records / information that exist and/or are maintained in electronic form. Such records / information are often subject to "retention" or "destruction" policies that require their automatic deletion at some point in time. If any records / information that are potentially responsive to this request are subject to such a retention or destruction policy, please prevent their deletion / destruction before you can locate, review and provide us with copies. For all records / information please take all steps necessary to prevent the intentional or accidental destruction / loss of these records / information during the pendency of this request. We request that you confirm that you have placed a "litigation hold" on all responsive records and information. Please provide all records and information responsive to this request via e-mail attachment(s); if the files are too large to e-mail, please let us know and we will make arrangements for an alternative means of transmitting the responsive records and information.</p>		Completed	Public Records	<p>Enclosed are copies of the records responsive to items number 1 and 2 that we have identified after checking with knowledgeable persons and searching logical places. As to your request that we confirm that we have placed a litigation hold on responsive records, please be advised that the Department, under its ordinary course of business, places litigation holds as it deems it necessary to preserve records and information in pending or anticipated litigation. An extension letter was sent January 10, 2019 by PRC.</p>

PRA-2018-02589	Welsh (MuckRock) (PRA Logs)	Ben	12/31/2018	<p>We acknowledge the following notice on this Web page: "Submitting this form electronically does not provide the Public Records Coordinator with your contact information. In order for us to forward our response to your request, please provide some form of contact information (address, phone number, or e-mail)."</p> <p>A copy of the Attorney General's office's California Public Records Act request logs for calendar year 2018. These logs should include a request date, description of records requested, requester organization (if applicable) and current status of the requests.</p>	Rolling Production	Erin Nickless	<p>02/01/19 response: On January 10, 2019, our office provided our Public Records Act request logs through July 31, 2018. Attached please find our Public Records Act request logs from August 1, 2018, through October 31, 2018. Please note that we have redacted personal information such as home addresses, date of birth, and other identifying information for privacy purposes. We are in the process of generating logs for November 1, 2018, through the date of your request. At this point, we anticipate that this process will take a minimum of 12 weeks to complete. Accordingly, we will produce the November and December logs on a rolling basis, as they are completed.</p> <p>01/10/19 response #1: We are attaching .pdf copies of our logs requested between the dates January 1, 2018 and April 30, 2018. We will be sending additional logs in a second e-mail.</p> <p>01/10/19 response #2: We are attaching .pdf copies of our logs requested between the dates of May 1, 2018 through July 31, 2018. We sent the logs from January 1, 2018 through April 30, 2018 in our first e-mail. We need additional time to generate and redact personal information contained in the PRA database for the remaining time frame denoted in your request. We anticipate reviewing and redacting this data to take a minimum of 12 weeks. The logs will be produced to you as they are completed in a rolling production process.</p>
PRA-2018-02590	Welsh (MuckRock) (enterprise systems)	Ben	12/31/2018	<p>A copy of the Attorney General's office's California Public Records Act request logs for calendar year 2018. These logs should include a request date, description of records requested, requester organization (if applicable) and current status of the requests.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places, and consulted knowledgeable persons, and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p>
PRA-2018-02595	Fennessy (Divorce)	Debra	12/31/2018	<p>Divorce decree for Debra Lee Fennessy and William C. Fennessy. I think it was in 1984. I have misplaced my copy and need a replacement in order to file for Social Security benefits.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, and/or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p>